

The American  
Militant Nationalist  
Manifesto



Sacco Vandal  
Vanzetti Vandal

**The American  
Militant Nationalist  
Manifesto**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Sacco Vandal  
Vanzetti Vandal**

---

**Vandal Brothers, LLC  
2015**

## **Table of Contents**

[Front Matter](#)

### **[Introduction](#)**

#### **[America](#)**

[The State of the Union](#)

[Liberalism](#)

[Conservatism](#)

[Libertarianism](#)

#### **[Militarism](#)**

[American Militarism](#)

[Militarism and Western Civilization](#)

[Militarism and National Wealth](#)

[The World Situation](#)

[Nature and Militancy](#)

#### **[Nationalism](#)**

[A People, Their Government, and the Nation](#)

[Our National Pathology](#)

[A Tale of Two Cultural Entities](#)

[Group-Feeling and Patriotism](#)

[A Note Upon the Bank Bailouts](#)

#### **[Economic Nationalism](#)**

[The Communist Fallacy](#)

[The Environmentalist Fallacy](#)

[Immigration and Competition](#)

[Government in the Economy](#)

[An Economic Branch of Government](#)

**[Democracy](#)**

[Whim of the Majority](#)

[Tyranny of the Mob](#)

[The Coming Revolution](#)

[In Order to Form a More Perfect Union](#)

**[Conclusion](#)**

## **Front Matter**

**Copyright © 2015 by Sacco Vandal and Vanzetti Vandal.**

All rights reserved.

First edition.

Released October 10, 2015.

Published by Vandal Brothers, LLC

Bath, Ohio. USA.

**For more information, news, and to contact the authors, visit:**

[www.vandalvoid.com](http://www.vandalvoid.com)

## Introduction

Throughout the long and bloodied course of human history, various civilizations have risen, grown, expanded, and, in the end, stagnated or collapsed. All of these dead or decayed civilizations—ancient Mesopotamia, ancient Egypt, the oriental civilizations of ancient China and India, the Classical civilization of Greece and Rome, the Mesoamerican civilizations of the Maya and the Aztec, the Andean civilization of the Inca—have had their golden ages, their periods of expansion and growth, invention and discovery. And all of them have also had their periods of decay and decline—a seemingly inevitable, inward rot that leaves the civilization vulnerable.

Rome poisoned itself with corruption and weakness, and then fell to barbarian war bands. China and India both stagnated for centuries in a state of despotism and mysticism until Europeans easily conquered the corrupt and divided regions. Likewise, the Aztec and Incan empires of the New World were in such internal disarray by the fifteenth century that their respective civilizations dangled like ripe pieces of tree fruit before the handful of Spanish conquistadores who destroyed and subjugated them both.

Many of these civilizations were conquered, destroyed or disrupted, and colonized by Western civilization—the world's youngest and most successful civilization. The barbarians who sacked Rome were the forebears of this Western civilization. They adopted the Christianity of Rome, settled the wilderness of Europe; and then began to grow, expand, and explode outward upon the entire globe. During this process of expansion, Western civilization conquered nearly the entire Earth, disrupting the ancient and corrupt civilizations of Asia, and destroying the inept civilizations of the New World. In this explosive period of growth, expansion, and conquest, Western civilization developed sciences, technologies, and ideas that revolutionized humanity and human society. However, at some point throughout the course of its struggle, this vibrant and amazing Western civilization—like so many of the civilizations before it—began to lose its way.

The noble Republic that now occupies the North American continent—the United States of America—is the shining pinnacle of this Western civilization that arose in Europe after the fall of the Roman Empire. At some point, as Western civilization impressed its dominance upon the globe, Europe itself—the heart of Western civilization—began to convulse. Europe was entering a crisis stage, which all civilizations go through—the phase that ultimately ends in stagnation or collapse—and the virgin continent of North America permitted many of Europe's inhabitants to escape the incipient rot. Thus, America was born—a child of Europe, a new Western civilization, young and uncorrupted. Western civilization

was thus saved via America. And in America, Western civilization was born anew, given a second chance, resurrected.

America has since acted as the savior of the world, confronting the oriental despotism of the Eurasian landmass, and maintaining a worldwide hegemony that has served to imbue the entire globe with a myriad array of memes that have both inspired and influenced the vast and numerous peoples of the Earth. America has been the exceptional, indispensable nation—the nucleus around which a coalescent world civilization has been assiduously struggling to construct itself in silent optimism. And for well over half a century, the United States has stood before the unique potential of leading the world into the first ecumenical empire of this incipient world civilization. But alas, America has—due to various excuses—adamantly refused to summon the will to complete the task that fell into its lap, first with its development of the atomic bomb in 1945, and again with the collapse of the Soviet Union four decades later. The hourglass is now running empty, and America's unique episode of supreme hegemony over the world has been declared by various prophets to be in its twilight. Has the sickness that has thus far stricken Europe now spread to America? And if so, is there anything that we Americans can do about it? Sacco and Vanzetti believe there is.

\* \* \*

Every great civilization or empire that has fallen at the hands of or been conquered by outsiders had first grown weak and corrupt from an internal decay. And it is a matter of fact—in all cases—that whatever one may wish to call the outward manifestations of this decay, its inner spirit is—in every case—identical to the spirit of liberalism that currently hovers over the cosmopolitan epicenters of Europe and America.

The liberal atheism and tolerance of Buddhism is what corrupted the civilization of ancient India. And the liberal pacifism of early Christianity played a similar role in spiritually disarming the ancient Romans, leaving them powerless to repel the Goths and Vandals. The philosophy of ancient Greece produced stoicism—a negation of traditional Greek thought and religion. And the teachings of Confucius likewise were representative of a sort of intellectual atheism that was then infecting ancient China, negating the traditional Chinese religion and producing the stagnate bureaucratic corpse that would then continue to persist in the region for nearly two millennia. Even Arabic civilization produced at its height an era of tolerance and diversity before crumbling into the stone age state we now bear witness to across the entire Middle East.

Ancient Egypt and many of the various empires of Ancient Mesopotamia were all disrupted by cumulative influxes of immigrants—resultant of either a lack of vigilance concerning immigration or a lax, liberal attitude towards such immigration—which resulted in supplantment of the native culture, language, and people by foreigners, their foreign language, and their alien culture. In Egypt during the Middle Kingdom, the empire had become so corrupt, inward-facing, and preoccupied with the liberal universalism of the Amarna Revolution that vast numbers of foreigners were permitted to wander into Lower Egypt from the Levant. As a result, the immigrant Hyksos became so numerous in Lower Egypt that they were able to establish their own kingdom—an interregnum in Egyptian history known as the Second Intermediate Period.

In Mesopotamia, the civilization originated by the Sumerians passed from immigrant group to immigrant group as successive waves of Aryans from the Northwest and Semites from the Southeast moved in and supplanted the former inhabitants. Sumerians disappeared before Akkadians. Akkadians disappeared before Amorites. Amorites vanished before Kassites. Kassites gave way to Medes and Persians. It is a process all too familiar to a Western world that currently beholds its European populations disintegrating before Muslims and its North American populations giving way to Hispanics and Afro-Euriansians.

Atheism, assault on tradition, tolerance, pacifism, open borders—these are the patterns that we find surrounding the victims who now adorn the graveyard of empires. It may sound simplistic to assert that civilizations simply grow weak and rot, but that is exactly what happens—and liberalism is the visible sign of that weakness and rot. Liberalism and its tenets are antithetical to life, growth, expansion, success, victory, and exaltation. We now know what kills both peoples and nations. We now hold the keys to both death and Hell. If our noble Republic, the United States of America, is to persist into the future, it must expunge the disease of communist liberalism that currently infects it. Any other course of action shall merely invite our own ruin—and thus we would follow all those who have come before us.

**America**

## **The State of the Union**

America is sick! The disease of liberalism that has long since rendered Europe corrupt and stagnant has been slowly spreading to the New World. And now, the United States stands as a great nation suffering from a chronic and terrible ailment. Liberalism is what we know it as—but that is a misleading term created by its adherents. Make no mistake, modern American liberals are nothing more than life-hating communists; and the two terms, liberals and communists, should be used interchangeably. They may not be Cold War Russian communists. They may not be North Korean Militant communists. No, they may not even be akin to the modern Chinese communists whose specific brand of leftism resembles consumerism and capitalism more and more each day. No, the communism that these modern American liberals represent is a home grown, leftist, liberal, progressive, statist, environmentalist, cosmopolitan communism—a cancerous infection, malignant in nature, that is destroying our country from the inside out. Contemporary persons who concern themselves with political correctness, as well as those who split hairs over small nuances, may scoff at the accusation that liberals are communists and vice versa; but communism and liberalism are both destructive towards a culture. They only differ in the speed in which they seek to dismantle that culture. While communists seek to radically alter their society in a short revolution, liberals seek to radically alter their society in a slow, progressive evolution or series of changes. Thus, the endgames of both communists and liberals are identical, and because of this fact they should be regarded as equal and identical threats to the American people and our American culture: the time has come to call a spade a spade and a commie a commie. The two Marines who author this declaration of war—Sacco and Vanzetti Vandal—refuse to be fazed by the meager and pathetic attempts of the leftist villains to veil their true identity and their true intentions. There is no longer sufficient time to shrink from confrontation with the great demon who currently wraps her sinister clutches around our noble nation—to Hell with civility; America is dying!

Across the somber landscape, disintegration and implosion define every aspect of United States' policy, foreign and domestic. All actions seem irrational and at times suicidal. The American dream turns to dust as foreigners and immigrants—who should be at our mercy—proceed to engorge themselves greedily upon the would-be inheritance of our very own American children, grandchildren, great grandchildren, and beyond. America was once preeminent amongst the nations of the world, and everyone loved her. Now, in another generation or two—and if the current trends continue—she will be dead last amongst the great powers. Foreigners increasingly loathe America, and an increasing number of

Americans hate her as well.

The American soul has been tainted. Behold, our nation is teeming with communist weaklings sympathetic to all causes save our own. Americans have absorbed the petulant whinings of peoples and nations who wish America off her noble throne atop the order of the world. Our own American children are taught from elementary school through college that European colonizers of the New World were invaders, that our founding fathers were villains, that Americans are and always have been evil and greedy, and that the American government is imperialistic and sinister. The traitorous fools! America is the greatest nation in which the world has ever seen. And yet, here we stand, our spirit sapped by guilt and remorse.

The foul communism that currently permeates all facets of our society must be permanently expunged. The traitors must be vanquished by the heroes of our nation. The common, proud, patriotic backbone of America—the honest, hard-workers-and-thinkers who have built this nation—must take control of this country and right the wrong before all is completely lost.

There is a parasitic, cosmopolitan elite entrenched within the fortress-entities of New York, D.C., L.A., and every other urban manifestation of the Omnipolis. They hate the noble phenomenon known as *the American*, and they conspire to destroy us and turn our spirit docile. Time is slipping like sand through the hourglass. The evil Axis of Wall-Street, Washington, and Hollywood lumbers over us all, ominously. We Americans, the *real* Americans, must seize the reins of our grand and exalted nation from these communist parasites and steer America onto the path of truth and justice, away from the abysmal cliff towards which it is currently speeding.

In order to achieve victory in this sacred battle over the cosmic fate of our noble nation, the battle lines *must* be drawn. For too long have the heroic peoples of the American heartland floundered in the dark, vainly attempting to define who they are and what they stand for or who the enemy really is and what it is that we must target. Words like conservative give us a way to identify one another but nothing more—the term itself simply denotes opposition to change, and it should rightly be abandoned by We the People. The position of the honest, hard-working homeland hero can best be defended, not with rallies behind specific issues or party talking points, but with a clear proclamation and endorsement of everything anathema to the communist weaklings with whom we seek to do battle. The concepts that these communist weaklings hate the most are: Americanism, Militarism, and Nationalism. These are the three phenomena that have made America great and strong, and it is adherence to precisely these three phenomena that is now found lacking in the administration of our nation.

The communist fiends have sought to combat Americanism by turning the concept of an American into nothing more than a civic concept that denotes a salad bowl of alien peoples who share nothing more in common than haphazard residence within the borders of the United States, and by smearing the past and injuring the pride that Americans have traditionally felt towards themselves and where they came from. Also, the communist fiends have sought to combat Militarism by disarming and tying the hands of not only Americans but our armed forces and our people's collective right to national defense, and thereby transforming our nation into a nation of vulnerable cowards and defenseless weaklings. And finally, the communist fiends have sought to combat Nationalism by constructing public policy in a manner that runs counter to the interests of We the People and fattening the politician-lawyer-CEO cadavers of Washington and Wall Street while empowering foreigners and their foreign regimes at the expense of American wealth and hegemony.

Within these three all-encompassing issues we find the meaning of everything that is wrong with our country. Everything else is petty and illusory, a diversion staged to distract us from the real issues at hand. America is dying! There are more important things to worry about than all the special interest noise. Our way of life evaporates before our eyes, but the political circus keeps us enthralled and entertained, unaware of the real problems at hand. A simple ban on congressmen and senators running for reelection—or at least a term limit for crying out loud—would do far more to end political corruption and elite entrenchment in this country than muckraking or debates over campaign donations could ever in a million years even hope to come close to ending. But there is never even a whisper of such a solution in any national media outlet. The terms of all debate in this nation are constantly being set by our hidden enemies; is it any wonder that the liberals succeed in dragging the entire country closer and closer to the abyss of Marxism with the passing of each generation?

Americanism, Militarism, Nationalism—these are the revolutions in conservative thought that We the People need in this noblest of nations; these are the concepts that the liberal communists hate and bemoan. We the People have been losing the battle with liberalism for the fate of *our* country for decades upon decades, and only an about face in conservative tactics can change the tide. Calls for “balancing the budget” will no longer prove adequate to save the United States. The whole entire system is rotten! From top to bottom, through and through—the system is putrid with gangrene. The entire American governmental tree needs to be trimmed. The entire convoluted mess—all the red-tape, turgid agencies, forests worth of bureaucratic book-keeping—must be cleared in order to pave-way for Americans to be American, to live and breathe in America—all this leftist meddling in our affairs is stifling! Freedom needs some fresh air. We Americans must take back our own country from the liberal-communist tyrants who conspire to constrict their vice-like tentacles

tighter and tighter around our lives, choking-out the joy and adventure. Life is meant to be lived! It is ascendant struggle that the American people have been successfully waging for centuries, and those who oppose this struggle—the liberals—are no longer welcome within the walls of our shining city upon a hill. We the People have all we need to make the United States a great country again, and the liberal naysayers must simply get out of our way. Behold, Sacco and Vanzetti have thus proclaimed the path towards America's salvation!

## **Liberalism**

Liberalism is a complete breakdown of the group-feeling that binds a people together and propels them forward through the eons. It is the creed of atomized individuals—wholly removed from, alienated, and disconnected from their people.

The original formulation of human society was the band or tribe. Group-feeling and cohesion was solid throughout this unit. Those members who developed interests contrary to that of the group were expelled. However, as societies grew more dense, populous, and complex, social pariahs were granted a place to hide: the city. The advent of the city made abandonment by one's peers no longer necessarily equivalent to hermitage or death in the wilderness but only to relocation and estrangement at worst.

Cities, the centers of political power and commercial wealth, have always attracted society's outcasts because such cities have always hungered for an influx of beings to exploit. This is because from the most ancient times onward urban centers have always relied heavily upon migrations from the surrounding countryside in order to sustain their population; urban peoples have always had a tendency not to proliferate abundantly. Therefore, the cities became magnets for the alienated cultural debris of society. And thus, cosmopolitanism and in turn liberalism—the ideology of the atomized individual—emerged.

This situation was exacerbated all across the Western world by the advent of the Industrial Revolution, which created a large rootless urban proletariat in every major city throughout both Europe and North America. The new industrial economies that emerged in this era required a colossal mass of human life for exploitation as labor in the factories, mines, and numerous other emerging industries. Thus, the political and economic elites of the industrializing societies purposefully promoted the massive amalgamation of atomized, alienated human lives in the urban centers in the same manner that a scientist grows bacterial cultures in a Petri dish. They did this to benefit themselves and their fortunes without any consideration for the ramifications upon the greater society. Not at any moment did they care to dispense with their decadent luxury long enough to realize that their industrial amalgams, which at the time seemed to enrich their societies with the products of industry, would grow into malignant tumors upon the society at large. Behold, the liberal negativity that thrived in this rootless urban proletariat is what led directly to the various social and political perturbations of Europe over the past century—the Russian Revolution, unsuccessful communist uprisings throughout the rest of Europe, the reaction of Fascism and Nazism against these communist revolts, and the disgusting descent into utter socialism of an exhausted and exasperated Europe after the Second World War.

In America, such stresses were avoided because of the abundant excess of land. The opportunities presented via westward migration had traditionally acted to alleviate social tensions. But the frontier has been settled now for quite some time, and America will soon suffer the fate of Europe unless something is done to quell the growing liberal unrest that bubbles outward from the metropolises, vomiting upon the countryside in petulant spasms.

\* \* \*

As the American people have waged their struggle to grow, thrive, expand, and persist over the past three centuries—a struggle that they were extraordinarily successful in waging—they took numerous *spiritual* casualties. The struggle was cosmic in nature, and there were many Americans who, unfortunately, did not possess the requisite mettle to endure psychologically intact. These poor souls fell from the American Megadeus, broke off from the greater cultural entity. They lamented their separation from their parent organism, and they became voices of dissent and dissidence. They—the various pieces of cultural debris—became *liberals*.

Of course, the American cultural entity continued onward with its cosmic struggle to impose America's will upon the world, ignoring the growing voice of protest at home. The American people were too focused upon their sacred mission of growth, expansion, and success to even hear the liberal malcontent. But somewhere along the way, liberalism began to construct its own adversarial Megadeus with which to combat the Megadeus of the American people. The once inconsequential clump of cultural debris had grown into a swollen mass, rivaling the American people themselves in size and number.

This is the domestic situation in the United States today. The evil epicenters of Wall Street, Washington, and Hollywood serve as the primary components of a towering, soulless Leviathan that holds the American people in bondage via chains both material and spiritual. This liberal Megadeus is not self-replenishing; liberal individuals tend not to have abundant numbers of offspring. Thus, it feeds upon both an incessant stream of foreign immigrants and converted members of the American Megadeus. The Leviathan's chains are literally draining the American cultural entity in order to fuel itself. Its tentacles are continuously ripping souls away from their parent organism and grafting them onto its own body.

The youth of the American people are especially vulnerable to the venomous tendrils of this lumbering liberal beast. It poisons and then assimilates them into itself, turning the offspring of patriotic, humble Americans into petulant, liberal cosmopolitans.

Behold, the American cultural entity that built this great nation and shaped this exalted world-system in which we live is being consumed and murdered by a recombinant monster. America must first slay this cancerous dragon if it is ever to live to fight again. Nature and nature's God have spelled out a mission for the American people: the eradication of liberalism.

\* \* \*

The most basic fundamental of life is *struggle*. All lifeforms, by their very nature, are engaged in a constant campaign to thwart the omnipresent threat of nonexistence. Even the most primitive amoeba struggles for each and every moment of its seemingly—to us—meaningless existence to resist re-absorption into the void of nonexistence from which it sprang at the moment of its inception. Life *is* struggle, every moment of it. Whether or not you are aware of it, a countless number of tiny cells within your own body are—right now, as you read—currently engaged in a heroic and nonstop battle to preserve your body and its vitals against the destructive and disintegrating forces of the entropy-laden Universe.

Societies and cultures—although not alive in the same sense that plants and animals are—also struggle to persist and maintain themselves amidst the chaos of our realm. And we humans, like the cells within our own bodies, are the ones who maintain such cultural entities via our collective actions. When the members of any given society play constructive, positive roles within that society, the society in question thrives, grows, and prospers. However, when the members of a society acquire goals or interests that are unrelated to—or worse, run counter to—the success of their society, that society will disintegrate just as surely as the body whose cells have turned cancerous will die. Thus, all stable and successful societies throughout history had evolved cultural norms and preferences that encouraged their members to act in accordance with the greater interests of their society. This was as true for the ancient Chinese in their prime as it was of the ancient Romans during their heyday, the Assyrians in their ascendancy, the early Mexica of the pre-Columbian Mesoamerican world-system, and even our own Western civilization prior to its current stage of decay. The adoption—within all of these once ascendant societies—of liberal tendencies, which elevated the interests of individuals and factions of society above the interests of society in general, led directly to their respective declines.

In contemporary America, liberals naively champion this path to societal disintegration. The whole history of liberalism in Western civilization is a history of freeing

individuals from their society. This process began with Enlightenment philosophy and, in particular, the teachings of John Locke, which erroneously asserted that man-in-a-state-of-nature was somehow divorced from society and that all of mankind's ills were the result of mankind's adoption of society. Nothing could be further from the truth. Man is first and foremost a social animal. He evolved under the umbrella of his society, and his survival—as well as his psychological well being—has always been utterly dependent upon that society. Of course, this obviously was not fully comprehended by many of the Enlightenment's preeminent thinkers.

Locke, like many of his fellow Enlightenment philosophers, believed himself to be a man of science who was contributing to the ultimate well-being of mankind. However, the spark of liberal rebellion against the greater good of society that he ignited would continue to grow into a blaze as subsequent thinkers contributed to his individual-focused philosophy.

Adam Smith, the famous economic thinker of the late eighteenth century, would attempt to justify an institutionalization of Lockean individuality by asserting that by seeking their own self-interest individuals would inadvertently benefit their society to the utmost potential—he termed this phenomenon an “invisible hand,” utilizing it to promote an idealization of economic individualism. However, this concept—once subjected to any meager amount of reasonable analysis—can be shown to be completely ridiculous.

Contrary to the wishy-washy nature of Smith's idealism, the interests of any given human being stands in an *inverse* relationship to the interests of his or her fellows and the society at large. Furthermore, contributions to society do not make any rational sense from the standpoint of an atomized individual, who possesses but one short life. Even the concept of procreation makes little sense under the ideology of individualism, but—obviously—people must reproduce if there is to be any sort of society whatsoever tomorrow. Individuals ultimately have a duty to look out for themselves and seek their own self-interest to a certain degree, but the unbridled individualism endorsed by liberalism radically divorces an individual from his or her society. Thus, the society declines, decays, and ultimately dies; but the wine of decadence and indulgence flows, the fireworks of distraction flare, and the liberal party continues on until the very last light goes out.

Of course, liberalism is and always has been enticing; there will always exist a temptation to ignore the fate of one's society and

embrace the blind and reckless abandon of an individualistic lifestyle. But just as our bodies struggle to maintain themselves against disintegration or the assaults of countless tiny microbes, We the People must constantly struggle to maintain our nation against both the foreign enemies of America abroad as well as the enervating virus of liberalism at home. All

life is struggle, and it is the nature of life to wage a never-ending struggle on two fronts—one external, and one internal. Liberals are the domestic enemies of our grand Republic. And We the People must struggle to dethrone liberalism: let Americanism, Militarism, and Nationalism serve as the antibodies required to rid the American body politic of its debilitating disease. Tell America's enemies that we—the American, Militant Nationalist conservatives—are coming.

\* \* \*

The very essence of all forms of leftism—liberalism and communism included—is nihilism and negativity. They cannot build but only destroy. The inner world-feeling of all leftists—from the Bolshevik revolutionaries of Czarist Russia to the modern American liberals of our present world situation—can be reduced to a disgust towards and rejection of life itself. Liberals are inherently anti-growth and anti-life, and liberal policies are often deliberately crafted to neutralize the power of the American people. Thus, the leftist traitors within America ultimately pose an even more dire threat to the success, wealth, and existence of the American nation than her foreign adversaries. When the people of this noble nation are united in struggle and purpose no foreign enemy can stand against her. If America ever falls—and even if the final blow actually comes from an invading foreign enemy—that fall will have only been made possible by an *internal* rot—and that is what, in essence, liberalism really is.

Indeed, the most ridiculous aspect of modern American liberalism is that it is even seen as a sort of political movement at all.

What we refer to as liberalism in the contemporary United States is ultimately nothing more than the manifestation of weakness in our society. And the various tenets of liberalism are simply rationalizations for various forms of surrender, capitulation, renunciation, resign, and apathy. Liberalism is an inward rot of American society, and the liberalization of America can be seen as a sort of *aging* of the American cultural organism—and the final triumph of liberalism will be synonymous with the death of that great organism.

The modern American liberal is cultural debris, and the various cultural manifestations of liberalism are representative of our collective spiritual exhaustion. Traditional American values required character and resolve to maintain. The lonely, alienated souls who shuffle through the various cosmopolises of the contemporary American landscape see no compelling reason to maintain this traditional resolve and character. Their

lethargic spirits cannot muster adequate energy to perceive any sort of depth or meaning in existence, and thus we witness their atheistic resignation. Their tepid hearts resort to sterility via abortion, abstinence, homosexuality—anything that might conceivably save them the trouble of having to rear the next generation of Americans. They hear the protestations and accusations of Western decadence that the Third World hurls impetuously towards America, and—lacking the necessary courage or cunning to repel such petulant whining—they concur. Foreigners seek to swarm across our nation's sovereign borders in order to enrich themselves at the expense of our children, and these sappy liberal pushovers step out of the way. They even go on to appease such invasions, and they do so because they perceive it to be the easy way out of our national dilemma—a simple raising of the white flag. Furthermore, they seek to accommodate and embolden our enemies throughout the Muslim world by acquiescence to the irrational demands of jihadists and Muslim extremists—again, the easy way out. It is far simpler to give in to the zealous opposition of our enemies than it is to mount a successful struggle against such opposition. Such a struggle might entail resolve, character, intellectual courage, or even a simple belief in America as a great nation. The easy and popular strategy among cosmopolitans is to blame America for everything, and these liberal weaklings are all simply conforming to this cosmopolitan trend.

Liberals have two prevailing forms: the villain and the weakling. And these two categories are quite often commingled within a single personality. Liberal world-feeling emanates from individuals who are possessed of insufficient or failing qualities, and liberalism in practice amounts to nothing more than a collective dissipation of the will to maintain society as anything more than a collection of selfish, frightened individuals.

Appeasement, surrender, accommodation—these are the manifestations of our nation's collective spiritual exhaustion. Open borders, the forsaking of traditions, a resignation in the realm of religion, acceptance and tolerance of diversity, sterility, a refusal to defend one's people and nation—these are the whimpers to which our world now dies.

\* \* \*

It must be noted, as perhaps the most conspicuous aspect of modern American liberalism, that those beings who have come under the sway of this liberal world-feeling are completely unconcerned with the fate or survival of our great American nation. The bulk of the liberal base consists of elements that have no vested interest in the greater fortunes of the American people as a *people*—a distinct cultural entity that continues onward, persisting

forward through the generations. Most of the political tenets of this liberalism focus upon immediate, myopic aims with no concern whatsoever for any long term strategy of American survival as a cultural entity. Even less consideration is given to the question of preserving American dominance and hegemony over the globe.

Conservatives naturally possess an instinctual concern for the fate of their offspring and their offspring's offspring. Thus, they have a historical sense. They worry about their distant descendants in the same manner that they worry about tomorrow, next year, or retirement. Liberals, on the other hand, have no such concern for their descendants. First and foremost, this is because liberalism is rampant amongst individuals that either have no children, do not want children, or are unlikely to have children. For example, individuals who pursue a homosexual lifestyle—and the same goes for those who pursue a selfish, libertine lifestyle—often have no vested interest in what happens to this nation after their own corporeal death. This is indisputable. One may feign to care about the future when they have no children, but it will forever remain just that—a feign. One can toy with the concept of worry over the course of our people's future. One can play and debate and speculate and argue and make bets. But one can only truly fear for the future of this nation once they have actively purchased a stake in that future by rearing offspring. When one has children—and all parents can testify to this—the thought of that child's death arouses a fear that even surpasses the fear of one's *own* death. Parents do not *choose* to feel this fear; nonetheless, they do. They care about not only the survival but the fortunes of their children, their grandchildren, and that eternal chain, which they imagine flowing forward, onward and forever, beyond the distant horizon of humanity's future. Childless persons have no such vested interest or worries; they may speak passionately about our nation's future over cocktails, but they do not lose much sleep over it.

Of course, there are people in this nation who have been blessed with children but continue to maintain a liberal orientation. This is because one can possess a vested interest in a people's future and yet be ignorant of how such a stake relates to contemporary politics. These individuals tend to fall into one of three categories: apathetic, cynical, or alien.

Those of the apathetic category include those Americans who may have had children by accident, in surrender to the demands of their partner, or in order to “trap” a partner. They had no historical sense or conservative instincts prior to parenthood, and because of either simplicity or shallowness they fail to adopt any such sense or instincts after becoming a parent. They may or may not come to care about their children, but they fail to move beyond the myopic selfishness of their liberal world-feeling.

Now, the cynical category encompasses those Americans who view life in general as

negative, filthy, or worthless. They too may have had a child or two by accident or because of some extenuating circumstance, but they tend to view the act of bringing children into the world as some sort of cruel punishment upon the child. They interpret life as failure and pain; and they perceive the world to have reached a state of chaos that is no longer conducive to human happiness or survival. Among this category abounds the sort of woman that may seek to either adopt or have a single baby merely to satisfy her own desire to mother something but sincerely hopes that the children she raises will in turn refrain from having offspring themselves. They often express sentiments such as “who would ever want to bring a child into this world anyway?”

And finally, those inhabitants of the American nation who are culturally alien to the American people are extremely susceptible to liberalism even when they are family oriented or possessed of a plethora of offspring. Indeed, recent immigrants often feel themselves as constituting a distinct cultural group separate from the American cultural Megadeus. Thus, they perceive the fortunes of their own children to be separate from the fortunes of the children of *real* Americans. And hence their susceptibility to liberalism, which seeks to weaken or destroy the American cultural organism. They instinctively perceive that the weakening of this greater American organism will provide ample room for their descendants to grow and prosper in this country at the expense of the offspring of We the People. Of course, after a generation or two—if assimilation is permitted—the children of immigrants will often come to identify themselves with the greater American cultural entity, but their immigrant parents are often too nearsighted to foresee this development. Thus, immigrants often seek protection from the liberal consensus and its primary vehicle, the Democratic Party.

Of course, there are many patriotic Americans possessed of conservative instincts and a sense of history who want children, have tried to have children, but cannot have children; as well as those who simply do not and never will rear offspring. Conservatism is not exclusive to parents.

Nonetheless, it cannot be denied that the growth-negating, life-denying nature of liberalism—wherever it is found—has an altogether enervating effect upon the productive and demographic dynamism of a nation. The various manifestations of liberal decay in any given society—atheism, lack of pride in one's people, a preference towards surrender, an aversion towards ascendant struggle, capitulation, and tolerance—all contribute to weakening the will and resolve of that society to the point of discouraging the most fundamental facet of organic life—reproduction and the proliferation of offspring.

There exists a vital link between liberalism and the phenomenon of a population's

suicide via deliberately limiting the number of births within that population. Thus, We the People witness the life-hating communist enemies of our sacred nation assiduously working to compel, persuade, pressure, and bully our young women into abortion clinics whenever they stand poised to contribute to the next generation of Americans. The propaganda mobilized towards this sordid end is of such astronomical proportions that it would almost be comical were not the issue so solemn. One would, after being subjected to such incessant cajolement, almost be tempted to view the prospect of witnessing the miracle of birth as akin to being sentenced to death or sold into slavery. And, unfortunately, the propaganda has been successful: the murdering of one's own unborn child has become an all too common experience for far too many American females of all classes—a shameful, scarring experience for young, gullible women who simply would have been blessing the unborn child with a chance to grow up in the greatest, most affluent nation on the planet had not they fallen victim to the communist liberals and their sickening plot to depopulate America in order to make room for the sprawling human masses of Afro-Eurasia, whose excess population will continue to be imported into the United States by those very same life-hating communist liberals. Our people, beneath the spell of liberalism, are willfully killing themselves off, and the empty space that could have been filled by our American children and grandchildren is instead surrendered to the eternally-increasing, uninhibited human surplus of the Third World. Liberalism is a creed of death.

## Conservatism

The very essence of the conservative movement is the American people, the *real* American people. And conservatism itself is not so much an ideology but a group-feeling; it is a sympathy for and identification with the American people and those policies that benefit and strengthen our noble nation. The conservative movement, rightly understood, is a positive, life-affirming movement. It is an expression of the American people's desire to live, grow, survive, expand, persist, and flourish. And the various policies advocated by the conservative movement are simply those policies that are in the interest of the American heartland hero as opposed to the interests of the entrenched liberal elites, foreign peoples and foreign nations, Hollywood, Wall Street, or Washington. In other words, conservatism is simply that natural affinity that the American people have for themselves, their way of life, their traditions, and their future; it is best understood when it is perceived as a sort of collective self-preservation instinct of the American people. Thus, conservatism—a positive affirmation of the American people as a viable cultural entity—stands in stark contrast to liberalism, which is a negative reaction *against* the American people and their natural desire to grow and prosper as a nation.

Because conservatism is a natural, instinctual, and organic phenomenon of the American cultural entity, it has never been confined or restrained by man-made ideals such as peace, equality, or “human rights.” Conservatism is a collective instinct of self-preservation on the part of the American people—it is the survival instinct of the American cultural entity made manifest. Thus, its tenets are not subject to morals but only the life-requirements and growth-necessities of the greater American cultural entity. Conservatism is American *group-feeling*. It is not a coherent philosophy to be articulated but a natural and instinctual patriotism, an affinity of the American people for themselves and their resplendent nation—nothing more.

In fact, many of the idealistic platitudes espoused by liberals—freedom, equality, peace, humanity, fairness—are simply the crafty inventions of such communist traitors, who created such concepts specifically in order to guilt-trip the American people and con them into working against their own interests and the interests of the American nation as a whole. Therefore, such empty ideals can only properly be understood as weapons of the entrenched communist elites that are utilized against the American people: magic runes cast by traitorous sorcerers in order to fetter the American cultural Megadeus. Unfortunately, however, such sorcery has actually been rather successful in neutralizing the will and resolve of the American people to better themselves and their progeny.

In a pathetic attempt to reconcile conservatism with the moralistic hogwash of liberal weaklings, various conservative political theorists and thinkers have unfortunately sought to define conservatism as a philosophy or ideology over the past several decades, pigeonholing the movement within the confines of specific tenets and pinning various idealistic hindrances upon it. This spiritual capitulation and surrender to liberalism was all naively done with the intent of defining and strengthening the cause of conservatism but has only resulted in an unhealthy congealment of the dynamism and vigor of the movement.

For example, conservative Americans were always willing to embrace capitalistic freedom or even instances of international free trade when it benefited Americans or American businesses that in turn employed or benefited Americans by some other means; however, they were nonetheless always willing to oppose economic freedoms or resort to protectionist trade restrictions such as embargoes and tariffs in order to prevent foreigners, foreign peoples, foreign businesses, or foreign nations from benefiting at the expense of Americans. And throughout the course of all this, such conservatives were willing to sing the praises of free markets at those times when it was to the benefit of the American people, but they were also willing to become unapologetic hypocrites when the success and prosperity of the American nation was at stake. All this was both natural and admirable—because the end result was success for the American nation. However, around the middle of the twentieth century—when the conservative movement began to become somewhat intellectualized—conservatives began to adopt a sort of idealistic loyalty to the concept of international free trade and free market capitalism. Thus, when international businesses—under the direction of the entrenched liberal elite apparatus—began to funnel American wealth into the hands of foreigners and foreign entities, the conservative movement found itself vacillate beneath the dictates of its new-found idealism. American conservatives, the *real* Americans, thus found themselves unable to effectively oppose international free trade and the interests of Hollywood, Wall Street, and Washington to the detriment of the American nation.

This abuse continues today: the liberal traitors have succeeded in turning the American people against themselves, unknowingly, by imbuing our populace with a fetishism for empty ideals and hollow morals. But, behold, the time is coming when the American people will see through the ruse and then proceed to act in their own interests and the interests of the American nation as a whole. An entire people cannot remain enslaved by their ignorance forever.

\* \* \*

Liberals falsely ascribe negative or antagonistic or obstructionist connotations to the right wing of American politics. This is partly due to the fact that in modern day America liberalism has come to dominate our culture, society, and government. Thus, true conservatism's only expression is opposition—opposition to the liberal status quo, that is. Indeed, because true conservatism is instinctual and cannot be justified within the confines of the liberal ideas that dominate Western modernity and the American psyche of our contemporary age, conservatives express an inherent discomfort, lack of conviction, and clumsiness when attempting to articulate programs of policy or "constructive" plans. Conservatives, in general, do not really know what they want; all that they know is that they hate liberalism and feel an all-permeating revilement towards the direction in which our nation has been moving, is moving, and will likely continue to move. Thus, they find themselves

rallying behind various intellectual positions that *seem* to represent an alternative to the progressive march of liberalism, but these positions usually amount to little more than the watered-down liberalism of the previous generation.

Indeed, because liberalism continuously marches onward in a progressive and cumulative manner—American society gets more and more liberal with the passing of each generation—a phenomenon has emerged: today's conservatives are indistinguishable from the liberals of yesterday, and the conservatives of tomorrow will be indistinguishable from the liberals of today. For example, the modern world-system in which the Western world exists is *liberal* capitalism. And the concomitant political formulation most conducive to this world-system is *liberal* Democracy. They go hand in hand, and the synergy between these two hallmarks of Western modernity is what has been primarily responsible for breeding liberalism, empowering liberalism, and facilitating liberalism's destruction of both the people and the culture of Western civilization.

When both capitalism and Democracy emerged, they were championed exclusively by liberal, negative elements of society. Indeed, in the nineteenth century, a liberal was defined almost exclusively by his fetishization of these two empty ideals, which colluded to destroy the old orders of all Western societies. Now, in today's world, "conservative" intellectual leaders grasp at these old, emptied-out liberal ideas in the most shallow and clueless manner, erroneously believing them to be conservative phenomena.

Of course, capitalism itself is not inherently an evil thing. But nineteenth century capitalism *was* originally utilized as a vehicle for liberals to supplant group-feeling with individualism. As such, an idealization of capitalism is a form of liberalism. This is why we can still witness an unholy alliance between the liberal elites of our current age and the

international bankers, financiers, and businessmen who have shattered the American dream by forsaking their patriotism in the name of profits, shipping our jobs overseas and filling the few jobs we have left with cheap, imported labor. Capitalism should not be abandoned by We the People; however, capitalism that harms our people and our nation should be regarded for what it really is: treason. Indeed, all forms of unbridled individualism that harm the American cultural entity amount to a traitorous liberalism and nothing more. Conservatism is instinctual, and any attempt to attach to conservatism any idealistic loyalties to anything inevitably corrupts true conservatism and turns it into a form of watered-down liberalism. If conservatives are to truly defend our nation they must be free to oppose a policy solely based upon the consideration of whether or not it is good for We the People and not upon the consideration of whether or not it is compatible with the idealized principles of laissez-faire capitalism or free trade.

Nevertheless, it is understandable why so many conservative Americans mistakenly confuse the liberalism of yesterday for tried and true conservative principles. Liberalism is and has been—for quite some time—the order of the day. Thus, any attempts by conservative individuals or organizations to reconcile themselves with modern American society is inevitably done in the language and within the moral confines of liberalism. This reality is greatly damaging to the conservative movement—how can one win an argument when one's enemies continuously set the terms and the parameters of the debate? We the People can only be successful in our ongoing war against liberalism if we abandon the morality and the worldview of our enemies. The time to argue for the fate of our nation in the language of philosophical old women is over! We the People must return to an organic and instinctual conservatism, unpolluted by the intellectual nonsense of the communist fiends who currently hold our nation in bondage. Let Militarism and Nationalism reign supreme and America will easily conquer all of her enemies, both foreign *and* domestic.

\* \* \*

The Republican Party was not always the primary political vehicle of conservative policy in the United States. Indeed, when the Republican Party first materialized in the mid-nineteenth century, it was *the* liberal party. It began as an abolition-zealotry party, and the fanatical Lincoln utilized it to transform the proto-industrial North into a vehicle of total war upon the recalcitrant South. After the war, the burgeoning symbiosis between the Republican Party and Northern industry—that had been established as the basis of Lincoln's internal imperialism—continued to deepen. Indeed, during the closing decades of the nineteenth

century, the Republican Party was solidified as the party of big business.

Now, contrary to popular conception, big business is and always has been a cesspool of liberalism. There exists and always has existed a revolving door between Wall Street and Washington. Governmental agencies and big businesses are simply permutations of the same phenomenon—a single ruling class permeates both offices. During the late nineteenth century—what Mark Twain called the “Gilded Age”—this alliance between the two towers of Washington and Wall Street collaborated to keep the borders of the United States forced wide open against the wishes of the American people. In order to satiate the appetite of big business for an incessant supply of cheap labor with which to underbid American workers, the United States government continued to maintain open borders and a lax, liberal immigration policy despite the fact that the vast majority of Americans were wholly opposed to such policies. It was a situation that closely resembled the dire situation of the contemporary United States: a liberal coalition of corporate and governmental elites keep the borders pried wide open against the wishes of the American heartland hero. Via instances such as the Chinese Exclusion Act the American people were able to somewhat counter the agenda of the anti-American liberal despots of the late nineteenth century, but complete victory over the entrenched liberal enemies of America did not come until the National Origins Act of 1924, which reduced all immigration to assimilable levels via quotas until those quotas were overturned in 1965, resulting in our contemporary immigration crisis.

At any rate, in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, the Republican Party was *the* liberal party—an amalgamation of feminists, progressives, minorities, immigrants, and the super rich captains of industry—who utilized their money or power to influence the United States government in a chimeric campaign against the interests of the American people. The Democratic Party on the other hand was a populist-conservative coalition of farmers and non-immigrant workers, both of whom suffered greatly beneath the progressive schemes of the chimeric liberal beast of big business and government.

Of course, although the Democratic Party was indeed the primary vehicle of conservative populism, it was not immune from the allure of power via collusion with the progressive liberal elites of Wall Street and Washington. The administration of Woodrow Wilson in fact marks the sharp turn of the Democratic Party to the far left of the political spectrum, outdoing even the previous progressiveness of the Republicans. In order to combat this ultra-liberalism of the Democrats, the Republican Party *began* to turn somewhat conservative during the 1920's as a part of their “return to normalcy,” a scheme concocted to take advantage of the anti-progressive backlash of popular sentiment against the overt and intrusive liberalism of the Wilson administration.

Later on, Franklin Deleanor Roosevelt's administration would drag the Democratic Party even further to the left. However, the reform liberalism of the Roosevelt administration was moderate compared to the insidious form of radical leftism—modern American liberalism—which would arise in the counter culture of the 1960's and then proceed to take over the Democratic Party. Indeed, in today's world Harry S. Truman and John F. Kennedy would be considered conservative Republicans! It was in response to this radical leftism of modern American liberalism that the contemporary conservative coalition would emerge, beginning first with the campaign of Barry Goldwater and later maturing under the leadership of Ronald Reagan.

Unfortunately, how the political situation stands today does not bode well for true conservatives. The Democratic Party's slow pivot towards the radical leftism of the petulant communist sympathizers and America haters of the 1960's has—with the presidency of Barack Hussein Obama—finally been completed. And the Republican Party is not much better: they prey upon conservative voters by paying lip service to the instincts of the American people; however, they have become a party that is fully controlled by liberal elites who are virtually indistinguishable from the liberal elites who control the Democratic Party. And both factions comprise the same traitorous aristocrats who inhabit the evil epicenters of Hollywood and Wall Street. We the People are dead to Washington! That putrid capital of our noble nation has long since forged an unholy alliance with New York and Los Angeles against the American cultural organism. We the People have *no* friends to turn to.

\* \* \*

The conservative movement is the sole shining star in the sky of the American political landscape; however, the term conservative itself is somewhat of an unfortunate hindrance to that movement. Indeed, the title of conservatism is a wholly inappropriate term to describe the movement that it denotes. Although the nonpolitical onlooker would be apt to associate the term with environmentalism, confusing it with conservationism, its true meaning actually denotes a preference for the preservation of traditional political forms. So, on the surface, conservatism means resistance to change. Conversely, liberalism is often associated with such change. This situation facilitates an impression that conservatism represents backwardness, blind or stubborn resistance to progress, and altogether old or outmoded ways and ideas. And liberalism, meanwhile, is perceived by the ignorant masses to represent “progress” or the “way of the future.” Liberalism is seen as the progressive engine of upward and positive change, and conservatism is misrepresented as being the narrow-minded “stick

in the mud” bumpkin intent upon opposing or resisting the inevitable onward march of liberal progress. And liberalism is thus portrayed as positive and constructive while conservatism is portrayed as negative and destructive.

Of course, the complete reverse is actually true. Conservatism is in its most fundamental sense an affirmation of life and the struggle that encompasses all such life. It is a positive, growth-facilitating, life-affirming force. Liberalism, on the other hand, is wholly negative, and it seeks only to tear down, repel, restrict, destroy, and oppose—it is a life-denying, growth-negating force that decries life and mourns the life-struggle. Conservatism represents those life-feelings of a people that compel them to assert their will-to-live upon nature and existence. Liberalism is a reactionary force against this compulsion to live; it is a will-to-death.

Unfortunately, the life-hating liberal communists have gained an upper hand over the forces of good by setting the terms of the debate. These cunning fiends have placed the label of conservatism upon us in order to suggest that their philosophy is positive and ours is the reactionary negation. But history for America was marching a straight and uncorrupted path towards victory and success before the liberal cowards cried out, “no, stop!”

Thus, the term conservatism—placed upon our movement by its enemies—should be rightly abandoned by We the People. It fails to denote anything but a passive, halfhearted resistance to the incessant waves of liberal reform constantly being successfully pushed through by the entrenched liberal elites. The title of conservative inspires only a resistance to liberal change and does nothing to inspire spirited battle for the type of society We the People would wish to implement—it does not even suggest what that society might look like. And thus, whenever conservatives gain power the result is often nothing more than a mere halt in liberal reforms instead of a complete reversal of any past reforms. Battle cries of “Family, God, and Country” announce the objects of our loyalty and affection, but they fail to suggest how such treasures may successfully be protected. American Militant Nationalism is at once a statement, a battle cry, a blueprint, a plan, a program, an anthem, an argument, a creed, an announcement, an assertion, an insistence, a declaration, a banner, and a belief. What We the People need is clarity of vision, and this can be provided by American Militant Nationalism.

Throughout the remainder of this tome, we shall continue to utilize the term conservative in order to avoid confusion. But we do this only with the full recognition that We the People must hereby begin to publicly proclaim ourselves to be American Militant Nationalists before the onlooking entrenched liberal elites and their bias media apparatuses so that we may instill the fear of God into their trembling hearts. The tenets of Americanism, Militarism, and Nationalism are all anathema to the communist liberals and their life-negating

agenda of death for the American people. Endorsement of this Holy Trinity of political doctrines before our evil enemies will not only imbue our movement with a spiritual fervor but also shatter the resolve of the leftist cowards who will immediately know that the ruse is up and that America will serve mammon no more: the American heartland heroes have seen through their lies, and We the People are marching for blood!

## Libertarianism

The libertarian movement was an extreme attempt to *intellectualize* the conservative base of the Republican Party. What we refer to as conservatism is simply a natural and instinctual sympathy of the American people for whatever happens to be in the best interest of our American nation. The original European American stock that repelled the British, expanded westward, and liquidated the Native Americans, organically developed a world-feeling to fit their agenda of growth, conquest, success, and advancement. We now refer to this world-feeling as conservatism. No bookish philosophizing or moralizing was a part of its organic and instinctual development. But libertarianism was a sharp break with this tradition; it represented an attempt to argue for conservatism by liberal methods—namely philosophy. And in this sense, libertarianism was a sort of *liberalization* of the conservative movement, and it subjected conservative policy aims to the scrutiny of the intellect.

The American people were always willing to fight for the betterment of themselves and their nation with or without any consideration for whether they were doing anything “right” or “wrong” in the moral or philosophical sense of the matter. When conservatives—the defenders of the American cultural entity—found themselves having to defend their life-affirming struggle with rationalistic appeals to morality and philosophy, which is what in essence libertarianism is, it was a signal that the spirit of liberalism had finally succeeded in completely dominating the social atmosphere of American society—and the founders of libertarianism were simply attempting to adjust conservatism to this dominant spirit of liberalism. Thus, libertarians are simply conservatives who have succumbed to the spirit of liberalism and accepted the validity of liberal morals and values; they believe that the interests of the American people have to be justified in a manner that is consistent with the ideals of liberalism. True libertarians do not consider the end goals of liberal policies to be deplorable. In fact, they believe such end goals to actually be admirable! However, they insist that conservative-libertarian policies can bring about these end goals more efficiently than liberal policies. This runs completely counter to the traditional conservative world-feeling, which views the end goals of liberal policies to be wholly alien to the interests of the American people.

Liberal weaklings have always resorted to mind games—such as philosophizing or moralizing—in their assault upon the greater American cultural entity. This is because philosophy, and the construction of vast mental mazes via such philosophy, was once the only available tool by which such weaklings were able to successfully assault the American people. As America engaged in life-struggle with its enemies—foreigners, Native Americans,

dissidents, political enemies—the communist traitors stood on the sidelines and utilized their philosophy in a sinister attempt to sap the American fighting spirit. Of course, the American people never paid much attention to this prattle, but that all changed once the communist liberals achieved power in the early twentieth century, proceeding to entrench their positions in the evil epicenters of Washington, New York, and Los Angeles.

\* \* \*

In the latter half of the twentieth century, libertarianism arose as a purist movement within the conservative movement. Its founders were intellectuals who utilized the philosophy and morality of liberals in order to “justify” the tenets of conservatism on a *rational* basis. However, because conservatism was a life-affirming force that sprung naturally from the will-to-live and the will-to-grow of the American people, it was—like all organic properties—fundamentally *irrational*. Thus, the intellectualized philosophy of libertarianism that arose during the latter half of the twentieth century—instead of strengthening the conservative movement as it was originally intended to do—only ever succeeded in turning true conservatives into half-liberals by forcing them to rationalize their conservative instincts. Because true American conservatism, as a survival instinct of the American people, *could never and can never* be rationalized (while still remaining truly conservative), any attempt to do so will only water down and corrupt that conservatism. Thus, if the conservative movement is ever to get back in touch with its primordial strength and original vitality it must discredit the libertarianism that is slowly poisoning it and making it more and more of a warmed-over liberalism.

Modern libertarianism has its basis in two primary figures of the mid-twentieth century: F. A. Hayek and Ayn Rand. Neither of these two figures were Americans. Rand did immigrate to America as a young adult, but she was a native of Russia. She grew up during the Russian Revolution, and her exposure to the communism that prevailed there obviously poisoned her worldview. Many of her supporters claim that her firsthand experience with Bolshevism welded her into the ultimate conservative warrior; however, upon close inspection of her romantic philosophy it becomes rather apparent that she had unknowingly imbibed the spirit of radical leftism during her childhood, even if she swore otherwise.

For example, Rand was not only a radical atheist but a thorough cosmopolitan and extreme individualist. She never bore her American husband any children either, but instead proceeded to cuckold him as she amused herself with younger men whom she also

dominated. It almost seems as if she had been some sort of an early feminist. In other words, she was a liberal through and through.

Hayek was less of a liberal. Nevertheless, he was an eggheaded Austrian intellectual who had no concept of the conservative struggle of the American cultural Megaduece. All he knew, as an economist, was that his flow charts and graphs said good things about all the profits big capitalists were making. His vehement stance against the economic salience of communism won him much applause among American conservatives, but in reality Hayek was opposed to all political forms other than anarcho-capitalism, and he subsumed all these—to him, disagreeable—political forms under the amorphous label of "collectivism." The entire orientation of his peevish soul was towards individualism, and thus liberalism in general. The fact that his ideas were ever able to influence a single American conservative soul lies in the coincidence that American conservatives of the Cold War era found in his writings a temporary and expedient critique of the Soviet economy.

Both these figures made wholly intellectual arguments, their arguments were picked up by conservative-leaning American intellectuals, and modern libertarianism was thus born. It was and still remains an attempt to give form to one's conservative instincts via philosophy and liberalism. In fact, the radical individualism that is inherent in libertarianism often puts many libertarians on the same side of America's liberal enemies in more than one debate. This is no surprise because modern American liberalism and modern libertarianism are both liberal, individual-focused ideologies. The fact that libertarianism is becoming more popular only shows how deeply the spirit of liberalism and individualism has succeeded in permeating the American cultural milieu.

\* \* \*

Of course, while the conservative movement seeks to discredit libertarianism, it must always be kept in mind that if libertarians were not innately predisposed towards sympathizing with conservatism they would be full blown liberals. Libertarians are not enemies of the American cultural organism. No, they are simply misguided. And it is a fact that, given the choice, most of them would rather stand side by side with their conservative brethren against the evil liberal Megadeus when the time comes, and this natural amity must always be kept in mind as the conservative movement deals with libertarianism. Libertarianism is to be discredited, but libertarians themselves should not be considered enemies of We the People as the liberal traitors and communist villains are.

Again, libertarianism began with an attempt to turn conservatism into a congruent and rational philosophy. Of course, being an irrational group-feeling, an organic survival instinct of the American people, any attempt at rationalizing conservatism would pigeonhole it, force it to congeal into something inorganic, force it to be something other than it really is. Therefore it was only natural that when the founders of libertarianism attempted to work out the moral maze of their philosophy, they discovered that various aspects of conservatism were intellectually contradictory. And they attempted to solve these contradictions by idealizing what they saw as the greatest conservative instinct—the love of liberty (hence the term libertarianism).

Conservatives have always loved liberty; yes, they have always been repulsed by the tendency of government to invade their personal lives or impede the growth and prosperity of their community. These are healthy instincts for any people. A healthy cultural organism—i.e., one that has not been corrupted by the disease of liberalism—desires room to grow, breath, and explore its destiny free of hindrances, fetters, and interference. And the liberals have indeed colluded to utilize big government to choke the life out of the American people. Their programs and bureaucracies act as venomous tendrils that constrict the life-ways of We the People. However, if the government was in the hands of the American people, it would be wholly appropriate and necessary to utilize governmental power against criminals, illegal aliens, foreigners, foreign governments, and other enemies of We the People.

The founders of libertarianism, however, saw this duality to traditional conservatism as irreconcilable. The rationale of their philosophy suggested that if one wanted to restrain governmental power in one area, then it would be hypocritical not to restrain that same governmental power in other areas. Thus, libertarians sought to solve these philosophical "inconsistencies" of conservatism by adopting a fundamentalist devotion to what they call small or limited government across the entire spectrum of governmental policy. They then cloaked this idealistic position in the rhetoric of "freedom" or "liberty."

Of course, the traditional position of the true conservative is not, nor ever was, contradictory. Of course a people needs freedom to grow, expand, and succeed. But a people also needs the right to utilize their collective power via governmental forms to secure this freedom by impinging upon the freedom of others, outsiders, and enemy peoples. Conservatism is simply a collection of sympathies towards the American cultural entity, its growth-needs, and its success-requirements. Conservatism is an organic movement, and it is thus irrational—life does not need to be justified or rationalized and often cannot be. Naively, the founders of libertarianism saw many of the tenets of this sympathy for the American cultural organism as intellectually irreconcilable and therefore “immoral.” Thus,

libertarianism's attempt to rationalize conservatism only served to stretch and twist it in order to fit the demands of an inorganic, lifeless, intellectual, liberal philosophy.

But life needs no philosophy. The fact that a life exists is both the warrant for and the justification of that life. The American people exist, and thus they must do what they have to do in order to grow, expand, succeed, and flourish. To do otherwise is to die. Libertarianism may be intellectually satisfying to conservative youths who have come under the spiritual sway of liberal communism and our age's incessant need to rationalize and justify everything via philosophy and morals, but libertarianism can never sustain the spirit of a people and their life-requirements. And at this point in American history all morality is bunk anyways. What good are mental mazes in a question of life or death? The American cultural Megadeus will take what it needs to sustain itself and enrich its children. Let the communist traitors squeal. Let the liberal weaklings talk their philosophy. All philosophy will be fully recognized as cowardice in the coming era of world desperation, and ideals have nothing to do with what needs to be done in order to save America. Our way of life is hanging by a thread: a moment's hesitation could result in the blackness of the abyss. What room now do we have for moralizing? For philosophy? Only action from here on out will suffice. The future of America depends upon it.

## **Militarism**

## American Militarism

Nearly two-hundred and fifty years ago, when the United States first materialized upon the periphery of the British Empire, it was nothing more than a collection of underpopulated colonies straddling the Atlantic Coast of the vast North American continent. But in less than one hundred years, it had come to dominate the preponderant bulk of that vast continent. And in less than one hundred years after *that*, upon the conclusion of the Second World War, it had come to dominate the entire Western Hemisphere, control the entire Pacific Ocean up to and including the Japanese archipelago and the southern half of the Korean peninsula, and occupy the entire western half of Europe. This growth, this expansion, this conquest—and not, as the liberal pundits are so fond of espousing, ideals of diversity or Democracy—is what was primarily responsible for bestowing the United States with its vast amounts of wealth and power.

The United States, until recently, has never been a peaceful, pacifistic, inward-facing nation. The United States acquired its size, wealth, and power via Militant conquest and war. This is not something, as the liberal weaklings would have us believe, to be ashamed of. Americans have always been a strong, rugged, angry, hostile, Militant people. This is what made America great. Conversely, the recent trends of weakness, tolerance, apathy, pacifism, universalism, “understanding,” acceptance, and femininity have resulted in an accelerated erosion of America's wealth and power.

Every nation or empire that has ascended, expanded, or acquired wealth and power has done so at the expense of foreign or neighboring entities via conquest, subjugation, exploitation, and either extermination or assimilation. This is the *natural order* of things. Nature and nature's God permit no other means of success than victory by spirited and hostile competition—and to the victor goes the spoils! The strong have always taken what they want, and they have been better off than the losers because of it.

The history of the American Republic is a history of noble conquest and imperialism. America became the world's dominant hegemon because it successfully captured and then exploited the resources of nearly an entire continent in the swiftest and most successful expansion in the history of the world. From its inception in the 1780's to the Gadsden Purchase of 1853, it took just over half a century for the American people to acquire the entire contiguous United States. Great Britain, Mexico, Spain, hordes of Native Americans—the interests and “rights” of all these alien peoples were rent asunder in order to fuel the

ascendancy of that awesome, shining star: the United States of America.

We are the inheritors of an unprecedented degree of wealth and privilege previously unknown in the history of the world, and this sacred inheritance was won by the sword of our forefathers. Blood, sweat, tears—all were given in a zealous, burning desire to create a better life for us, their inheritors. These forefathers of ours sacrificed in order to provide us with a higher standard of living.

But now, communist weaklings and foreigners seek to piss this inheritance away, contemptuously asserting all the while that our forefathers—those who sacrificed to bequeath to us our current state of success and opulence—were fiends, invaders, warmongers, racists. The American people have been guilt-tripped into surrendering their privileged position in the world, and the spiraling decline of our noble Republic is the visible result of American self-loathing and surrender—a blatant refusal by the American people, facilitated by liberal propaganda, to embrace the Militancy that placed our nation upon its pinnacle atop the order of the world.

\* \* \*

Beginning with the Revolutionary War, the American people initiated a decades-long struggle with the British Empire for various parts of the North American interior, which did not end with the conclusion of that war but continued on through the War of 1812, and which was finally settled with the Oregon Treaty of 1846. During this struggle, the United States was almost always successful in winning whatever territory was desired from the British and their Native American allies with the one exception being Canada, which resisted conquest and repelled actual American invasions on two separate instances—once during the Revolutionary War and once during the War of 1812.

The United States was also spectacularly successful in its Militant dealings with Mexico, gaining vast swathes of territorial acquisitions from this southwestern neighbor. The first of these acquisitions came when the Mexican territory of Texas was overrun by a massive wave of American settlers who first seceded from Mexico as the Lone Star Republic, and then joined the United States to become what we now know as the state of Texas. This was followed by the Mexican-American War, a complete American military success that resulted in the transfer of the entire northern half of Mexico to the United States. And the Gadsden Purchase gave even more Mexican territory to the United States. All of this was completed by 1853, barely more than sixty years after the constituting of our noble Republic.

Meanwhile, during the course of these spectacularly successful struggles against Great Britain and Mexico, the United States successfully purchased vast swathes of North American territory from France and Spain. In 1804, the Louisiana Purchase—the single greatest and cheapest acquisition of land in the history of the world—was finalized; and in 1819, Florida was purchased from Spain. Great wars of annihilation were subsequently waged throughout these territories, as well as those territories secured from Great Britain and Mexico, in order to exterminate the various Native American peoples who would not willfully assimilate into or fully acquiesce to the expanding American organism. And thus, the contiguous United States was consolidated in one of the purest and most noble episodes of imperialism in the history of the Earth. But our exalted nation refused to stop there.

Throughout the latter half of the nineteenth century, the United States began to assiduously construct an overseas empire throughout Latin America, the Pacific, and East Asia. In 1867, Alaska was purchased from Russia and Midway was officially annexed. In 1898, the Spanish-American War provided the United States with a plethora of overseas territories—Puerto Rico, the Philippines, and Guam (Cuba also became a sort of U.S. protectorate). Wake Island and various islands of what is now American Samoa were annexed in 1899, and the Hawaiian Islands were annexed the following year. In the following decades, the United States continued to exert its influence throughout South America and the Pacific. And upon completion of the Second World War, the United States found itself in control of nearly half the globe.

None of this growth, expansion, and consolidation was carried out with any reverence for any of the many useless, self-castrating ideals of liberalism. Had any of the life-retarding tenets of liberalism actually been taken seriously by the American cultural organism, the ascendant evolution of our empire would have remained eternally frustrated. Indeed, prior to the twentieth century, policy was generally conducted in a wisely Militant manner. However, beginning with the administration of Wilson, liberal idealism succeeded in creeping up into forefront. The extent to which these liberal ideals prevailed in the administration of American policies has been in direct proportion to the extent to which the United States has damaged itself, its people, its standard of living, and its pinnacular position in the world.

\* \* \*

Although liberal ideals never succeeded in completely dominating the policies of the United States until recently, they have

always been present—an unsure, shaky backdrop against the grand happenings of American history. For example, there were staunch opponents to the “imperialism” of the highly advantageous and successfully waged Mexican-American War even in the Senate; the materialist Lincoln was one of them. But for much of America's history this backdrop remained just that—a backdrop. Unfortunately, the practical Militancy of the United States would begin to slowly give way to liberal idealism with the accidental insertion of the idealistic and overtly progressive Woodrow Wilson into the presidency.

I say accidental because a Republican candidate was destined to win the election, but the Republican vote was split between Roosevelt and Cleveland. Thus, the ascension of Wilson to the throne was an anomaly of history—an anomaly that had disastrous effects upon the conquest-destiny of the United States. This is because the United States, under the direction of Wilson, would refuse to embark upon an adroit and rational advancement of its own interests, choosing instead to vacillate between starry idealism and clouded mysticism.

While the Mexican government imploded into the Mexican Civil War, the United States could have easily conquered, annexed, and assimilated this southern neighbor. Indeed, all the great powers of the world were preoccupied in Europe, and America could have exercised a freehand—unhindered and uninhibited—in Mexico. And the American will to do just that was wholly present; Pancho Villa's raids across the border had ensured this! Unfortunately, the weakly, cautious Wilson refused to do anything more than send General Black Jack Pershing on a token retaliation.

Simultaneously, the United States could have easily “persuaded” the British colonies of Canada, New Zealand, and Australia to accept incorporation into the American Union while Great Britain was busy fighting for its life against the Germans. Instead, Wilson was content to admit a reluctant American populace into the Anglo-German conflict as a British auxiliary. This was done to prop up a dying *British* empire—an ironic fate for a nation whose embryonic struggle was to free itself from that very empire. Meanwhile, the German empire, along with its Austrian ally, had been merely seeking to extend its dominion over Russia and a few other various states of Eastern Europe and the Balkan peninsula. These aims of the two chief Central Powers were not hostile to American interests. Unfortunately, the French and the British were nonetheless successful in bullying a gullible Wilson into enlisting his country as a mercenary state at their joint disposal in a war that would benefit them and them alone. They did this by appealing to Wilson's idealism and his mysticism, portraying the Germans as *too* Militant—whatever that means. Perhaps the fact that both the French and especially the British empires were built upon rivers of blood and excesses of Militarism escaped Wilson during the First World War. Perhaps the fact that intransigent Militarism had bestowed the

United States with its size, wealth, and power somehow escaped him at the time as well. Most likely, the dreamer-in-chief was too preoccupied with the desire to implement the tenets of his mystical worldview to spare any time for the consideration of any facts whatsoever—and thus his policies remained completely divorced from political realities.

Wilson was a liberal idealist who synthesized the communist materialism of Marx with the Calvinist theology of his upbringing. He viewed the apocalyptic coming of “the Millennium” depicted in the Book of Revelations as a biblical metaphor for the triumph of liberal socialism worldwide. And he believed that the role of political leaders was to coax their populations—slowly, over the course of successive generations—into a state compatible with this socialist, worldwide utopia. Thus, instead of advancing the cause of the common American and fighting for American interests abroad, Wilson appropriated the energies of our noble Republic, redirecting them towards the implementation of his worldwide, socialist utopia.

Of course, the American people had no interest in this mystical idealism of their professor-president. Not only did the nation enter the First World War only reluctantly, but afterward they remained wholly opposed to his dreamy proposals for a “League of Nations.” The bitter aftertaste of the Wilson administration manifested itself in a wholesale resistance, on the part of the American populace, to foreign engagements altogether. As a result of Wilson's utilization of the American military for idealistic and mystical purposes, the American people began to collectively view wars as counter to the interests of a people—as Wilson's war clearly was to the American people.

\* \* \*

Wilson was not successful in gaining popular American acquiescence to his scheme of implementing a worldwide socialist order. Thus, the United States did not join the League of Nations that was created by the Treaty of Versailles. However, Wilson's incessant idealistic pleas and preaching—to both the American populace and the world—did succeed in somewhat imbuing the American nation with liberal sympathies. And from Wilson onward these liberal sympathies increasingly threatened to take the United States, its people, and their Militant spirit hostage.

Although the United States continued to expand its influence throughout Latin America and the Pacific after the First World War, consolidating a sort of pseudo-empire throughout both regions, the “national self-determination” prattle of Wilson lingered like guilt over the American psyche. Our noble Republic found itself increasingly rationalizing its

pseudo-imperialism to conform with liberal ideals. This had in fact been occurring since the Spanish-American War, but the entirety of the life-necessities of growth, expansion, and conquest were now being actively called into question on the basis of their incompatibility with liberal idealism. The Kellogg-Briand Pact, outlawing war, was the epitome of this naive petulance towards the irrevocably harsh nature of life itself.

The administration of Franklin Delano Roosevelt was an especially disastrous development. The ascension of FDR to the presidency in 1933 initiated a thirteen-year prison sentence for our noble Republic under its first communist dictator. And under the long and tortuous reign of his regime the American populace was bombarded with a constant barrage of liberal memes in what was the most intense period of state propaganda throughout the entire history of our grand and exalted nation. In all fairness, FDR did possess some imperialistic instincts, but they were generally vitiated by his overt liberal idealism.

World War Two succeeded in handing half of mainland Europe and nearly the entire former Japanese empire to the United States; but, after over a decade of liberal brainwashing, the foreign policy of our noble nation had become fully oriented towards “helping others” rather than towards helping America and Americans. Thus, following the conclusion of the Second World War, the United States began to simply give away portions of its hard-won empire. Rescued nations such as Great Britain or Australia were never even considered for annexation. France and its colonial possessions were immediately restored to independence and autonomy. The Philippines were granted their independence on the fourth of July in 1946—cute, really. Japan, Italy, and West Germany were all rebuilt and granted independence—although massive American military bases still remain in all three former Axis Powers. Only the incipient Cold War prevented the United States from completely renouncing all of these pseudo-imperialistic holdings. However, the liberal pretense of “helping others” remained the cornerstone of American foreign policy even throughout that protracted struggle, and the United States has continued granting various territories “independence” to this very day. Have we not already abandoned our most recent possessions—Iraq and now Afghanistan—upon this altar of morality as well?

If the United States had taken even half the energy that it has expended upon internal liberal indoctrination of its own citizens and instead directed it outward in the form of pro-American propaganda and American cultural assimilation projects throughout its territorial possessions, then much of Latin America, every island throughout the Pacific, the entire western half of Europe, most of east Asia, and much of the Middle East would have all been successfully incorporated into the Union as Americanized, English-speaking states.

## Militarism and Western Civilization

Traditionally, it has been common for liberals to assert that Western ideals of freedom, Democracy, equality, or justice have been responsible for the meteoric growth and expansion of Western civilization during the eighteenth, nineteenth, and twentieth centuries. In other words, liberals generally assert that it is liberalism that has made the West exceptional. Of course, some of the most radical leftists have recently begun to deny that America and the West are *in any way* exceptional whatsoever, adopting the strategy of labeling the West's meteoric rise as a “fluke” that was in some way resultant upon accidents of geography—a trend that is intended to deny *any* credit for the rise of Western civilization to *any* aspect of that civilization whatsoever, lest Westerners might take a little pride in themselves. But that is another story; let us now concern ourselves only with the widespread liberal assumptions concerning the role of cosmopolitan idealism and civic humanism in the rise of Western Civilization. The point is this: freedom, Democracy, equality—none of these empty ideals have had anything to do with the astounding success of our civilization over the past few hundred years. The success of the West has been wholly contingent upon a *Militant* spirit, and any liberal attempt to credit this success to the advent of liberal ideals is completely erroneous.

In the fourteenth century, Europe was an impoverished backwater. It was an inconsequential province of the vast Eurasian world-island. But during the fifteenth century, the blond-and-red-headed barbarians began to construct huge seagoing vessels in order to traverse the oceans of the world and acquire plunder. These Europeans—the Portuguese, the Dutch, the Spanish—emulated their Viking forebears who had snaked their way down from Scandinavia via the river systems of Europe to wreak havoc and ruin. But they now adopted this schematic upon a world stage.

When European warrior-explorers first exploded upon the Asiatic scene in the middle of the fifteenth century, the pacifistic Indians and Indonesians who conducted and enriched themselves through trade upon the Indian Ocean must have been struck as if by a bolt from the blue. The Portuguese sailed into trading ports with huge ships full of soldiers and then proceeded to unexpectedly seize them. The Dutch arrived at other cities and did the same. Spain finally arrived on the scene with their taking of the Philippines, a feat that followed their conquest of the vast, recently discovered continents of the New World. Then the English and the French would eventually arrive in Asia as well and carve out their respective spheres, primarily upon the mainland of the Indian subcontinent (the British would later supplant the French here during the Seven Years' War). And thus, the jewels of trade throughout the

Indian and Pacific Oceans were forcefully appropriated by various European powers who proceeded to enrich themselves through the vast and manifold commerce of the region.

These activities throughout the East were carried out with a simultaneous colonization of the New World that was first discovered and conquered by Spain. Portugal was quick to also make claims upon the eastern coast of South America. Meanwhile, France and Britain began to stake out their respective territories throughout the north. The Dutch and even the Swedes jumped on the bandwagon as well, but it was not long before their colonial ventures in North America were subsumed within the British enterprise. The Caribbean, which was perhaps the most economically profitable area of colonization during this period, became a checkerboard of Spanish, Portuguese, English, French, and Dutch sugar plantations.

During the eighteenth century, after Westerners had been assiduously exploiting the world for nearly three centuries, liberalism began to slowly emerge. But the West was already in the midst of its meteoric rise, which was directly resultant upon the conquest and exploitation of peoples and territories—as well as the appropriation of various profitable trade routes—that had been occurring since the fifteenth century. Thus, the rise of the West had everything to do with Militant Nationalism and nothing to do with liberal idealism. Indeed, if anything, liberalism was a result of the increasing prosperity of the Western world. Throughout the whole of human history, success and luxury has always led to corruption and the parasitic cancer we call liberalism. True, the Western Industrial Revolution of the nineteenth century would propel the West even further, permitting its various states to conquer all of Africa and many parts of inland Asia (the British would finally take all of India, and the Great Powers would all converge upon China). However, this industrialization, which began near the end of the eighteenth century, also had little to do with the burgeoning liberal concepts of equality, liberty, and Democracy that were developing in France and the United States. Indeed, this industrial revolution was in fact first confined solely to England, but it was quickly adopted by all adjacent states regardless of the degree of liberalism that they possessed. Behold, if any reader dares to doubt this, let that reader face the fact that the authoritarian Germany adopted industrialization almost simultaneously with the democratic United States.

The rise of Europe over the course of a few centuries during the last millennium is attributive to two distinct reasons: Militarism and competition. The success of the West can be seen as a sort of mathematical function of these two inputs. Since their inception, the peoples of Europe were wholly imbued with a Militant spirit, and the reality of Europe's political division into several distinct states channeled this Militant spirit into a competitive struggle between these distinct states, which channeled the energies of Europe into cunning and

creative enterprises that were designed to increase the wealth and power of one state or another.

The most striking fact about European civilization is that it was a late bloomer. Civilizations such as those found in China, India, and the Middle East stretch back to the third or fourth *millennium* BCE. Greece and Italy contributed to a European civilization upon the Mediterranean during the first millennium BCE, but Roman Europe had fallen into the hands of Norse and Germanic barbarians by the middle of that same millennium. Thus, while China and India had become ensconced within several millennia of effete civilization, Europeans remained overtly patriarchal and warlike. And in fact, it is only in the past century that this basis of Western civilization has finally imploded upon itself, giving way to femininity and pacifism. Indeed, the West conquered the world first and foremost because it possessed both the will and the desire to do so.

The other factor behind the propulsion of Western civilization was the reality and primacy of competition within the power structure of Europe between the emerging centers of European power. Perhaps because the separate barbarian polities of Europe were equally warlike and equally possessed of an indomitable will, no single nation was ever able to maintain a hegemony over the complete entirety of the Western Eurasian peninsula, Europa. Thus, the energies of the competing European peoples were continuously directed towards the intense struggle of their states with one another for domination of the European balance of power. Upon its inception, the United States immediately became both a factor and a contestant in this struggle. And although America was unique in the fact that it was removed from Europe, this fact in no way removed it from European power struggles, and the young United States constantly felt itself to be in a position of extreme vulnerability to the European powers and thus feverishly sought to strengthen its own position through an increase in its own wealth and power relative to Europe.

Indeed, Europe was always divided, and it was the constant Militant competition between these Western states that pushed the West towards both its dominion over the entire globe and the Industrial Revolution. Europe was a place that was constantly engaged in an epic and precarious struggle for survival. This ascendant struggle made Europeans the masters of the world. However, this struggle also ironically led to the final destitution of Europe as the result of two world wars. Their mutual competition led the various European powers to frantically search for and develop more and more devious methods of killing one another in order to gain an edge, but these methods were usually deployed in an act of displacement: the leading nations of Europe transmuted their hatred of one another into a scramble for colonial possessions and territorial concessions around the world. But once all

of the world was gobbled up by these nations, they were forced to turn upon one another, transmuting their ritualized competition into direct and actual warfare. This is the great tragedy of Western civilization: after its various permutations, the nations of Europe, had finally succeeded in conquering the world, they then chose to turn upon one another instead of coalescing into a united Imperium that would have maintained joint Western rule over the whole of this lost, spinning Earth-ball. Unfortunately, the United States—via its own naivety—willingly played a key role in this destruction of the European-led world order.

Although wholly Western in its soul, the United States has always tried to view itself as separate from Europe. And in one way the United States *was* actually different from the various states of Europe: by the twentieth century, it had come to comprise, not a single country, but a vast continent. This put America in an extremely advantageous position over Europe, which remained divided into several powers that soon found themselves warring with one another over the spoils of the entire globe. Because of their small sizes, the nations of Europe required overseas territories and colonies in order to maintain their status as world powers. The United States did not need any such possessions to remain a first rate power (although it did maintain a sort of unofficial empire over the entire Western hemisphere, guarding the nations of Central and South America from European colonization but subjecting them somewhat to the prerogatives of Washington). However, this difference between America and Europe was not wholly recognized at the time—although it was perhaps instinctively felt by both sides, especially the Europeans—and would thus create a divergence in the relationship between the United States and its European allies after 1919.

When the United States intervened in the First World War, Woodrow Wilson attempted to broker the peace talks at Versailles by advancing the rhetoric that the European powers should willfully give up their colonies and retreat from their projects of imperialism. This was pure liberal idealism, and it reveals how Wilson did not fully understand the importance of colonial possessions to European power. Therefore it is not surprising that France and Britain, the allies whom were bailed out by the United States, were quick to laugh off this Wilsonian suggestion. Indeed, they knew that imperialism was the source of small, poor Europe's success. Again, America did not understand this because she was secure in the possession of an entire continent that ensured her wealth and power with or without actual colonies.

At any rate, when the United States rescued France and Britain again during the Second World War, this divergence of opinion was wholly bridged, and Western Europe seemed ready to accept its fate as an American protectorate. The European recipients of American aid—namely, France and Britain—were finally compelled to acquiesce to the

American new world order of national self-determination (although the advent of the Cold War somewhat reversed this attitude, compelling the United States to support Western stewardship over Third World nations as a viable alternative to Russian domination, such as in the case of Vietnam; and the United States itself became a sort of colonial power in the case of South Korea, Japan, and Germany). Consequently, the various powers of Europe have greatly receded in significance and power, and the recent attempt to facilitate a European Union has merely been representative of an attempt to emulate in Europe that which has long been a reality in America: continental unity as a source of wealth and power. Following the loss of their colonies, the European states have all become ancillary powers, and by uniting they will succeed in once again obtaining some level of primacy in world affairs.

More importantly, the lesson that the entire Western world—including the United States—must heed is this: Militarism has been intimately tied to our success. The abandonment of this sacred creed has cumulatively weakened the West, leading to our current predicament. If we are to dispel the malaise, we must again assert ourselves in the pursuit of wealth and power. The Chinese, the Iranians, and the Russians under Putin have not succumbed to the hypnotism of Western humanistic idealism and are steadfastly embracing *realpolitik*, self-assertion, dynamism, pragmatism, realism, and the pursuit of wealth and power. Soon, these Asiatic forces will come to dominate the globe, remaking it in their own image, unless the West can once again resurrect the Militant spirit that had been with it since its inception amidst the hoary frost of ancient Scandinavia, the Militant spirit that had once granted it a complete and utter dominion over this ever-contested rock, the planet Earth.

## Militarism and National Wealth

Laissez-faire economics has always been generally beneficial to the peoples who have adopted it. However this has less to do with the conception of the “invisible hand” than with the fact that it facilitates entrepreneurship and economic dynamism. Free and relatively untaxed societies encourage energetic activities, lifestyles, and enterprises because they do not fetter energy via *tension*. Laws, fees, paperwork, taxes, hoops to jump through—these all discourage and inhibit activity and thus succeed in *limiting* and even *decreasing* the energy and dynamism of a people.

America is no longer a free nation. The laws and regulations keep piling up, and—as a result—societal tension is at an all time high. Increasingly, our freedom is equated with our Democracy. We are told by the liberals that because we have a Democracy we are free to change the laws via our vote. However, progress in our nation continuously proceeds in one direction: the laws and regulations keep piling up, and the actual degree of our freedom keeps diminishing. Behold, Democracy is not the source of our freedom but the source of our slavery! It is a system of lawyer-fiends enthroned by idiotic masses, supported by idiotic masses, and reelected by idiotic masses. A great bulk of the American electorate has been frightened and cowed into voting themselves, their neighbors, and everybody's progeny into perpetual bondage merely in order to rescue themselves from a countless array of boogeymen. Our entire nation is paralyzed by fear, stymied by omnipresent tension, and eternally gripped by the enervating liberalism that permeates our era.

Indeed, this tyranny of democratically implemented laws is primarily the work of liberals, and it is also intimately linked with the spirit of liberalism. This is because liberalism is fundamentally anti-life and anti-growth, which makes it inherently anti-energy and anti-dynamism as well. The incessant promulgation of stricter and stricter laws, penalties, and regulations across all sectors of human activity is representative of the liberal attempt—perhaps unconscious to even the liberals themselves—to slowly strangle the great American organism to death. And it is working!

\* \* \*

Free markets bestow a people with energy because they imbue them with a sense of ownership over their economic activities. In the same way that a yeoman farmer is energized via his propriety over his land, an entrepreneur is energized via his propriety over his own

frenzied attempts to accumulate wealth and climb the social ladder. Contrary to the idealism of Adam Smith, there are indeed losers in this game—an “invisible hand” does not better everyone. However, the collective energies that have been made frantic throughout the economy increase the wealth, and thus the power, of the society that embraces Smith's general policies. Societies are aggregates, and as mere aggregates they do not really possess any actual energies of their own. Indeed, it is the various energies of the persons within a society that constitute *its* energy. Under capitalism, the persons within a society are made frantic in their scramble to rise and succeed. Thus, it is actually the potential for upward mobility that is the dynamo behind a fluid, free capitalist system. Stagnant capitalist economies are simply so because they—although remaining nominally capitalist—have lost this key ingredient.

At any rate, lax business laws and regulations are generally good for a people because they increase the energies of its members, thereby increasing the wealth of the society, and thereby empowering that society via such wealth. Wealth automatically translates to military power, and the military might of the great powers has always rested upon a firm foundation of economic might.

However, international free trade can be *bad* for a nation. Just as within a capitalist economy there are both winners and losers, in a capitalist world economy there are winning nations and losing nations. This can be extremely beneficial if your nation is one of the winners in this system. But it can be disastrous—siphoning away wealth—if your nation is one of the losers in this system. And America today is a *loser* in the present capitalist world-system.

\* \* \*

Many pseudo-conservatives who have bought into free market idealism often espouse that unbridled capitalism is what was responsible for making the United States such a wealthy and thus powerful nation. However, this is completely erroneous. What made the United States the wealthy nation that it had become by the twentieth century is that it possessed an entire continent of virtually uninhabited land replete with rich and plentiful natural resources, which were systematically exploited by the American people. Capitalism was undoubtedly a very efficient means of tapping these vast resources, but even the most centralized absolute monarchy could have just as easily built a rich and powerful nation upon such a fortunate bounty. Behold, China is contemporaneously enriching and empowering itself via a similar

exploitation of its vast resources despite the socialist disposition of its governmental apparatus.

As already noted in this Manifesto, another contributing factor to the wealth that Americans experienced during the twentieth century was the fact that the American cultural organism had systematically exploited outsiders, others, and enemy cultural organisms. When the United States went to war during the nineteenth century, it confiscated lands from its defeated opponents. Furthermore, since its inception, the United States continuously extracted lands from American Indians it encountered and struggled against into the early twentieth century. And, of course, beginning with the Spanish-American War, the United States began taking overseas colonies. These acts of conquest obviously multiplied the lands subject to potential exploitation by the American people, bestowing wealth and affluence upon our grand and exalted nation.

Today, America still has many untapped resources compared to the rest of the world. Unfortunately, the increasingly radical environmentalist bent of modern American liberalism has locked many of these remaining resources away. Thus, while we still have vast expanses of uninhabited land, the American people are being forced by the liberal elite apparatus to feel the pains of an artificial overpopulation and an artificial exhaustion of resources. Furthermore, wars of conquest or extermination are no longer considered by our cosmopolitan overlords to be an appropriate means of enriching We the People.

But a living cultural organism needs to expand and grow. And it needs prey to devour and space to expand into. The perverse universalism that pervades the modern liberal milieu that we all find ourselves in permits We the People no enemies. But as members of a cultural organism, we need enemies. We need someone to hate, someone to unite against. We need a national purpose. And yet, even our wars are no longer real wars. We fight to "liberate" peoples, to "help" peoples, or to bestow Democracy on peoples. Our troops are prosecuted for war crimes for the simple act of treating our enemies like enemies!

And because the liberals have decided that Americans can no longer have any real enemies, the antagonistic energies of our people have been turned *inward*. We the People were once a predatory creature, but now we have become a *cannibalistic* creature. We are no longer permitted to unite as a people against a common enemy for the purpose of conquering land or acquiring plunder. Thus, we are forced to seek our wealth by swindling and fleecing one another. We were once a fighting people, but now we are a petty trading people.

Despite what many of the pseudo-conservatives of our modern age will argue, our founding fathers—because of their predisposition towards classical Republicanism—did not want the American people to wholly transform into a trading people. Concomitantly, they

believed that Militancy preserved the virtue of a people and helped to maintain republican governmental forms. One of the first national laws ever enacted by the United States government, the Militia Act of 1792, implemented universal military training and service for all free White males between the ages of 18 and 45. John Adams believed that abandoning universal militia service would be disastrous for the character of the citizenry. And men like Thomas Jefferson staunchly believed that if commerce were ever to become the primary preoccupation of our nation it would lead to the ruin of the Republic. Has this not happened? Has not the abandonment of our Militancy and the embracing of commerce led to liberal effeteness and seditious individualism?

If America is ever to reverse the current trend of plummeting standards of living for its people, it must reorient itself towards procuring wealth through expansionism, conquest, and plunder. The increasing liberal infatuation with commerce and sales, as well as our ongoing transformation into a service economy, is not increasing the wealth of the American nation. No, it is simply reshuffling the wealth that we already have. And this reshuffling only seems to result in the accumulation of more and more of our collective wealth in the hands of the traitorous liberal elites. We have all become livestock upon a farm owned by Washington, Hollywood, and Wall Street.

Instead of playing into the hands of the cosmopolitan villains

who do not hold the best interests of America or Americans in mind and who are squandering the would-be inheritance of our children and grandchildren in order to increase the wealth of such communist life haters, We the People must instead seek to increase our national wealth. American Militant Nationalism is our answer. All male members of the American cultural organism must become first and foremost soldiers, and the increasing financial bent of our economy must instead be reoriented towards productive work, such as manufacturing. We the People must create a society of landowning worker-soldiers.

## **The World Situation**

The United States, our world's last lonely outpost of triumphant Western civilization, seems content merely to serve as a funnel through which wealth and power is continuously siphoned off to fuel extra-Western forces. Indeed, the United States seems content to merely facilitate a passing of the torch to the non-Western nations of the world. These nations all hover covetously over the moribund United States, waiting to pounce; and we are delusional if we truly believe that we can make the rest of the world over in our own image merely by espousing platitudes upon the pulpit of the United Nations.

Time is running out, and the multi-polar world is emerging. America foolishly squandered her hegemony in an orgy of consumerism and prattle concerning wishy-washy ideals. Some nations have been wholly converted to the Western fold, and they have become infected with the geriatric malaise that currently threatens us—Japan and South Korea, for example. However, we fool ourselves if we believe that the Muslim world or the hordes of Africa will ever willfully imbibe our culture. The disgusted backlash of the Islamic world against our modern civilization will only continue to ferment, and as they accrue wealth, power, and technology they will only seek to utilize such accoutrements in the destruction of our Western-led world order.

Russia is Western but insists upon opposing the suicidal formulation of the world order that America has prescribed. And they are in the right! America's idea of a Western-led world order is that of a casket-pinata: a facilitation of Western death and the guided distribution of that corpse's worldly belongings to the thriving barbarian throngs of the Third World. Russia opposes the idealistic platitudes of the United States because it borders a hostile Muslim world to its south and the belligerent challenger, China, to its southeast—Russia wishes merely to retain the right and ability to defend herself rather than tie her hands with the crippling ideals of American multiculturalism and pacifism.

And that is exactly what America is doing to herself! We lost half of Korea and all of Vietnam because we tied our hands and refused to wage war effectively. In Korea, MacArthur put forward the appropriate measures needed to ensure victory—the nuking of the intervening Chinese—but was scolded by Truman, the apologetic coward who never forgave himself for saving countless American lives by approving the nuking of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. And thus, we are still dealing with a belligerent North Korea to this very day, simply because the commander-in-chief refused to heed the imperatives of his time. In Vietnam, a general refusal by the United States to wage total war dragged on into a quagmire, which may forever remain the greatest military blunder of our noble nation. In the end, the

United States lost to a handful of communist thugs, and Southeast Asia was surrendered to the twin devils of Vietminh and Khmer Rouge, being forced to endure over a decade of brutal subjugation and irrational genocide.

Failures in Iraq and Afghanistan can also be attributed to our stubborn desire to “help” rather than conquer. If we had wanted to truly annihilate Al-Qaeda and the Taliban insurgencies, there is a tried and true method—Julius Caesar pioneered it in his conquest of Gaul. If we wanted to “convert” the populations to our way of life, our successful mutations of Germany and Japan after WWII should have been copied. But instead, the United States insisted upon maintaining a pretext of spreading Democracy, liberation, and rescue. Ridiculous! It is America that needs rescuing: behold, our enemies surround us and sharpen their sabers.

The Muslim world, for example, wants us and our world order *obliterated*. Why are we propping them up, helping them to obtain a position wherein they can bring their apocalyptic, Jihadist dreams to fruition? It would have been better if our noble nation had simply cordoned off and carpet-bombed the entire Middle East, killing every last inhabitant of the Muslim world and leaving it at that. After all, as noted by the late Samuel P. Huntington, Islam has *bloody borders*. Muslims make war on every civilization they come into contact with. China, Russia, Europe, and even black Africa—all have their Muslim problems. And if our government continues to import Muslims en masse, then we will soon find ourselves with the same permanent problem (as if our contemporary, long-distance problem with them is not vexing enough).

We would all love a peaceful world, but that is not the reality at hand, and wishing does nothing to solve the real problems of that reality. The Western world is dying. Thus, it is our duty, as perhaps the last generation that still possesses the opportunity, to jolt ourselves out of our dying state, annihilate the enemies of the West, and ensure the perpetual continuity of our civilization and our society.

\* \* \*

The Earth is quickly approaching an unsustainable state where the various nations of the world—over the course of the next few centuries—will be forced to wage wars with one another over water rights and access to diminishing natural resources. Thus, instead of seeking to empower and uplift our potential competitors around the world, the United States should seek to eliminate or subjugate such foreign states. The entire point of a community is

the preservation, empowerment, and betterment of its members and their descendants. It does not exist to oversee and manage the destruction of its people to the benefit of foreign and alien communities. Our nation should seek to serve the interests of *us* and *our* children and grandchildren—*that* is the purpose of a nation-state, a vehicle to ensure the continuity of the civilization of its members. After all, especially relevant in our particular case, the United States was constituted to serve and benefit the American people, and it is high time that We the People took back our nation from the gang of thugs who currently hold it in bondage and continually bleed it of its wealth and power to the benefit of our foreign enemies who hate us.

America is in a uniquely awesome position in relation to the rest of the world. The preponderant bulk of the entire Earth is overpopulated. The Third World is swarming full of more humans than can possibly hope to even adequately feed themselves, and hence the mass starvation witnessed there. The peoples who populate the Third World—out of ignorance, shortsightedness, and selfishness—continue to breed without any hope of ever being able to sufficiently care for such an excess population. And, as a result, such peoples are starving. Western nations, such as the United States, that embrace liberal, lax immigration policies are simply serving as enablers of this irrational and myopic behavior of the Third World by allowing them to export some of their excess population to us and thereby somewhat alleviating their self-imposed starvation. Some would argue that the United States is doing charitable work in this respect; however, the United States is merely squandering its own unique position as a relatively pristine and uninhabited paradise. Do we want to end up like the starving, overpopulated, volatile masses of the Third World? The population of the United States is increasing rapidly, and not because of an excess of American breeding, but because of its open-door immigration policies. We Americans are in possession of the last great expanse of habitable land, turgid with ample natural resources to sustain our descendants for generations. It is our duty to hold our virgin continent in trust for our innumerable descendants. It is *not* our duty to throw our pearls before swine—ungrateful foreign masses who hate America and Americans and seek to steal our wealth and resources, denying us the opportunity to pass such treasures onward to successive generations of Americans. Why are we feverishly wasting what we should be preserving for our *American* children? Granted, Americans are a motley bunch; we are a diverse people of diverse origins. But why should we all not band together now in order to preserve that which fate has handed us? Let us become one people as we forge a new history through a joint struggle to protect the rightful inheritance of our children, and working together to withhold the birthright of our collective offspring from the rapacious attempts of foreign hordes to appropriate our land, wealth, and resources.

Our home should barricade its doors and windows. We possess only enough canned

and jarred goods in the cellar to get our family and our family alone through the long and perilous winter. Let us leave the neighbors to fend for themselves; it is not our fault that fortune failed to favor their forebears. If we—against our own best judgment—take pity on them and let them in to share our reserves, then our children shall surely starve. Reality is harsh, but—unlike the idealistic fantasies of our liberal comrades—it is real. America for the Americans! Any other course of action is akin to suicide.

\* \* \*

Our world is a world of limited resources, and we humans are possessed of unlimited desires. The interests of a handful of individuals to keep themselves happy and successful here in America are not compatible with the desperate desire of billions of Third Worlders to keep themselves from starving. Certain spheres of communist thought have always maintained that there are enough resources to keep the entire world happy and satisfied, but even in all our contemporary—although quickly fading—wealth and opulence can we Americans really speak of even ourselves as being fully satiated? It is human nature to always desire more, and it is the reality of the world we live in that some shall thrive while others perish. Communist calls for worldwide redistribution policies are simply clever schemes designed to impinge upon the health, wealth, and power of the American nation—the pipe dreams of traitors who wish to witness us starve while the endless, pullulating masses of the Third World flourish.

\* \* \*

Americanism, Militarism, and Nationalism—that is what is anathema to the leftists, and that is exactly what we need. Americanism: we must embrace what it is to be Americans; we must celebrate American exceptionalism, American character, and American history. Militarism: we must utilize the means to achieve our ends regardless of anybody's feelings; in the contemporary world, America must be brutal when it is necessary without even having to apologize for it—even if it happens to harm the starving children of the Third World in the process. Nationalism: America for the Americans; Everything should be done in the best interest of our nation and its people. In other words, people who are Americans must get over their differences, become Americans (Americanism), and then come together as Americans in order to create an America for Americans (Nationalism), utilizing militaristic ends to achieve

this benefit if necessary (Militarism).

There was once this idea that being an American was something to be proud of. People came from all over the world to become Americans. Now, the world loathes us. Foreigners still come to the United States in droves, but it is solely to steal some of our wealth. And they carefully guard themselves against assimilation into our culture throughout this entire thieving process! There are hordes of Eurasians and Africans who will increasingly demand more of our wealth. The great task of America over the next few centuries shall be to defend itself against the encroachments of Muslim, Hindu, Asiatic, and African hordes intent upon conquering our pristine continent—as we did from the Native Americans before us—via any combination of state warfare, terrorism, and demographic supplantment through immigration and reverse colonization.

America must immediately halt its lax immigration policies towards Afro-Eurasia. Compared to the billions whom comprise the hordes of that distant land, we are a relatively small group of people—just around four hundred million—who are in possession of a relatively unpopulated, rich continent. We must guard this pristine continent from the surplus refugees of the Old World, preserving it to be passed on to our *American* offspring. Allowing foreigners and immigrants into our land is akin to tossing rations—rations needed by our family in order to brave the winter—to strangers and opportunists. The world is shrinking and its resources are running out. We would be wise to set our descendants up in a position of dominance over the rest of the world. If the current generation of Americans can pull together and discover some patriotic narrative—even if it is false—to bind them together and turn them against the rest of the world, then our descendants will truly have it better than—or at least as good as—we do. And is that not what is only natural for us human beings to desire? Is this not the goal that God has set for all his children? Is life not a great challenge, or a game, and should not to the victor go the spoils? Americans have traditionally been admired for their desire to win and succeed. Perhaps, one day, we can live up to such exalted expectations—that is all that we wish for our country.

## Nature and Militancy

Militancy has acquired an extremely negative connotation in the contemporary age of our civilization. This development within our culture is both unfortunate and extremely disadvantageous to us. Militancy has always been a key component of human societies since their inception, and many peoples around the globe today remain deeply Militant. The unchallenged *military* might of the United States currently serves as a check upon the Militancy of foreign civilizations—thus, many nations feign acceptance of the Western imposed aversion to Militancy—but a time will come when the enemies of the West will cease to fear our waning strength. When that day comes, the pent-up Militant energies of the world will explode upon us.

It is in the interest of the United States to operate within a world that is real. The labyrinthine construction of moral mazes and idealistic wishes of peace and pacifism will do nothing to preserve America as a nation nor the American people as a viable cultural entity. Our empty ideals are hindering our domination of the world, and a blind continuation of our ignorance will serve only to seal the enslavement or death of our descendants at the hands of our countless foreign enemies—the swarming masses of the Third World, the endless Islamic armies of Afro-Eurasia, and the revitalized empires of China, India, and Russia.

Even the most meager amount of honest speculation will confirm that Militancy is a key component of not only humanity but of all the natural world as well. True, our Omnipolitan modernity has been so successful in sterilizing all traces of mother nature's true reality within the midst of our skyscrapers, our suburbs, and our bench-laden parks that with the wilderness eternally at arm's-length, we can afford not to fully appreciate the cruelty of the natural world. But in reality mother nature is incomprehensibly cruel with and towards her children. Danger, desperation, an all-permeating sense of fear—this is the state that all beings naturally exist in, and such is the state in which humanity itself has evolved. Now, in our advanced state, our civilization affords us luxuries such as safety and boredom and obsession with sex. Our ancestors—in their true, unhindered state—could purchase no such opulence. Wilderness is designated as such for good reason, and life prior to the erection of civilization's bubble was *wild*. Militancy was the tool with which human beings conquered the natural world, and we would not be where we are today without it.

And if we look more closely at our situation within this bubble of civilization, which permits us to refrain from being Militant towards the various natural enemies of mankind, we discover that Militancy nonetheless still permeates our dealings with one another at the most fundamental level. Is it not Militancy that is stressed upon all children when their parents

encourage them to stand up for themselves or fight for what they believe in? Self-defense is Militarism. Assertiveness is Militarism. Those who do not embrace Militarism in any manner whatsoever are pacifists, and pacifism is *not* a lofty ideal. All but the most life-hating of liberals or the most otherworldly of spiritualists see pacifism as cowardice. How could it be otherwise when struggle itself is such an obvious aspect of all life everywhere?

A spirituality that perceives no value in combat or competition with one's fellow lifeforms is not enlightened or "in touch" with God or the Universe. No, on the contrary, it is a complete and utter rejection of everything that is at the core of this world—a self-abasement equal to suicide or death. If one truly wishes to feel the icy touch of oblivion, they would be wise to spare us all the trouble and hasten their quest upon the tip of their own blade. Life is for the living! There will be ample time to abstain from life and the struggle that encompasses it once one is soundly in the grave. Until that time, yes, let us possess ourselves with an avid Militancy.

Let us act as the elegant doe who devours the green and *living* grass. Let us emulate the noble lion as he sacrifices the gazelle to the prolongation of his livelihood. Let us be as the antelope when he defends his stamping ground—and with it the right to mate—against his neighbors. Does the doe protest, "I cannot devour these greens because such is equivalent to murder?" No, she—in her resplendent elegance—does no such thing. Does the Lion plead with his lionesses, "please, spare the young and the innocent; be kind to the sick and the elderly?" No, I dare not think so. Does the antelope turn to his neighbor, "dear friend, what is mine is yours of course, for we are but brothers: take my land, take my wife, and walk all over me?" No! No such travesty has ever transpired upon the surface of God's green Earth. Only with his current corruption beneath the yoke of his "ideals" and several millennia of intellectual noise has mankind so deluded himself. Can any man of sincere honesty truly ever stand to be conned into the belief that the contemporary peaceful prattle so pandemic throughout the realm of Western man could ever be seen as anything other than a foul and fickle blasphemy?

Indeed, pacifism or even a stance against an avid willingness to fight has no natural basis in either our world or the animal world. A society, like our children, should be willing to defend itself as well as to fight for its right to exist or obtain real ends that bestow real, positive blessings upon that society. A society should not be bias against Militarism, and if our society is bias against Militarism, then perhaps it is time for us all to grow up. Sacco and Vanzetti shall lead the way.

\* \* \*

Through his participation in the Napoleonic Wars, a brilliant Prussian officer, Karl Von Clausewitz, had an epiphany. The sciences were still relatively young at this time, and there still remained much in the world to be over-analyzed and reduced to smaller and smaller platitudes. Separate aspects of warfare, especially tactics, had been studied for millennia—Roman generals were studying Greek warfare by Marius and Sulla's time, and Sun Tzu had written his *Art of War* by the close of the sixth century BCE—but a sweeping, cold, mechanical analysis of the phenomenon itself in the spirit of Hobbes and his *Leviathan* was wanting in the modern, scientific Western world. Clausewitz ended this want with his magnum opus *On War*.

The authoritative treatise had much to say on its target subject, but in truth it was one little concept—a single, simple thought—that launched a revolution. The genius, Clausewitz, analyzed war as nothing more than an extension of political policy. To him, war was simply a natural tool of human communities, utilized—like all other collective policies—to achieve a desired end and nothing more.

At first glance, this analysis seems only to state the obvious, the given, the self-evident. But if one relaxes appropriately and ponders long enough the radicalism—as well as the reality—of the statement shatters a wall somewhere deep down inside one's soul, and it pierces one's brain like a silver bullet through the forehead—war is *natural*. The taboo was illusory and always had been. War does not represent a breakdown in the natural order of things. On the contrary, war is a divine representative or agent of that greater order that is everything—that grand design that shapes us and our world and guides us through the eons. War is an extension of policy. War is an *extension of policy*. Grasping this terrible and wonderful concept is akin to the epiphany of the child who, jolted out of his innocence, suddenly awakes in the night to realize that the existence of his parents in this world does not necessarily guarantee his safety. Let the faint of heart cry out. Let the weaklings mewl. Such things change *nothing*. There is nothing nice in this world save the bosom of a woman. Everything else is a lie—a shallow, sordid, frightened lie we tell ourselves to put our minds at ease.

And then, without warning, the Jihadist has set off a bomb that introduces you and several dozen others to oblivion for no good reason save that Jews inhabit Israel. Or the cartel gangster who has wandered across the border in order to distribute cocaine has decided to transform your existence into nothingness simply because he sees you as a filthy “gringo.” Or the desperate hoodlum in the night takes away your life for the spare bills within your wallet—twenty-seven measly dollars. How fleeting our ideals are. How fleeting is each flame

of life. How lost and sad our destiny.

\* \* \*

As the noble Clausewitz bluntly wrote, war is merely an extension of one's policy. Desperation alone proves this in the microcosm. Survival is the great deity to whom all save the most stubborn of stoics inevitably pray to. Those who beg or steal to eat know this all too well. Force is an extension of personal policy towards one's fellows. We get what we want by whatever means necessary, and one who declines to do so is a fool who has handicapped himself in the game of life by tying one hand behind his back. Did the United States win in Vietnam by doing such?

Refer to Hobbes: according to his *Leviathan*, “men are continually in competition for Honor and Dignity. . . and consequently amongst men there arises on that ground, Envy and Hatred, and finally War,” and it is because of this natural state of “War” between individuals that human societies require “a Common Power, to keep them in awe, and to direct their actions to the Common Benefit.” In other words, if a society is to exist in any state of being other than that of anarchy and violent chaos, there must be a “Common Power” or institution with a monopoly on the use of force—a government—that enforces order upon the populace via *policies* of law enforcement and criminal justice.

Indeed, war is an extension of policy even in the realm of civil authority and societal order. Enforcement of law is war upon criminals and dissidents. The cohesion of society itself is based upon an inherent and inalienable *Militancy*. And it is a truly exalted and glorious thing indeed.

To be Militant is to be an angel of God. To enforce order upon this chaotic realm is the calling that beings of light take up and that beings of darkness decry. When a society is Militant it is exercising a sacred right owed to the Creator in all his eternal majesty. Let the communist fools protest! Ours is the banner of heaven! Only a crooked fiend rejoices in the destruction of order and the implementation of discord. And yet, our whole nation, nay, our *civilization*, stands frozen in a grim paralysis as communist life-haters decry the holy nature of Militarism with their soft coos and velvety tongues. Damn these cowards! If they do not desire to live, allow them all to die! A real world takes real courage and real action to defend. Prattle and platitudes do not achieve goals or dreams or better worlds. The only ends that any pacifistic well-wishing will achieve for a being can be found six-feet beneath the ground. No one can save the world with their feelings! If one does not possess the stomach to fight for

what is right in this world, let him stand aside while real men do battle with the forces that threaten our realm.

America is a grand and shining avatar of order and justice, and it is the destiny of this great nation to lead the world into a golden era of resplendence and light. Lady Liberty, your faithful soldiers heed your call! Your trials are not in vain! We shall assure the slumbering heroes of Arlington that their sacrifice was indeed worth some end that is indeed obtainable. Let us not grow weak on the eve of a one-world American empire. Let reverence for our sacred banner convert our allies to our cause and strike fear into the hearts of all our feeble enemies. These Marines are not afraid to fight.

\* \* \*

Primitive peoples adhere to a dual code of enmity and amity. They view themselves, their family, and their clan with an innate bias. Dastardly deeds are deployed without hesitation at one's enemies, but scorned as the darkest depravities when deployed *by* such enemies. Pride and character compose and saturate the savage. It is his natural and instinctively inherent disposition to love his in-group but to loathe all outsiders. It is only through training, sophistication, or any other process that taints or changes the natural life-feeling of the being that a human can be made to extend the love or amity naturally felt towards one's tribe or family to humanity as a whole.

Indeed, human beings are congenitally subjective and bias. It is only through intellectual tension that one can be made objective. The characterless ascetic is a product of civilization. His weakened spirit is often confused with depth, but is merely enfeeblement. His sensitivity is not a sign of a rich and beautiful soul, but rather an indication that he has no soul, nor spirit, nor endurance. With the increasing civilization of man, we find the pride and self-preservation that a savage extends to his family or clan extended indefinitely to include whole nations, races, religions, and civilizations. In the end, as late-cultural man "matures" we find this definite love of something collapse into a profanely diffuse love of all things. It is at this point in cultural evolution that universalism appears. The wholly civilized being objectively perceives no difference between himself and his enemies, and thus seeks to interact with them as equals, forsaking the dual code of morality in favor of a singular, unbiased one. It may be an "enlightened" mindset, but it is also a disadvantageous one that has been responsible for the collapse of many of antiquities civilizations.

In the modern West, this disadvantage grows increasingly profane as we seek to

disarm ourselves before not only our human enemies but our ancient animal enemies as well. In a naive and unbelievable farce, we extend our amity to beings that are not even human let alone a member of our civilization or society. The whole history of mankind's existence upon this Earth has been a history to rid this realm of dangerous predators. Lions, tigers, bears, wolves—these animals are authentic monsters and for time immeasurable men have dreamed of the day when they would rid the world of such beasts. And yet, today when the battle stands almost won, modern man has suddenly lost the will to complete this ancient task. Why? Attempts by modern civilization to protect and preserve these predators or even release them back into the wild is ludicrous. Do we long for the return of the untamed wild or the forbidden forest? Do we truly desire to return to the days when wild animals were a real danger that made hiking or wandering through the wilderness dangerous? Reintroduction of predators into the wild will do this. Protection or preservation of our ancient enemies will do this as well. These efforts are akin to treason against the human species and all those ancestors of ours who died by the claws or between the jaws of these wild beasts.

Lamentably, it seems to be a natural phenomenon that as the soul of civilized man is continually sensitized and dispirited, his amity is extended in an endlessly more inclusive continuum. Once all of humanity has been embraced via universalism, amity is then extended first to animals and then to all lifeforms in general. At the last, with the collective good of the now all-inclusive in-group in mind, man—viewing himself as a virus that impedes the health and freedom of his fellow lifeforms—refuses to act in his own interest, chooses not to reproduce, and selects himself for extinction so that toads may thrive in swamp lands and in surrender to earthworms that squirm through the soil.

\* \* \*

War and violence have been integral aspects of man's existence for the complete entirety of the two million or so years of hominid evolution. Therefore, it is not surprising to behold how the naive attempts of triumphant liberalism over the past several decades to eradicate this aspect of human existence have met with complete and utter failure. No matter how hard the liberals try, adolescent boys still come to idolize criminals and vigilantes, the urban youths continue to form gangs in order to murder one another, and school children continue to drive the weakest of their peers—via aggressive harassment—to suicide.

Violent behavior is a natural propensity of human beings, especially human males, and the psychological ramifications from denying young males a conventional outlet—such as war—for this innate tendency are everywhere present. Many males in the contemporary

United States are able to meet such psychic needs through participation in competitive sports or via military service. However, the liberal busybodies and their incessant need to sterilize all aspects of human interaction with their omnipresent campaigns to ferret out all forms of hazing, bullying, and risk of physical harm may one day succeed in obviating even these fields as psychic outlets for our aggressive instincts. This is lamentable because such instincts *need* an outlet.

The modern phenomena of random shootings and workplace violence are perhaps the most foreboding omens of what will increasingly engulf human societies that deny their members conventional and socially acceptable outlets for their aggressive instincts and natural propensities toward violent behavior. When society forces its members to bottle up such instincts, the pent-up psychic energy will be forced to find an outlet in nefarious and explosive ways. Human nature is what it is, and we in all our conceited wisdom can never succeed in changing what two million years of hominid evolution upon the hostile plains of Africa has succeeded in implanting within us. Man is essentially an ape turned predator—the predatory primate, if you will. True, he is a communal hunter like the wolf and thus—like all social animals—mankind has an array of softer, social instincts that serve to facilitate group cohesion. But the existence of these gregarious or loving instincts does little to negate mankind's other half, his aggressive and warlike instincts. Our society must utilize all aspects of human nature in order to provide its members with a more stable and psychically satisfying existence. Otherwise, the internalized stress will continue to manifest itself in phenomena such as the mass shootings and instances of random, senseless violence that we currently behold.

\* \* \*

Human beings are naturally vicious. The behavior of uncivilized or barbaric peoples—which is more in tune with humanity's natural disposition—is highly characterized by its propensity for violence towards both one's fellows and the members of the animal kingdom. And thus we behold the synonymy of savagery and barbarity with cruelty and malice. Indeed, it is only with education or civilization—spiritual or civic—that humans become amicable or pacified, and even then only in instances. The sectarian violence that plagues our modern era is evidence to that.

It is violence alone that turns the wheels of history. One quick glimpse through the long, war-torn pages of human history can testify to the primacy of conquest and bloodshed. Any other opinion is mere cowardice—a refusal to recognize what is truly right and wrong in

a world that is real. The eternal will of our divine Creator is not that the righteous should lay down their weapons and permit the crooked their victory. Never! The will of God is that the good defeat the evil and rescue this realm from oblivion and chaos. We, as noble Americans in emulation of our holy Father, must destroy our enemies as he destroyed the sea monsters who inhabited the void before existence. Out of chaos and nothingness he fashioned order and life. How can we—we who were fashioned in his image—ever be fooled into believing otherwise? An amalgamation of Persian millenarism with Greek philosophy has finally, after a two-thousand-year process of eating at his soul, reduced Western man into an unarmed pacifist who is willing to permit the decimation of his world by Arabs and evildoers as he stands by, content with his internalized, inner religion. Jesus loves the little children? All the children of the world? Damn the children if they are born of our enemies! I say to you now: the Creator has written it upon existence, clear as day, that we are to rape, pillage, and plunder the nations of our enemies. Is there anything in the course of all the natural world, the kingdom of Animalia, or human history—save the mindless ramblings of the Buddha or the suspicious Gospel according to John—that even remotely indicates otherwise? No! If one truly wishes to ignore the reality of this world we inhabit—the world of nature and nature's God—because of a few sketchy Greek manuscripts, let that man stand aside and permit the momentum of history to play its part in the grand jubilee that will one day be known as the second American Revolution.

All one has to do is open a single book on human history to realize that the strong take what they want while the weak suffer. America and her Militant founders did not create this law of nature but discovered it completely intact and at work in not only the natural world but the complete entirety of human history. Pacifism is cowardice and suicide. Militarism is the path to victory.

## **Nationalism**

## **A People, Their Government, and the Nation**

There are two aspects to what we refer to as a *people*—there is a genetic component and a memetic component. When we speak of a people persisting through time, we speak of both a great chain of descent on the one hand, and we speak of at least some continuity of culture or consciousness on the other. This is what defines a people.

Any given government is a political apparatus created by a certain people for the purpose of protecting and furthering the interests of that people. Our American founding fathers constituted the American government in order to create a political entity that could and would further the interests of the American people. This is the purpose of government, to serve *its* people.

When we consider a specific people—such as the American people—in unison with their government, we are considering a *nation*. The American people and their government, the American government, constitute a nation: the American nation. And *Nationalism* is simply the idea that one's nation can and should be healthy and unified—the government should serve the people and the people in turn can serve the government in a continual, positive feedback loop. In order for this to occur, the government must operate in the interests of its people, and the government must seek to facilitate the enrichment of its people, their standard of living, and their power over foreign peoples and foreign nations. Thus, when this happens, those individuals who serve the government are really serving the people—they are acting as public servants—because the aims of the government are convergent with the interests of the people, and the nation acts as a unified entity.

Unfortunately, the contemporary American nation does not function this way. The American *people* are a harassed and diminishing minority. The American *government* is a Leviathan of foreigners, foreign gold, and liberal traitors who harness the energies of the American people and then employ those energies in various processes of destruction against those very same Americans. And the American *nation* is not only both of these (an oppressed population of real Americans and their gone-astray government) but also includes a large amalgamation of groups and people who are unrelated to the American people either genetically, memetically, or both. Thus, unlike in a healthy situation where the term nation refers to a unified people and their protective government apparatus, our nation includes not only the American people and their corrupt government, but also a large collection of foreign communities, atomized individuals, and liberal enclaves within the borders of the United States, under the protection of the American government, and coexisting alongside the American people.

America has acquired this unfortunate position via a sinister process that has been facilitated by liberal enemies of America slowly over the course of many generations. Liberal traitors—primarily alienated or disenfranchised debris of the greater American cultural entity—have colluded over the generations with various communist immigrants and various dissatisfied sectors of the populace to gain control of the American government. And all of this was done quietly, quite unknown to the vast majority of Americans.

Once this was accomplished, the power of the government was slowly displaced from serving the interests of the American people and directed towards creating various communities of opposition to the American cultural entity within the borders of the United States. Immigrant groups that were once constantly assimilating into the American cultural entity, adding to the strength and dynamism of the American people, were coaxed by the liberal-controlled government to instead crystallize into their own cultural entities, creating various separate peoples alongside the American people but still under the protection of the American government. Black America, which was also beginning to assimilate into the greater American cultural entity after the early phases of the Civil Rights Movement convinced White America to open its arms, was also coaxed by the liberals to resist this assimilation and instead congeal into an entity of opposition towards the American people. Females, youths, and individuals who have acquired exotic sexual impulses were all also appropriated by the liberal traitors and poisoned against the greater American cultural entity, creating various groups and “communities” that continually oppose the interests of the American people.

Now, with the liberal elites fully entrenched in a position of political power, the borders of our noble nation are held wide open—against the will of the now helpless American people—in order to permit vast hordes of Muslim “refugees” from Eurasia and a constant stream of Latin Americans into our country. The former provides the communist overlords with another useful community of opposition—an American radical Islamist community—that can be mobilized against the American people at will. And the latter provides those overlords with the chance to permanently frustrate the interests of the American people by implanting within our nation a permanent settlement of Spanish speaking peoples, and thus creating an impermeable bubble community that would almost certainly remain culturally unassimilated because of the language barrier.

The first step to reclaiming our nation must be the overthrow of the entrenched liberal elite and their subsequent liquidation. And the second step must be the assimilation, expulsion, or extermination of the alien cultural entities within our nation. To do otherwise would be to continue down the path of extinction currently prescribed to us by our

communist overlords. America must Militantly embrace an American form of *Nationalism*.

\* \* \*

The political formulation of our sacred Union is that of a nation. Thus, it naturally follows that if there is to be any sort of movement or ideology that seeks to champion, benefit, or advance the interests of America and her sacred people, the ultimate and most immortal form of this movement or ideology must be a sort of *Nationalism*. Indeed, the undisputed policy at the *national* level of government should be a policy that soundly acts in *our own interest*. Irrespective of what foreign nations around the globe want, irrespective of what is best for the starving hordes of the Third World, irrespective of the sensibilities of our enemies, and irrespective of dissenting liberals at home; *our nation has a divine responsibility to us, as Americans, and our descendants to act in our interest and our interest alone*.

Now, this statement, upon first glance, may appear to be quite a phenomenal statement because it insinuates that America often does *not* act in her own interest. However, the sad and debilitating truth is that due to the foul and traitorous influence of the life-hating communist fiends who currently hold our nation in bondage, America simply does *not* act in her own interest. It has been a very long time since our nation has acted in her own interest. Thus far, her irrational and self-destructive policies have not completely destroyed her because she had been the greatest, strongest, richest, most powerful entity that the world had ever seen. But it will not be long before all that great strength, wealth, and power has been completely bled out. I need not even point out that we have reached the breaking point already because it must by this point be rather obvious to even the most casual observer.

The vultures are circling. Our enemies are licking their lips and preparing to pounce upon us. The end is near and the world is ecstatic upon the eve of our impending doom. When the great American obelisk falls, the world shall frolic in the rubble. Everyone hates us, and everyone wants us to die. I say we shall live.

Nationalism is the key point to our survival as a *nation*. And the key elements to a successful Nationalism for our sacred Union must consist of a uniquely American tincture and a necessary Militant twist. America must strive to endure into the future in a manner consistent with the reality of this cruel world that we as humans are forced to inhabit. America must put her interests and the interests of her loyal citizens *first*. To do otherwise would result in premature death for this angel that the Lord has loved so much.

First and foremost, the enemies *within* our own borders must be dealt with and their influence negated. The influence of the communist fiends who for so long have hindered and opposed the growth and prosperity of our illustrious nation must be nullified so that our people and our interests shall be free to enjoy the fruits of this gift from God that we call life. Life is for the living, and if these communist life-haters really hate humanity, human life, and the American people so much, we would only be doing them a favor if we sought to hasten the consummation of their marriage with death. In reality, it would amount to the implementation of a sacred and holy self-defense against the domestic enemies of America.

Afterward, once the communist threat at home has been neutralized, our noble nation must turn its attention outward. America must act in her *own* interest and her own interest *alone*. This formula ultimately extrapolates to the harsh reality that America must act to the *detriment* of other nation-states. America must prosper, and if foreign peoples and foreign nations have to suffer in order to facilitate this then it is merely a lamentable reality ordained by nature and nature's God. It is the order of the Universe. None can change this reality. None may flee from it. One may attempt to avert one's eyes from the truth, but this will do nothing to alter the reality of the situation at hand. There will always remain but one truth, and we as humans can choose either to embrace it and prosper, or to resist it and wither. Let those who do not wish to operate in a world that is real stand aside so that the bold and thirsty may do so. There is no room for cowards in this realm to which we have been born. A man cries when he is born, and when he has cried enough, he dies. Let those who have cried enough descend into their graves. Those who have not yet had their fill of this world shall spring forward and endure forever. Fortune favors the bold, and God is on their side. Amen.

## **Our National Pathology**

Liberalism is a *cancer*. It has destroyed civilizations before, and it is currently destroying our civilization by devouring, one by one, every cultural organ of the American Megadeus. This pathology will not stop until America, and Western civilization in general, is dead.

One cannot live with cancer. One has to *fight* cancer. We the People can only triumph over liberalism via a surgical operation. *We must cut the cancer out!* But before we do this, we must chart and study its components, so that we will know exactly what needs to be done.

Modern American Liberalism, our national pathology, has two components. First and foremost, liberalism is a creed of cultural debris—weak or alienated and villainous or criminal members of the greater American cultural entity. These are those Americans who have become disillusioned with or poisoned against the life-struggle of the American people, and who have thus become either wholly cosmopolitan or wholly nihilistic.

However, there is a second component to this pathological liberal coalition: those various peoples within the borders of the United States—such as recent immigrant groups and the bulk of black America—who remain culturally and spiritually alien from the greater American cultural entity. Many of these peoples are, in theory, assimilable by the American people; however, the villains and cosmopolitans have continuously schemed to utilize these various peoples against the American cultural Megadeus—and, unfortunately, such schemes have proven to be rather successful.

Thus, if the American people are to be victorious in their struggle to expunge the cancer of liberalism from their body politic, We the People must develop a two-pronged social strategy. First and foremost, we must halt all immigration in order to deprive the villainous liberal schemers of their primary weapon against the greater American cultural entity—once the constant influx is halted the immigrants who are already here can then begin to assimilate, joining the ranks of the American people. Secondly, in a more important but vastly more difficult task, We the People must finally embark upon the task of confronting the recalcitrant majority of black America. Some conservatives believe that wholesale assimilation is the only option in this case, but the vitriolic situation that has been inflamed and exacerbated over the course of the last several years seems to suggest in many ways that the preponderant bulk of the greater black proletariat will never willingly assimilate into White bourgeois society. Across America today there are probably many instances of upwardly mobile black Americans assimilating respectfully into the greater American cultural

organism. But the larger situation, wherein which a swelling black proletariat is cumulatively nurtured within the vast and expanding ghettos of nearly every urban polity throughout the United States, cannot continue indefinitely if the American people are to be victorious over their liberal adversaries. The unrest of the discontented black proletariat, and the urban proletariat in general, has been manipulated by the liberal puppet masters and directed against the American cultural entity. Thus, the inner cities remain a hotbed of anti-Americanism and Democratic constituency.

Indeed, the black proletariat has unwittingly become one of the primary power bases of the liberal enemies of the American people; they blindly serve as the patsies and flunkies of the communist traitors who hold no real empathy for black Americans but are more than eager to utilize them to further their insidious ends of destroying the Americana cultural organism. And if the American cultural organism is indeed destroyed, it will be the urban proletariat, which is primarily black, that will ultimately benefit as they serve as the nucleus around which a new American culture is constructed. Thus, the second half of We the People's two-pronged struggle against the allies of the liberal Megadeus must involve an effective manner of neutralizing the urban proletariat, breaking its increasingly hostile and Militant spirit, expunging the chaff, and then—and only then—assimilating whatever salvageable human debris is left over into our own cultural body.

\* \* \*

Behold, the patriotic narrative of our noble nation and its illustrious history has been slandered and misrepresented by the liberal puppet masters in a manner that facilitates the alienation of females and peoples of non-European descent. This malevolent manipulation of minorities and women by the communists serves only to weaken our sacred Republic and instill division—a division falsely promulgated as strength by the communist traitors—by sowing the seeds of sedition and sectarian violence, which both increasingly manifest themselves in a cumulative process that grows with the passing of each successive generation.

The communists then utilize this dissension and dissatisfaction, which they themselves orchestrate via manipulation, in order to gain and maintain power. Furthermore, they pounce upon each and every immigrant group that enters America, sowing the seeds of dissatisfaction and discontent from the moment the immigrant enters the borders of our noble nation. Immediately, from day one, the immigrant is brainwashed by the communist traitors

to believe that America hates them and will never accept them, cajoling the immigrant to resist assimilation and to join the ranks of opposition to the greater American cultural entity.

Thus, the communist consensus is an entity of complete and utter negativity. The coalition from which the communist traitors draw their support is a patchwork of groups who have been manipulated into hating America—the most glorious manifestation of divine truth and shining light ever known. These disparate groups share nothing in common save their common hatred for that most sacred of entities, America, and they are united only in their hatred and their hatred alone—a hatred orchestrated by the hidden enemies, the communist traitors who seek to destroy America and her noble citizenry. This is why we currently see feminists and atheists aligning themselves—in the most ironic farce conceivable—with Islamic fundamentalists who seek to enslave women and murder infidels. It is an alignment orchestrated by the communists and preserved only by hatred for America, Americanism, and the American people.

Enough is enough! Americanism must be embraced in a Militant and Nationalistic fashion if the battle against this entrenched communist elite and their puppet cadavers is ever to be won. Our nation must embrace an illustrious and idealized narrative of herself and her founding—a narrative that inspires and rallies. Our founding fathers and their awesome efforts must be held up and glorified in the idyllic manner of which they are deserving. We cannot allow the feminists, foreigners, traitors, and villains to conspire any longer. Let us kick out all the illegal immigrants. Let us send the ungrateful Muslims back to the Third World hole we rescued them from. And while we are at it, let us send the feminists back with them. Maybe *then* they can finally learn what male oppression *really* is!

\* \* \*

Before we can conclude an analysis of the various components of our national pathology, this Manifesto must first turn to academia. Thus, let us examine the liberal's poisoning of the intellectual debate within the sacred halls of our nation's universities.

Behold, the communist enemies of the noble American have infiltrated academia and poisoned the intellectual debate of our civilization. It has been thus from the start. Communist weaklings, unequipped for survival in the real world, have naturally sought refuge in the ivory towers of intelligentsia. There, safe from the dangers of a world that is real, they sat protected and free to vomit upon America's vulnerable youth, contaminating their “students” with the filthy bile that somehow manages to pass for “higher learning” in this country.

The first great cataclysm to befall our noble Republic as a result of this poisoning came in the 1960's when America, upon its holy pinnacle atop the world, could first afford to send a large portion of its youth to college, hoping to provide such children with a competitive advantage over their peers and a chance at a better life. What they got instead was betrayal and protest as the old orders of the culture were cut down in an explosion of petulant disregard for everything that had provided these young Americans with the luxury and boredom that was, ironically, the entire excuse and essential prerequisite for their rebellion.

Since this cataclysmic counter culture movement of the 1960's, academia and intelligentsia have increasingly become communist strongholds. It is a new religion for our postmodern epoch with academia itself and the professional fields of all the sciences as the church and the professionals and professors as the priests and bishops and cardinals. It has its various doctrines and dogmas, and those who question or profess against them are swiftly excommunicated or harangued by inquisition. The social sciences, proclaiming to be bastions of truth and reason, are in reality cisterns teeming with rats and replete with winding corridors that turn here and twist there, leading nowhere save to lies. That body of knowledge that *should* be leading mankind to a greater understanding of himself and his world is being hijacked by communist fiends who seek only to bend the social sciences in such a way as to endorse their agenda and parrot their party line. Mankind stands in the dark as the communist hides the lantern and points, not towards the outlet of the maze, but towards a dead end.

And, in reality, science is on the side of conservatism. It is the belligerent American cowboy whom science confirms as righteous, not—as the liberal intelligentsia would have one believe—the sniveling, homosexual weakling. Indeed, the laws of nature and nature's God justify actions that serve to protect a population and seek its benefit over the benefit of strangers. Science is truly on the conservative side, but, alas, conservatives have permitted communist fiends to act as corrupt interlopers between the average American and truth.

Like a cadre of false prophets, the hidden enemies have inserted themselves between us and the divine revelation of God. The Creator fashions his whims upon stone tablets in words that only the prophets can decipher. But the words that the perverse prophets of academia recite are not the words upon the tablets. Thus, the word of God is lost to us because our interpreters are malevolent towards our cause.

The problem with the “conservative” movement in this greatest of nations is the term conservative itself—a term that denotes nothing save an amorphous loyalty to family, God, and country. The conservative movement, being more in tune with the reality at work in this world, will always have the support of the common, hard-working, honest man. The

battlefield upon which the real contest for support must materialize is the realm of academia and the sciences—the communist breeding grounds. Lack of interest in the extermination of communist influence throughout this ivory tower is what will be the ruin of our great nation.

Everyday, another good conservative boy from a good conservative household goes off to college only to be polluted by his professors, returning home four years later to spew, ungratefully, the spittle of his new found hatred for the family that paid and made possible his “education,” which would be more adequately described as indoctrination in life-hating and subversion. Why is it that almost all “educated” people hate America and everything about America that does not come from a victim or minority perspective? Behold, it is because we have not been vigilant; we have allowed academia to become the seditious beehive of the communist drones who plague our society like garbage plagues an Iraqi highway (and believe us, it does so in a manner that can only be described as endemic).

And that is part of the purpose of this ambitious Manifesto. America needs an inspiring and intransigent impetus to free itself from the entrenched liberal elites who hold this noble nation in bondage. This tome is that warrant. The communists have taken control of the federal behemoth and are using its powers to destroy the American way of life, the American standard of living, and the American people themselves. In one shining movement, this tome evaporates the lies that have been devised by the hidden enemies, combating the communists in their ivory towers and paving the way for the real war to be waged by real Americans against the communist usurpers of our American dream. Americanism, Militarism, and Nationalism shall serve as sword, shield, and armor for the American people as they wrest their world free from the forces of darkness. The time for talk is over! America has been wronged by Wall Street, Washington, and Hollywood—it is time that our empire began behaving in *our* interest rather than in the interest of foreigners and an entrenched political and social elite.

\* \* \*

Education, it has been said, is powerful weapon in the hands of whomsoever wields it. Education, and especially higher learning, has become so corrupted by the liberal traitors that it is almost better today to forgo education altogether so as to remain untainted by the venom of cosmopolitan post-modernity. Indeed, the bulk of conservative America today remains within the uneducated working class. However, an uneducated conservative movement will always remain unequipped to triumph against an educated liberal class.

Thus, We the People have no other choice but to take back the educational apparatus from the liberal fiends. The liberals utilize education to indoctrinate Americans with liberalism. We the People, after the coming Second American Revolution, must reorganize this educational apparatus in order to utilize education to indoctrinate Americans with *American Militant Nationalism*. Indeed, a revitalized Republic of landowning worker-soldiers would constitute a beautiful and resplendent society indeed. But a revitalized Republic of landowning worker-soldier-*philosophers* would be even more so grand and exalted. Indeed, by making American Militant Nationalist indoctrination a pillar of our reconstituted Republic We the People would be equipping our progeny with the necessary intellectual tools to eternally defend our society from the encroachments of liberalism indefinitely.

It is time to take our country back. And part of doing so must include the construction of an awesome Aegis to ensure the perpetual endurance of the shining new Republic of We the People. Educating all Americans about the foolishness and danger of life-hating liberalism will be a necessary component of a resurgent United States of America.

## **A Tale of Two Cultural Entities**

Now that our national pathology of liberalism has been fully examined, this Manifesto must now turn to providing a more elaborate analysis of one of the pressing concerns briefly mentioned therein, that of the urban proletariat.

To be clear, there exists two primary cultural entities in the contemporary United States. There is a patriotic Megadeus, the greater American cultural entity, and there is an ancillary organism, the amorphous urban proletarian entity. The former is primarily "White" in ethnicity and is the remnant of what once was quintessentially American in identity. The latter is primarily black and is more or less representative of the descendants of those who were once marginalized second-class citizens—and slaves before that—living within and alongside the American cultural organism but not really constituting actual members of that society in any real sense of the word. However, this racial composition of the two cultural entities in their respective contemporary formulations is due more to an accident of history, and both cultural entities have readily and at times even greedily assimilated members of all races into their respective numbers, including apostates from the ranks of one another.

While foreigners such as Hispanics or Asians have always enjoyed a great degree of liberty in choosing which cultural entity to align themselves with, they have traditionally opted—when they could—for assimilation into the greater American culture; however, since the conclusion of the Civil Rights Era, when black culture finally broke free of its stigma, an ever increasing number of such foreigners have opted for assimilation into the urban proletariat. Nevertheless, these shifting preferences of real foreigners has never much concerned members of the two cultural entities, whose respective scorns have always been reserved for apostates within their own ranks. For example, black converts to the greater American cultural entity have been scornfully labeled by their fellow blacks for over a hundred years as "Uncle Toms" or "house niggers," a trend that continues to this very day. Meanwhile, in contemporary times, White apostates to the urban proletarian entity are derided with terms such as "wigger" by their former White comrades.

As already elaborated upon, the greater American cultural organism has traditionally represented the bulk and the mainstream of our vast and exalted nation. Originally, it was a transplanted northwestern European amalgam. As time went on, however, its WASP composition slowly gave way to a pan-European one that eagerly assimilated all European immigrants. Furthermore, assimilation was never—not even in the earliest days—exclusive to just European peoples. Although the British colonists were never as willing to appropriate Native peoples into their cultural modules as the Spanish or even the French were, the

American cultural organism was always willing to assimilate small numbers of Native Americans, Hispanics, Asians, and even—on occasion—African Americans into itself so long as such assimilation was on the American cultural organism's terms.

The primary reason that black America has continued to constitute the bulk of an ancillary entity to the greater American culture is that black Americans have—since this nation's founding—existed in great enough numbers sufficient to frustrate assimilation into another cultural entity. Indeed, individuals and even individual families cannot maintain their identity amidst an alien culture. And there is not a people throughout the entire world that would not assimilate a lone foreigner and his or her family if that foreigner approached the greater culture with humility, admiration, and a desire to conform. But a community, that is another story. A community or diaspora can maintain an enclave culture within a greater cultural entity indefinitely. It can resist assimilation by the dominant culture, and it can even assimilate debris from that dominant culture into its own module.

And that brings us to the urban proletariat entity. This urban proletariat first materialized when Southern black country folk began migrating into the urban centers across the entire contiguous United States. The strength of this emerging Megadeus soon eclipsed that of the Southern black country folk, pulling these peoples who birthed it into its orbit, and then—in a glacial task that it is still engaged in to this very day—began to slowly devour them in order to feed its own numbers. There was once a White urban proletariat, which was created during the Industrial Revolution, but the members of that now non-existent entity either fled the cities during the Civil Rights Era and merged into White bourgeois society or have since been assimilated into the black urban proletariat.

Furthermore, and increasingly since the success of the Civil Rights Movement, this urban proletariat has been assiduously struggling to assimilate *all* of America's minorities and immigrants into its cultural grasp. This endeavor is greatly facilitated by our nation's complete and utter open door of an immigration policy. And it is also greatly facilitated by the governmental subsidization of child rearing for members of the urban proletariat. The United States' government is extorting money from members of the greater American cultural entity in order to pay poor urbanites to breed like rabbits. This facilitates the inversion of the traditional numerical relationship between these two cultural entities in two ways. Firstly, it promotes the rampant proliferation of those who bear the urban proletariat culture, allowing that entity to grow exponentially in strength. Secondly, it stifles the proliferation of those who bear the greater American culture via additional taxes, which discourage the increasingly expensive (for those who actually have to pay for it) practice of rearing children and thus diminishes the numerical strength of members of the greater American cultural entity.

Thus, we witness across the entire country, a swelling mass of urban proletarians within every city. And it is growing increasingly audacious and hostile towards the greater American cultural entity with each passing day. This growing urban proletariat is standing by, licking its lips, and greedily awaiting its opportunity to unleash an interregnum upon American society. The greater American cultural entity, meanwhile, is vainly attempting to stave off this incipient interregnum. Residing in their suburban cordons, they are trying their best to keep the rambunctious urban masses at bay. However, there are traitors amidst We the People.

The liberal overlords are convinced that acquiescence to the swelling urban proletariat is the only acceptable solution. They hate America and the greater American culture, and they wish to see our cultural entity destroyed and gobbled up by the urban proletariat. Indeed, they stand ready to open the gates for the enemy, permitting the urban proletarians to run amok across the continent. They, these liberal traitors, cannot await to unleash the beast that they have been breeding within America's inner cities. They seek to accommodate this urban proletarian takeover of the nation, hoping that they, the liberal sympathizers, will be spared and permitted to quietly assimilate into them. But such hope is a poor gamble that brings with it no guarantee of survival. Such fools these liberal traitors are.

\* \* \*

So how can these two cultural entities be reconciled? Is it possible? Probably not. One has to emerge triumphant in the culture wars, exercise its dominance, and induce assimilation, expulsion, or marginalization of some form upon the other.

Although we are speaking here of two *cultural* entities, the battle lines—as already mentioned in this Manifesto—have more or less been drawn upon racial lines. Surprisingly, however, it is not the numerically superior race that has insisted upon such a division. The greater American cultural entity, being mainly composed of the numerically superior White Americans, continues to insist upon its policy of open arms towards members of all races. Meanwhile, the urban proletariat has, beginning in the 1960's, continued to articulate a vociferous black Nationalism, which unapologetically defines the struggle between these two cultural entities in terms of Whites versus blacks or, at times, Whites versus non-Whites. Perhaps most disturbingly, many members of the black Nationalist Vanguard have chosen to align themselves with the enemies of the greater Western world by adopting Islam. Pseudo-conservatives, who have no real wisdom of or experiences with common members of the

urban proletariat erroneously believe that they actually *want* to assimilate into the greater American culture. But this is not the case. *They seek to supplant us!* Note that many of them view the American flag itself, the prime symbol of our people, as a racist symbol of oppression and imperialism and refuse to even feign *any* loyalty to it. They even have their own national anthem, which they call the Black National Anthem. The battle lines have indeed been drawn whether or not bourgeois White Americans wish to realize it or not. No matter how devoted to peaceful coexistence one side remains, peace cannot exist if the other side wishes to make war. Thus, it appears that these two cultural entities will remain in a virtual state of war no matter how long members of the White middle and upper classes continue to make loud and vociferous declarations concerning how color-blind or opposed to racism they are.

When two groups stand opposed to one another, the group that expresses the most group solidarity and that possesses the greatest degree of group-feeling will be the one most likely to win. The numerical superiority and historic dominance of the greater American cultural entity will not be sufficient to give it victory over the more Militant and unified urban proletariat. In fact (and as already elaborated upon), many members of the greater American cultural entity refuse to admit that there is even any sort of struggle going on between these two groups at all. Indeed, due to the enervating influence of the liberal communists, the "sophistication" of We the People has become so perversely universalistic and inclusive that the greater American cultural organism stands disarmed before those who would like to see it gutted.

In order to reverse this dire situation, the greater American cultural entity, which is primarily White, should immediately begin *embracing its White identity*. Members of the black urban proletariat stick together, celebrate their blackness, and vote as an entire bloc for their shared racial and cultural interests. Other large minority groups, such as Hispanics, also tend to utilize identity politics to further the interests of their race and culture. And the general refusal of Whites to do the same is largely responsible for much of We the People's woes and continual social and political losses. Our cultural organism must celebrate its Whiteness, be proud of its Whiteness, and pursue White interest via identity politics. This epiphany has already been reached by many hard-thinking Americans of the alternative right, and there is some promise that more "moderate" conservatives are beginning to reach it as well. And such a development should be welcomed! Indeed, instead of apologizing for or attempting to alter the White basis of our cultural organism, We the People must embrace our White identity. Only then can we begin to turn the tide against our adversaries, who openly embrace *their* racial identities.

We must revive our group-feeling and our peoplehood. We must become a real *nation* once again. In the end, some of the urban proletarians may prove assimilable, but this can only occur if the greater American cultural entity can once again become an attractive cultural entity to assimilate into. Its people must bear the mark of pride and not of shame. Its trajectory must become one of growth and ascendancy instead of one of decline and slow death. Its people must become Militant with its enemies instead of accommodating towards and compliant with them. Simultaneously, the Militant and antagonistic spirit of the urban proletariat must be broken. And until conditions have altered, and until they begin to approach the greater American cultural entity with humility and admiration, those who compose this domestic nemesis of ours must be considered *enemies* of We the People and should be treated accordingly.

A house divided cannot stand. A single country cannot house two cultural entities. American Militant Nationalists know this.

## Group-Feeling and Patriotism

Conservatism, as already elaborated upon in this Manifesto, is really the outward manifestation of a people's group-feeling, or of the diffuse *esprit de corps* of a citizenry. Conversely, liberalism is the manifestation of a population's lack of group-feeling, and even anti-group-feeling. And it is group-feeling that serves as the glue that holds together a nation. Like the force that holds an atom together, conservatism is a positive and cohesive force. Liberalism, on the other hand, is akin to that dark negative charge that is always seeking to tear the atom apart, rendering it a nonentity by freeing its constituent components.

America can only truly be a nation again if and when group-feeling prevails. We have become, not a nation, but a collection of individuals who happen to live within the borders of the same political entity. The United States, as a government and as an international political actor, is a reality. But America the nation, if not dead is quickly dying. The traitorous liberals have forced the focus of Americans upon the individual so much so that John F. Kennedy's famous call to national service, made during his inaugural address, would fall mainly upon deaf ears today. Indeed, asking the contemporary American to forgo selfishly seeking advantage from the system in order to instead sacrifice for or serve their country would be insulting to the average American today. But a real nation cannot long exist with that sort of citizenry. And the liberals know this! Their goal is the death of our country and our civilization, and thus they have seditiously sought to undermine group-feeling.

One of the primary means whereby the life-hating liberal communists have succeeded in this seditious scheme is by curbing patriotism, patriotic talk, and patriotic modes of understanding and interpreting history. But conservatism can only win when patriotism is promoted over individualism! Thus, in order to make America a strong and successful nation, We the People must rekindle patriotism and in turn group-feeling. We must, by any means necessary, hold the collapsing hull of the sinking ship together. One false move or moment's weakness and all is lost—we shall be sunk. Stand fast, patriots! Our nation, our world, it all depends upon *you*. Every muscle, every tendon, every sinew, bone, and fiber—all must go into this struggle for this land we love so much: America!

\* \* \*

There was once a perfect nation known as America; it was the most resplendent star

ever known in the entire history of this spinning Earth-ball. There was a dominant people—the American people—who composed this nation, imbuing it with their rugged strength and intransigent resolve. And these noble people were supported and served by a small and simple government apparatus, which was nonetheless strong and vigilant despite its meager size and budget; but most importantly, this governmental apparatus was wholly loyal to the American people it protected, keeping its aims and their interests completely congruous in every facet.

Unfortunately, however, this idyllic formulation would not persist into our current age. Ours is an era of the mob; ours is an era of atomized individuals and the dictatorship of the dying human amalgam of the old, rusted industrial centers—sarcophagi that spew their putrid hatred of life upon the living, breathing cultural entity of the American people. How long will We the People permit the decaying corpse of a dead ideology to dictate its policies of anti-growth, anti-life, and anti-Americanism upon our noble and exalted nation? The liberal Leviathan's time is up!

\* \* \*

America has perhaps been too successful for too long. Security and confidence has bred a collective complacency and apathy. When America was a mere upstart, seeking to supplant Europe, was when it exhibited its most profound dynamism and ingenuity. Then, after its success in the Second World War, the collective drive to better the nation began to dissipate. This phenomenon is evinced by the disintegrating and self-absorbed nature of the first generation reared after that war, the baby boomers. And the ending of the Cold War finally succeeded in banishing the last vestigial traces of group-feeling throughout America. It is true that the Reagan resurgence had succeeded in sparking the dying coals of patriotism and resurrecting American group-feeling just prior to the collapse of the USSR, that great nemesis of our United States. Nevertheless, the Soviet Union's fall—which deprived us of our last concrete excuse or reason for patriotic unification—was indeed the final nail in the coffin of American group-feeling. The September 11th attacks temporarily restored a nominally united front against Islamic terrorism, but the amazing rapidity with which this incipient resurrection of group-feeling and patriotism dissipated and was then transmuted into a rabid and scathing disdain for and criticism of the Bush administration is perhaps the most telling testament to how lost our cause for a unified United States has now become. And that is where Americanism and Nationalism factor into the urgency of America's dire situation upon the world stage.

If the United States is to succeed in strengthening itself, it must first develop an American identity that addresses three immediate threats to our great nation: shame, diversity, and social atomization. We the People must overcome these three threats by proactively promoting their respective foils: pride, assimilation, and traditionalism. If this can be accomplished, then group-feeling and a unity of action will naturally emerge once again here in America.

First, We the People must cure America of its shame, replacing it with pride. In the most important aspect of the nationalistic program to restore group-feeling to America, pride and patriotism must be cultivated, promoted, and rewarded. Liberal cosmopolitans can no longer be permitted to vomit upon the concept of America by disparaging our history in order to fetter our collective will. Our amoral struggle to accrue wealth and power was not some shameful, aberrant abhorrence. No, the rise of the United States was a glorious affirmation of the will, energy, and ingenuity of a destined people. A new and inspiring narrative of America and American history must be constructed and espoused, one that will instill pride and patriotism within the hearts and the minds of the American people, bestowing our progeny with the strength and courage needed to prevail against any threat to America or her people. Patriotism is the lifeblood of any people, and its sacred role in the preservation of nations must never be taken for granted again. Americans must be educated, empowered, and made to love their country again. Furthermore, their powers must be harnessed and directed in a manner that strengthens the wealth and power of our nation collectively. *They must be indoctrinated in American Militant Nationalism.*

Second, We the People must work to neutralize the pall of heterogeneous diversity that hangs over us, the disunity and dissimilarity of the contemporary United States, via a concerted program of assimilation. Diversity and division is weakening America by preventing a consensus of interests or group-feeling to emerge. The United States was once considered a melting-pot. This is no longer the case (and the term itself has actually been banned on some liberal college campuses because of its "racist" tone, which assumes that immigrants should give up their culture and assimilate). No, America has now become a *salad bowl*, a concept promoted by the liberal communist traitors that is utilized to support the Balkanization of our nation. But, despite what the liberals tell us, diversity does not equal strength. Multiculturalism is harming America by supplanting our American culture with a mosaic of every conceivable form of cultural wreckage from the Third World. We must immediately end immigration, and then we must work to assimilate the various foreigners and minorities into the greater American cultural organism. Those that resist this assimilation must be treated as enemies of our nation because in fact they are. They represent other, alien, and increasingly hostile cultural organisms that seek to compete with and one day supplant

our American Megadeus. Two cultural organisms cannot live side by side indefinitely. Our cultural organism must devour these various small parasitic cultural organisms that we now find sharing our land, assimilating the resultant human debris that falls out of them into our own awesome body.

Third, We the People must reverse the social atomization of American society, resultant upon the anti-family policies of liberalism, with the conscious and concerted cultivation of its foil, traditionalism. The alienation of our people from one another can no longer be permitted to continue unhindered. The policy of despots to divide and conquer their subjects is perhaps the oldest trick in the book of tyranny, and the United States—under the design of the liberal elite apparatus—is quickly becoming a collection of frightened, helpless, vulnerable individuals with no family nor community, in any meaningful sense, to turn to. Families must be embraced, promoted, encouraged, supported. Communities must be created and empowered. The sad, lost, alienated human grains of sand that drift amidst the megalopolis of our vast North American continent must be collected up and amalgamated into one, united, prevailing mass, the Megadeus of America and the Nationalism that will save her. Furthermore, all the various anti-traditionalist vehicles of atomization—such as feminism, hedonism, and homosexuality—must be combated as well. The Cosmopolis thrives upon a constant stream of atomized and alienated beings. The folk culture, conversely, is built upon families and communities. The Cosmopolis extracts glue and mortar from the wobbling foundation of the American folk in order to feed its liberal Megadeus. We must deny it our sons and daughters, the corruption of whose lives and morals has become its lifeblood, so that we may once again begin strengthening ourselves and our American cultural Megadeus while simultaneously weakening the influence of the communist traitors who seek to destroy us.

Every male member of the American cultural organism must be made a soldier-philosopher and be given a plot of land, a high-paying job, and a wife. Thus, he will be imbued with a patriotic and invigorating sense that he is not only responsible for defending his nation via his military service but that he is also constructively contributing to society via his job. He will own his own land, and he will raise and support his own family. And he will also possess the education that will allow him to argue for the soundness of his exalted way of life. An egalitarian society of landowning worker-soldier-philosophers will forever ensure traditionalism, assimilation, pride, and in turn group-feeling.

The current America is a finished thing, a spent thing, a dead and dying thing. Unless an ambitious program of American Nationalism can be implemented in order to Americanize America, our progeny will inherit not a nation but a carrion-mass. Let our movement serve

as the germ cell around which a new America is formed, and let the strength and resilience of that America conquer the world *again*. Long live the American empire! American Militant Nationalism will construct a unified people and ready them for the necessary implements of Militarism and Nationalism that will allow our noble Republic to grow, prosper, and achieve great things in its struggle for survival against the swarming, pullulating legions of Afro-Eurasia.

It is in our own collective self-interest to create a sense of common interest among the American people, directing their hostile energies outward instead of inward, as they currently are. America has become a nation of cannibals, and the ruin and destitution of our great and noble nation is the only perceivable future for us if such a societal formulation continues.

Diversity, shame, and social atomization deprive the concept of an American nation any basis in reality. Let the seditious liberal usurpers stand aside so that We the People, the true Americans, may proceed to implement the American Militant Nationalism needed to restore group-feeling to the American people.

\* \* \*

American Militant Nationalism amounts to precisely what it sounds like—with the primary focus being Nationalism. Of course, America is the greatest nation that has ever thrived and flourished upon this vast and ancient Earth, and everything that America and her noble people have endeavored upon has been of an exceptional and exemplary nature. Thus, our unique form of Nationalism must have a unique and splendid *American* nature, fitting to the awesome and inspiring nature of the Republic itself and of its founding principles. In other words, the most important aspect of any concerted program of nationalistic salvation for our people is, of course (and as already noted), patriotism.

Thus, we must glorify our founding fathers and our national heroes. The respect and awe that Americans are to hold for the history of their grand Republic must become first and foremost a *religious* phenomenon. Disrespect for the ideals of America's founding must be viewed as a sort of cancerous treason that corrodes the life-force of the nation. Communist traitors who seek to supplant Americanism and our nation's founding principles should not be tolerated as "good Americans" who merely seek to "exercise their freedom of speech," but should be recognized as the domestic enemies that they actually are.

Utilizing treachery and lies in a plot to drag our noble nation downward into the spiraling abyss is not the exercise of one's freedom of speech—it is why our nation is dying!

The venomous fiends who extol themselves as "socialists" or "liberals" are *not* well-intentioned, patriotic Americans who "happen to hold an opposing viewpoint" in some happy or idyllic fashion. No! These cancerous villains are traitors who hate America, hate everything America stands for, hate the hometown American hero, and seek to destroy the American heartland through their fiendish ploys that drain wealth out of our sacred land, funneling it into the pockets of foreigners and their foreign governments. Damn these communist, liberal bastards! Damn them to Hell!

If America is to survive and persist into the future, she must free herself from the elitist shackles placed upon her by the cosmopolitan liberals who currently inhabit and rule from the ivory fortresses of Wall Street, Washington, and Hollywood. Behold, America sits in bondage to masters who hate her. They have gained power by appealing to the lowliest and most disenfranchised elements, and they are using this power to gut our noble nation, handing out the butchered flesh to foreign regimes and foreign peoples. Enough is enough! These Marines refuse to sit by and let these irreverent rulers destroy what has been the most beautiful, resplendent, shining star that has ever shone upon the world. We love America! And this nation that we love is dying—not from some overwhelming foreign invasion but from the inside out. Our noble nation is dying because the communist villains who currently hold her in bondage are willfully and purposely *destroying* her, her people, and her standard of living.

When will we as Americans finally have enough? Will it be when all our dreams lie dead and dying by the wayside? Or will it be when we still have some glimmer of a hope to effect some change upon that which will continue to be a long and sober bloodletting of America's wealth and people and life-force? Let each man search his own soul; Sacco and Vanzetti know what needs to be done.

## A Note Upon the Bank Bailouts

The American people have been betrayed. Yes, the communist fiends who hold our nation in bondage betray us in a constant and continuous manner that can never be forgiven; however, the specific betrayal of which I currently speak is that terrible stab in the back that will go down in history as the biggest swindle ever perpetuated against a people by their smug and impetuous rulers: the bank bailouts of 2008.

It was not the first time the banks were bailed out by our corrupt government, and if the current system continues it surely will not be the last. But it is exactly the sort of traitorous activity—committed at the expense of our nation as a whole to the benefit of a small but entrenched special interest—that would *never* occur under a nationalist form of American governance. Thus, it stands as a perfect case study of what can go wrong in a country that does not operate as a healthy, coherent, and upright *nation*.

Now, turning to the case study, let us recall that trillions upon trillions of dollars of taxpayer money was stolen from the American people against their wishes by politicians and given to giant, international banks deemed "too big to fail." The American people were told that this was necessary to save the economy and to save their homes. And yet, nobody has seen a dime of that money save the bankers themselves. Meanwhile, honest hard-working Americans continue to lose their homes in droves with no mercy from the politicians nor the banks that were allegedly bailed out in the name of saving us all.

It would have been better for this country and *all* the people who inhabit it if the money had gone directly to the people instead of the banks. With the amount of money politicians spent on bailing out their banking executive buddies, every single taxpaying American household—by even the most modest estimates—could have received over one hundred thousand dollars. And it was our money to begin with! Stabbed in the back. The vast majority of average, hard-working, real Americans were completely and utterly against any form of bank bailout from the start—heart and soul against it! And yet, both major political parties somehow managed to finally agree on something, come together, and implement these bank bailouts, which the American people themselves were dead set against. Stabbed in the back. To this day, the noblest of Americans continue to lose their homes—mothers and fathers, husbands and wives, pregnant fiances of combat servicemen off fighting for America across the globe. Stabbed in the back. Nobody benefited from the whole sordid mess other than the corrupt politicians and their bank executive, big shot buddies. Stabbed in the back. The rich keep getting richer, and the poor keep getting poorer. Stabbed in the back. The American middle class melts away while an entrenched elite sip martinis, smoke cigars, and

swindle entire nations so that they may preside over a corpse rather than surrender their cherished positions. Stabbed in the back. Our standard of living deteriorates like vapor before our eyes as lawyers, bankers, and politicians laugh in our face, telling us to shut up and do what we're told. Stabbed in the back. America is dying but congressmen and senators drive yachts and fly private jets, and CEO's of Goldman Sachs spend more on one meal than entire American households spend in one month! Stabbed in the back. Our nation's standard of living is but a shadow of what it once was just a few short years ago, and yet, the villainous bastards who got us all into this mess in the first place are living like kings! *Stabbed in the back!*

Everyone who had anything to do with the financial crisis—all the conniving lawyers, the Fat Cat bankers, the lying politicians—should all be executed in the name of justice. Their fortunes and estates should be confiscated, and we can use *that* to pay off the national debt. After all, the burdensome national debt is merely the debt that they created by stealing *our* money, spending it upon themselves and their friends while America was suffering like a starving child! Careers and fortunes built upon and enjoyed at the expense of the blood and tears of honest, hard-working, God-fearing Americans—that is the nature of these so called "leaders" of our noble nation. Our national debt is nothing more than an account of swindled cash taken from the American people against their will in order to perpetuate a system that resembles tyrants reigning over slaves more than it resembles a free and resplendent America, upright and strong. The vampiric fiends who preside over our destitution are counting on the goodwill and blind trust of the average American in order to maintain their ruse, which they call governance and which we now call tyranny. What we currently behold in America is not a nation. No, it is a gang of sickly thugs presiding over a mass of frightened victims, and these Marines are tired of being trampled on. *Don't tread on me!*

## **Economic Nationalism**

## The Communist Fallacy

Ever since the Industrial Revolution and its effects on the organization of labor, the deepest political discussions have tended to ultimately come down to the issues of private property and class struggle. From the social tensions of the late nineteenth century, through the infamous Russian Revolution, and on past subsequent movements and upheavals the left has consistently blamed the bulk of society's ills on wealth inequality and poverty. For example, over the past century, as Americans have sought an explanation for the crime, violence, and delinquency that continues to plague our society, the communists amongst us have sought to attribute such phenomena to the poor living conditions and limited opportunities of the individuals who cause them. But this is a deeply flawed approach that ignores human nature.

Firstly, here is a rather popular preconception that human beings are born innocent and noble and that it is only by the decadent and evil nature of society that individuals are lead astray down the various paths that we collectively deem as counterproductive to the rule of law and the social contract. But this myth of innate human goodness is nothing more than a fallacy. The late great Robert Ardrey once referred to it as a romantic fallacy, but because it has been the primary inspiration behind communism and modern welfare statism these two Marines shall refer to it as the *communist fallacy*. And this fallacy can only be shelved away if we openly accept the fact that *man*, by his very nature, is *not* inherently good. And tossing unearned gifts at man's feet will not automatically make him so. Ardrey once quipped that "Man is a predator whose natural instinct is to kill with a weapon." This is true of both rich and poor, privileged and unprivileged, wealthy and impoverished.

Secondly, the communists have always claimed that through the elimination of private property humans could be made to automatically come together and work hand in hand towards a utopian society free of hatreds, jealousies, fears, and worries. But these communists are and always have been completely ignorant of human nature, and they have always failed to fully grasp the reality of both this world in which we live and our inheritance as human beings. The urge and need for property or status has been bequeathed to us from our animal ancestors just as much as our urge for self-preservation or our fear of the night. And, unbeknownst to the communists, eliminating an outlet for man's innate territoriality, such as private property, will do absolutely nothing to diminish that innate territoriality. Instead, the abolition of private property would serve only to channel man's territorial instincts towards other ends; a new abstract property would assume its place.

In *The Territorial Imperative*, Robert Ardrey brilliantly and conclusively shows us that the territorial instinct is utilized by organisms of all orders and type. Through natural selection,

humans and our animal ancestors have developed the institution of territory to satisfy our needs for identity, stimulation, and security. Our innate drive to satisfy these needs makes territory a permanent and irreconcilable part of who we are and what we call humanity, and any attempt to meddle in society or the affairs of humanity *must* take this omnipresent reality into account.

Ardrey's analysis of Soviet and American farming should be all the proof one needs before realizing that the belief that all our problems can be solved with the abolition of private property is akin to a child's naivety that babies are delivered by a stork. In the 1960's, one American farmer produced enough food to feed twelve others while still creating a surplus. In the Soviet Union, one farmer could barely feed himself and one other.

The secret to the success of American farms in comparison to the collective farms of the Soviet Union was due to our utilization of the territorial instinct. It comes as no surprise to anyone who truly understands human nature that a family working on their own property will be invigorated by their ownership of that property. They will work from dawn 'til dusk to improve their harvest, and thus increasing their future well-being. It comes equally as no surprise that an individual working on a collective farm for pay or "the benefit of the greater good" will do only the bare minimum. They have no vested interest in their work nor any hope to better their future lot. This is the human territorial instinct in action. In is self-evident and undeniable. And yet the communist fallacy continues.

We are told throughout our school years by our teachers, and later by our professors, that communism is the ideal. That if we could just find a way to rid ourselves of human problems such as greed, envy, and corruption—if we could only find a way to get all of humanity to put aside their pettiness and strive in harmony towards a utopian future—then all our problems would solve themselves. If we could only make it work! These are the silly naïve yearnings of silly and naïve men. An ideal society, government, or economic system should not be ideal but practical. We need to first understand human nature and then craft our institutions in a manner that is compatible with that nature. What we should not, and cannot afford to do, is attempt to graft human nature onto the wide-eyed ideals of the intellectually immature.

Property or territory is something that we naturally seek and, once obtained, will defend with righteousness to the death. There is a certain pride and entitlement that comes with the acquisition of property. This is not a human invention. All our ancestors, from the smallest to the largest, from the simplest to the most complex, asserted their claim to territory against their fellows, and there is no reason to foolishly believe that we contemporary humans are any different. We are blessed with an intimidating intellect, as well as the customs and

traditions allowed only by this superior intellect; however, we cannot ignore our innate instinct for the acquisition and defense of a territory, either real or abstract. We cannot, in all our power and sophistication, ever succeed in negating what God has made us. And that is why communism has failed, and why communism will always fail.

\* \* \*

Another flawed aspect of communism has always been its Militant insistence upon “equality” between the sexes. Both the Russian and Chinese communists sought to masculinize their women, turning them into “fellow citizens” and “sister proletarians.” They did this primarily because they correctly saw traditional gender roles as essential to the healthy, conservative societies that they sought to undermine. Thus, by eliminating gender distinctions the communist revolutionaries sought to cement their societies in a radical leftist form forever, preventing a return to traditionalism.

And in America in the 1960's and 70's, the liberal elites who control Washington, Wall Street, and Hollywood all colluded to poison women against—and then lure them away from—their traditional gender roles. This was all done merely to increase corporate profits by undercutting male workers. But to this day that reality is ignored in favor of false feminist narratives of liberation.

A man needs a wife. He needs her just as he needs land or territory. But the communist fiends have sought to strip a man of both these needs. Instead of allowing him private property they saddle him with debt and dependency. Instead of permitting him a wife they chain him to a “soul mate.” Instead of giving him what he needs to become a complete being they give him nothing and call it his “equality.” Is it any wonder why men are refusing to settle down, get married, or start families?

And what of the woman? Has she not been slapped with an even greater slight? Once upon a time she was destined to be a respected, revered, loved, and protected wife and mother. Now she is doomed from birth to become little more than an unpaid prostitute for a string of smooth-talking sexual opportunists. And she has also been tricked into becoming a wage slave for the eternal caprices of our consumerist society. Instead of children she has designer shoes and purses. Instead of a family she has a “career.” Our society offers her nothing—nothing but dust.

What has our world become in the hands of these communist fools and traitors? Why have we allowed them to gut the soul of our people? We must restore patriarchy. We must strive to

banish Mammon. We must reclaim the land.

\* \* \*

Territoriality is an essential part of man's nature. A man is not truly complete when he is proprietor-less. Thus, once We the People have implemented the Second American Revolution we must be sure not to embark upon the communist fallacy. We must institutionalize landownership as an integral aspect of We the People's existence. Our founding fathers dreamed of a Republic of homogeneous, landowning yeoman militiamen. Thus, in retrospect, although they gave the right to vote to only White male landowners, their failure to make landownership a right of that White male citizenry was an obvious mistake. Of course, in their defense, it is understandable that they did not foresee the closing of the frontier, which provided ample land to virtually any pioneer who wanted it, in so short an expanse of time.

Now, We the People, in order to regain the society that was designed by the founding fathers but has since been lost to us via the treachery of the liberal traitors, must recreate a society of egalitarian landowners. The communists hate private property and would have us abolish it. The American Militant Nationalists cherish it and would have it forever be institutionalized as a sacred right for those noble souls who compose the American cultural organism.

Indeed, that is why the ideal citizenry that will be created out of members of the American cultural organism after We the People have won our Second American Revolution must guarantee a plot of land to all its male members. Violence, as has already been demonstrated in this Manifesto, is a vital aspect of man's nature, and only a man that is also a warrior is truly a complete male. Landownership too is a necessary component of manhood. Territoriality, and the chance to own a territory and exercise one's territorial instincts, completes man's nature. Once We the People have reconstituted our sacred Republic, all men will be both soldiers *and* landowners.

And on their land they shall each exercise a patriarchy. They will each be given a wife, and they will all raise families. They will be made *real* men. And together, each with that resplendent American woman by his side, will march forward to rear an entire generation free from Mammon's clutches. And we will all finally be free from the malaise of consumerism and cultural Marxism that currently blights us.

## The Environmentalist Fallacy

The creation of fire by humans, whether by a chance happening of a lightning bolt or perhaps by the stroke of genius of one man, opened a path to which we all have now come to rely on.

The things which we have been accustomed to and the very act that made all subsequent forms of human advancement possible involves the burning of materials and the release of CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere. Human progress, while not ideal, is a thing to be striven for and not held back by those who claim we are poisoning our planet. Despite what the liberals cry, we humans are not some strange viral mishap that is maliciously destroying our planet.

Like all life, we act as a gradient, no different from any other natural occurrence. Hurricanes form when there is too much heat and pressure, and they seek to relieve that excess heat and pressure. Life is no different. When CO<sub>2</sub> was abundant, the first life consisted of plants that sought, and still seek, to take in this element and convert it into something different, such as oxygen. After millions of years, when plants polluted our planet with an abundance of oxygen, which they created, a different lifeform arose: animal life. This new lifeform used oxygen, which was then converted into other elements, such as CO<sub>2</sub>. Life is a natural phenomenon that occurs in nature to convert excess elements into other elements, and we humans accomplish this on a mass scale with many different elements.

And it is a good thing that we are converting matter into CO<sub>2</sub> because without CO<sub>2</sub> all life would cease to exist on Earth. CO<sub>2</sub> is not the destroyer of our planet but rather a force for life. All energy we consume comes from plants that convert sunlight into energy, and plants need CO<sub>2</sub> to survive. In fact, the higher the CO<sub>2</sub> levels, the more plants will flourish. During the age of the dinosaurs, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels were more than four times what they are today. And yet life flourished during the age of the dinosaurs and never reached a point of runaway CO<sub>2</sub>.

Instead of worrying about creating too much CO<sub>2</sub>, we should be worried about *not creating enough* CO<sub>2</sub> because every time a living organism (plant or animal) dies, its carbon gets locked into the Earth, and living organisms are primarily carbon and water. If we do not create carbon dioxide by digging up and burning the remains of living organisms—i.e., fossil fuels—then eventually all carbon will become locked inside the Earth and all life will come to an end.

The liberal liars are even beginning to realize that science is not on their side; thus,

they have begun to term their fear mongering as "global climate change." They have no inkling of an understanding of the forces that effect the planet's climate nor whether or not those forces will bring about global warming or global cooling. In the last million years alone the Earth has been in and out of no less than four ice ages. That means that the planet suddenly got colder and then suddenly warmed up with no interference from humans whatsoever—four times! And the last ice age ended only 14,000 years ago; therefore, it should come as no surprise that the planet seems to be warming. The wobble of the Earth's axis and its tilt have more to do with natural climate change than the mere burning of fossil fuels. Regulating CO2 emissions is not about preventing climate change. It is about control. The liberals and the climate change fanatics have much invested in "green" technologies and stand to profit from things like "cap and trade." And the multinational financiers are behind them because there are great profits to be made from the selling and trading of carbon emission credits. It is just another racket! And it is simply yet another example of how the traitorous politicians and financiers are colluding to enrich themselves at the expense of We the People!

\* \* \*

Those environmentalists who insist on destroying the domestic energy industry of America under the false pretense of saving our planet have no idea of the way our planet actually works. They claim that we must stifle development in order to save the planet from CO2 emissions, but rest assured that *none* of them would sacrifice their standard of living merely to prevent ancient man from building his fires.

Fossil fuels can be a problem, but only when it entails the importing of a large percentage of our oil from foreign nations that are undermining our way of life. The less dependent we are on foreigners for our energy, the more secure, stronger, and free we will be. How can we truly be independent when we are beholden to foreign interests? It is no secret that foreign oil producers, especially the Saudis, are responsible for funding propaganda that inhibits domestic energy production in the United States. The fabricated documentaries showcasing flames flaring out of faucets as a result of nearby fracking were funded primarily by Saudi Arabia. And every liberal global warming alarmist, such as Obama, Clinton, and Gore, accept handouts, donations, and payouts from the Saudis. The traitors! It is no coincidence that those who seek to strangle America's domestic energy industry are the puppets of foreigners that are wholly reliant on oil exports to America.

To inhibit American energy independence for such over-inflated and fantastical environmental concerns as "global climate change" is nothing short of ignorance and should be considered treason. Studies show that the slowing down of volcanism will lead to the trapping of all CO<sub>2</sub> underground, which will lead to the destruction of all plant life and thus even our collective human existence. CO<sub>2</sub> levels were at a all-time low before the Industrial Revolution. During the age of the Dinosaurs, CO<sub>2</sub> stood at 1700 parts per million. The pre-industrial levels of CO<sub>2</sub> were around 280 parts per million, and the current levels stand at 400 parts per million. The Industrial Revolution may have prevented another ice age, which would be far more devastating than any level of warming because an Ice Age would make vital farm lands obsolete. Conversely, any warming will inevitably open up fresh farm lands to cultivate food for our ever-increasing population, and thus should not be feared as much as the communists would trick us into believing.

There is no doubt that we will one day move beyond fossil fuels, just as we have moved beyond burning wood for our survival and the advancement of society and civilization as a whole, but it will occur as a transition. Nothing happens instantaneously, but must be judged in the retrospect of generations. Looking at the past it is easy to summarize, but living in the present is not so clear-cut and can seem permanent.

The statistics that the environmentalists use to support global warming are reliant upon current or past data and statistics; however, nothing is ever consistent. It is always changing as in a flux. The opposite of change or evolution is stasis or death. The Earth has gone into and out of four ice ages in the last one million years alone. The warming or cooling of the planet is beyond mankind's control. The Earth's tilt or magnetic field can shift at any time and wreak environmental change in drastic ways. The minuscule effect that CO<sub>2</sub> has on the Earth's climate is irrelevant. Global warming or global climate change is nothing more than a campaign used by liberals for control, and these traitors must be stopped. We need to rein in the Environmental Protection Agency and force it to coordinate with the economic concerns of the American people and the nation as a whole. The EPA has been granted far too much autonomy and now acts as a cancer that is destroying its host. We must put an end to this dangerous and subversive entity, and we must silence the far-left traitors who believe that the life of some frog is more important than the national security of obtaining energy independence or the job of a patriotic American. Do not be fooled by the rhetoric or the selfless claims of the liberal traitors. It is not the environment that liberal alarmists care about. The liberals have an economic stake in the environmental crusade and seek to benefit from a cap and trade program that they can exploit for their own selfish gains.

Burning wood for heat and energy to cook food was once a necessity and now only a

novelty in people's households or on camping trips. Just as gas cars and stoves will one day also only be a novelty. Perhaps, when that day comes, we may be concerned how windmills are causing a change in the world's natural weather system and must be abandoned to save the planet from catastrophic weather changes. Change is inevitable, but it should not be pursued as some imaginary cure to an imaginary problem.

## **Immigration and Competition**

If a living being is unable to provide sustenance for its body to use as energy, it will die. We live on a bountiful planet. The earliest humans and their ancestors could survive solely through hunting wild game and foraging in the forest. Eventually, individuals and tribes settled down to farm and they created cities. These cities became civilizations, and now we live in a world alongside more than seven billion individuals. And every single one of these seven billion individuals need to provide themselves with enough resources in order to survive. Unfortunately, there is only a limited amount of resources on this rock we call Earth. Our planet is finite and it will reach a point where it cannot sustain everyone everywhere. This is a fact.

Thomas Robert Malthus, an eighteenth century English scholar, predicted that human population growth would one day surpass the available food supply. Malthus was correct in his reasoning; however, the Industrial Revolution greatly increased mankind's capability to exploit and utilize the Earth's resources, but we cannot increase our food supply indefinitely. The day of reckoning may not come today, it may not come tomorrow, but it is coming.

Populations in Asia and Africa have already reached the limits of their natural resources and are struggling to sustain their populations. Many nations in Asia are jostling over water rights because it is becoming increasingly difficult to provide their populations with fresh water. The situation has become unsustainable for the foreseeable future. Many places in Africa exist only on the handouts of others. If it were not for the alms of Americans, then many African children would starve. How long until these growing scarcities are felt around the whole world over? The American Southwest is already experiencing water shortages, how long until we start experiences food shortages? When there are 14 billion people on the planet will our vast heartland of farms and our vast water supplies in the Great Lakes be enough? What about when there are 28 billion people?

America has been blessed with an abundance of natural resources, and we are lucky enough to be very sparsely populated; however, we should not be allowing politicians to piss away our birthright. We the People should not allow the traitors in elected office to be handing out our money, our resources, or our future in the form of foreign aid, and nor should we allow the traitors to flood our country with immigrants and refugees from the Third World. These refugees and migrants have no desire to become Americans. Their sole desire is to consume whatever wealth and handouts they can swindle from the American people. Yet the traitors who have led this country astray have made it their goal to accept droves of unskilled workers, poor refugees, and migrants from around the entire world.

These are individuals who can contribute nothing but seek only to drain our resources. And if this trend continues, they will one day supplant our grandchildren through demographic displacement, thus robbing them of the resources that are their birthright—or, rather, *were* their birthright before the politicians sold that inheritance down the river for a handful of dirty gold coins.

\* \* \*

The unparalleled progress of the 50's and 60's is dogmatically and falsely attributed to the reforms of the New Deal under Franklin Delano Roosevelt. There were rising wages, an unprecedented rise in worker's benefits, and a labor market that allowed anyone to change jobs without the fear of being unemployed for any long length of time. This was not the result of FDR giving Federal protection to the workers' right to organize. This era of prosperity is owed to the fact that after World War II America remained the only intact industrialized nation throughout the world, and the prosperity owed to the individual American worker was a direct result of the Smoot-Hartley Act of the 1920's, which strictly limited immigration.

During the Great Depression there were fewer jobs than there were individuals seeking employment. Once a generation of immigrants—prior to the restriction of immigration—left the workforce, economic outlooks reversed. Policy, once implemented, is never immediate and needs time before the full effects can be made known. The windfall of prosperity for the American worker was the direct result of the shrinking of the labor pool caused by the restriction of immigration. In 1965, the vile betrayer, Lyndon Baines Johnson, unleashed widespread immigration on an unsuspecting American population. He essentially opened the floodgates for waves of "legal" immigration from the Third World to crash upon our shores, which changed the demographic makeup of America, created whole community enclaves that refuse to assimilate, and ensured increased competition for jobs that would lead to higher levels of unemployment and lower wages. This reform in immigration law, paired with the impressment of women into the workforce, is what caused the economic decline of the average American worker. We the People have been betrayed by vainglorious politicians who have sold out our economic future for votes from immigrants and feminists. And although certain *fiends* such as LBJ remain especially culpable, the wages and bargaining power of the American worker from the 70's until today has been the direct result of specific actions undertaken by both Democrats and Republicans, who have colluded to betray us for their blood money.

\* \* \*

All this lip service to a living wage and raising the minimum wage can only be solved by shrinking the labor force. Yet those traitorous Democrats who pay this hollow lip service to a living wage continue to let in hordes of immigrants, both legal and illegal. When large numbers of people are vying for a few jobs, then the employer dictates the terms, which will be low wages and little or no benefits. When a few people compete for a large number of jobs, then the workers of America demand and receive high wages and any benefits that they so desire. Legislation that raises the minimum wage will do no one any good because the added costs will be passed on by the businesses to the consumers, which leaves the minimum wage recipient still at the bottom of the food chain and paying inflated prices for the products that he purchases. The only way to effectively increase real income is to shrink the labor pool and thus lower competition for scarce jobs. Why let in waves of immigrants to America that only underbid the wages of hard working Americans? Those traitors who are supposed to represent We the People have sold us out! Instead of pursuing the best interests of Americans, they serve the interests of foreigners and illegals!

Likewise, the Republican traitors pay lip service to securing the border, but they have consistently failed to do this and have repeatedly acquiesced to amnesty for illegals. Both Ronald Reagan and George H.W. Bush granted amnesty to illegals, and George W. Bush advocated for the DREAM Act. Most recently, the GOP controlled congress has failed to challenge Barack Obama on his amnesty policies. This is because the GOP knows that increased immigration equals lower wages, which placates their corporate masters. We the People have been betrayed by both political parties! Neither party represents the interests of the American people but care only about maintaining their precarious hold on power. They care nothing except for winning elections. They ignore middle America and instead cater to immigrants and corporate donors to win their campaigns. We the People have no voice.

It is true that America is a nation of immigrants, and it is difficult to rationalize the turning away of hard working people who want to become a part of our great nation; however, the future of hard working Americans and the future prospects of our children demand that we make the tough decisions for what is best for us and what is best for the future of our descendants. There is nothing wrong with immigration, if done on a small scale for certain skilled individuals, but we cannot surrender the careers of our grandchildren simply because foreigners desire those jobs. Our resources are finite, and we are in competition with every other nation for these resources. Other nations may not be able to

take our resources by conquest, but they are sending their excess populations to our shores in order to confiscate our resources from our grandchildren via demographic displacement. It is time that we start looking out for Americans and the future of America. The deceivers and traitors will tell watery-eyed stories about the poor immigrants and refugees who want a better life, but remember that a better life for them means a worse life for you and a dimmer future for your children, or even extinction.

\* \* \*

Many Americans view the modern world as irreversible and as a progression towards some fantastical and ideal utopia somewhere in the near future. Conservatives look towards the rapture and the founding of the New Jerusalem. Libertarians welcome the complete evolution of man into *homo economicus*, And liberals look towards the advent of their imaginary brotherhood of man. Despite their various permutations, all these types hold history as linear. All view history as progress. All believe that history is permanent. And all believe that history has ended. They are fools.

The Romans also believed that they were the culmination of history. Rome was known as the eternal city. However, after years of decadence the Romans grew weak. They became unable to sustain their empire through demographic replacement and were eventually unable to even fill the ranks of their own military with Romans. Thus, they began accepting German barbarian immigrants to settle their lands and staff their military ranks. These Germans were known as *federati*, and they soon came to outnumber the Romans themselves. Eventually, it was not a Roman Empire but an empire of Germanic foreigners. It did not take long before the Germans overtook and displaced the entire western half of the Roman Empire, and they thus ushered in the Germanization of all of Western Europe. The Roman Empire in its heartland ceased to exist and was displaced by a foreign people bearing a foreign culture. This was the beginning of Western Civilization, which is now under threat of being displaced itself. This time by Third World immigrants. But it is not too late, and we do not have to face the same fate as the Romans. We have the Roman precedent before us. We have the lessons of history bequeathed to us. Let us learn from them.

Demographics have long lasting consequences and those consequences cannot be ignored if our civilization is to continue into the future. Emotions cannot be justified in order to perpetuate the demographic displacement of our people. The weak and the cowardly refugees be damned! How long will we allow liberal sob stories to threaten the stability of our

civilization, our country, and the well-being of our children? History warns us of the fate awaiting those who are too weak to defend their inheritance: extinction.

## **Government in the Economy**

The communist liberals who have taken over the Democratic Party have foisted their insane energy policies upon We the People. And these Democrats have colluded with their Republican colleagues to ram open borders and a liberal immigration policy down our throats. However, there is a specific economic idealism that has been especially harmful to our Republic and in which the Republicans and the pseudo-conservatives within their ranks, mainly due to unnatural libertarian influences, are primarily to blame for: an unhealthy adherence to the ideals of unbridled laissez-faire and international free trade.

Our ancestors came to America for various reasons: to seek religious freedom, individual liberty, and economic opportunity. Today these three concepts still serve as powerful images in the minds of most Americans. Of course, certain limits have been placed on these freedoms since the early days of our Republic. Americans cannot do or say whatever they want because certain behaviors can harm other Americans and limit their individual liberty. No one is allowed to walk into a crowded restaurant and falsely yell "FIRE" because it can lead to a panic and endanger lives. Likewise, an individual is not allowed to peddle poisons or swindle people by means of fraud. Similarly, regulations have been imposed on businesses and the economy for various and obvious reasons, such as the overexploitation of workers or the environment. There are laws against child labor, minimum wage laws, workplace safety regulations, and overtime requirements. And it is illegal for companies to dump harmful chemicals and industrial waste into the oceans, lakes, rivers, and groundwater.

Traitors in the GOP, who are self-proclaimed "conservatives," spread a false narrative that insinuates America was founded as a paradise for robber barons via a system based upon unbridled capitalism. They claim that the United States was founded on the principles of Adam Smith and was meant to serve as an experiment in both Democracy and capitalism. However, America was founded as neither a democratic nor a capitalist country. Adam Smith published his magnum opus, *The Wealth of Nations*, in 1776 when the American Revolution was already well underway, and it took until the mid-nineteenth century for the term "capitalism" to be breathed into existence. Likewise, America was founded as a limited constitutional Republic. The ideal America was a homogeneous nation of yeomen farmers. Merchants, financiers, industrialists, and bankers were tolerated, but seen as greedy and corruptive forces that were undesirable. Yet, Republicans hold these subversive forces up as an ideal and fraudulently claim that these corrupt pursuits were sanctified in stone by our founding fathers. Have any of them actually read anything penned by Thomas Jefferson, or

do they just parrot pseudo-conservatives who are on the payrolls of international financiers? The self-sufficient farmer who lives in a rural community, serves in the local militia, and stays informed politically so that he can vote in the interests of his grandchildren and the nation is the ideal American, not some fast-talking merchant hustling cheap wares and robbing his fellow citizens via usury. The lying traitors of the GOP clamor that America needs to return to some random period of unbridled capitalism to revitalize America, but this period has never existed. Even the conservative hero, Calvin Coolidge, proclaimed during his 1925 inaugural address that the dual policies of immigration restriction and protective tariffs had ushered in an unprecedented era of prosperity for the American economy. America should not be a land where atomized individuals combat one another in order to wrestle for control of resources, wealth, and privilege. If America is to revitalize itself, then Americans need to develop a national unity, identity, and purpose based on American Militant Nationalism.

Free markets served our ancestors well economically, but the United States government has always retained the right to regulate aspects of the economy when it was beneficial to the American people, such as tariffs to protect domestic industries against foreign competitors. The undeveloped American continent, with its untapped massive natural resources, was an ideal environment for free markets to flourish domestically without threats from overseas. America was using aspects of the free market to help mold a uniquely American identity. However, during the nineteenth century, there was an explosion of immigration into the United States, and these immigrants had left behind their communities and kin networks in the Old World. Furthermore, they did not try to assimilate into the traditional American identity. Thus, the pursuit of one's own economic success without regard for others eventually became the default status quo. This doctrine that idealizes an individual's selfish never-ending pursuit to amass personal wealth has never been in the benefit of the American nation nor the real American.

What is good for the long term health and survival of a nation is what is good for the individual because without the nation the individual is nothing. An individual benefits from the nation just as the nation benefits from the individual. An individual is free to flourish in a society that creates a stable and healthy environment in which to grow, learn, and do business in. The individual is then obligated as a citizen to contribute and give back to that society which has blessed his existence. Those who refuse to contribute to their society are not worthy of the benefits of that society. Society does not owe anyone anything. No one is entitled to anything from their fellow citizens. Many Americans who have never contributed to their society howl about welfare rights, the right to vote, or countless other self-absorbed entitlements. And other Americans amass fortunes by defrauding their fellow citizens or by

selling out their nation to foreigners. Yet both of these seditious types are protected by the laws, police, and military of the very society that they undermine. These cowardly parasites are not worthy of anything from the nation they refuse to serve, and some deserve to die for their treason. These dregs of society do not even deserve to be honored with citizenship. Only those Americans who put the nation above their own petty existence are worthy to be called citizens of the United States of America.

\* \* \*

Unbridled capitalism is not beneficial to the individual because it is not beneficial to the nation. Republicans have been duped into supporting a policy that benefits international financiers and multinational corporations to the detriment of We the People. The result is the shipping of American jobs over seas, which is not only detrimental to individual hard working Americans but also seriously weakens America's ability to defend itself while strengthening our enemies at the same time. Every industrial plant that closes in America detracts from our ability to manufacture armaments, tanks, fighter jets, bombers, and submarines, while every plant that opens in China as a result contributes to China's increasing arsenal of military hardware and capabilities. This outsourcing of American industry overseas is nothing short of treason! Just as the individual needs to serve the nation, business needs to serve the interests of the nation and thus the individuals who comprise that nation—We the People!

Free markets are the most efficient way to allocate resources; however, unbridled capitalism can be very detrimental to a nation as a whole and thus its citizens. A capitalist that undermines the economic strength of America in order to enrich himself is guilty of treason. In 1996, Bernard Schwartz's company, Loral Space and Communications, exported information on a guidance system for intercontinental ballistic missiles. Essentially, Schwartz sold military technology to the Chinese and betrayed America. He saved the Chinese years of research and development and put the United States in danger of nuclear missile strikes from China. Schwartz put his own economic interests before the interests of America. Schwartz is a traitor and he should be executed for high treason. Instead he is congratulated as a successful entrepreneur and business man. The only reason he was not put on trial is because the politicians who investigated the matter are traitors themselves who have long been complicit in selling out America to her enemies—just to line their own pockets!

Then there are the multinational corporations who close down American

manufacturing and industrial plants and sell off their assets in order to invest that money overseas. They do this because they can make a larger return on their investment in a developing country than they can from investing in a developed nation like America. Capitalism can only be beneficial when it is utilized to strengthen a nation. In today's America, capitalism is used to justify the flight of money, industry, and manufacturing to foreign countries. This only weakens our great nation and strengthens our enemies. We the People need to ensure that capitalism works in favor of America and We the People! We need to utilize capitalism in a manner that strengthens the economy of America. We need to develop a tax code and a trade policy that encourages the development of the American economy and stems the tide of American investments overseas. We need policies that promote wealth creation in America and jobs for Americans. America is the world's greatest superpower because of our economic might, but our economic strength has been waning for decades.

How much longer can America allow the greed of politicians and Wall Street to dismantle the economic infrastructure of our great nation? How long will We the People stand by and watch as the world's most successful superpower is dragged down to the status of a banana Republic? How long until enough is enough? America cannot continue on its current trajectory for much longer. We the People need to hold the traitors accountable and take back America for Americans!

\* \* \*

Critics of government intervention in the economy, such as the Austrian School, deride the control of certain economic aspects of society as prone to corruption and political favors. This whole argument only makes sense when one admits that the current political system is corrupt. Democracy is the current political system, and it is overrun with corruption. Democracy breeds corruption because it is nothing more than a collection of individuals competing for power. They gain their power through political favors, which drags any noble policy down to the giving and receiving of political favors. There is nothing wrong with using our government to create the most favorable economic conditions; however, there is something inherently wrong with our current political system of Democracy. The only way to stem the tide of American wealth to those close to political power is to reform our political system. Only through a coherent and sincere government can we begin to realize the fruits of the society we have created.

America needs to encourage a healthy environment for jobs to flourish for Americans. For too long American politicians have put the economic well-being of foreign nations and foreign companies before the needs of Americans and the American nation as a whole.

International financiers and multinational corporations are exporting the wealth and future of the American people. Every job moved to China or Mexico is a job denied to an American. This benefits no American but instead serves the interests of people in another country. This is a treasonable act, and it should be dealt with that way. America needs to make it illegal to outsource American jobs overseas. To ensure that big business operates in a manner that is compatible with the interests of the nation, organized boards needs to coordinate industries that are vital to America's economic and security well-being to operate in the best interests of America.

Industrial and business leaders need to operate not only in their own interests, but in the interest of America, and America's trade policy needs to be adjusted to benefit Americans instead of politicians and foreign governments. Politicians are regularly bought by lobbyists for domestic companies and foreign governments. America needs a system that cannot be manipulated by outside forces. America needs an economic system that places certain aspects of big business' incentives towards the benefit of the citizens of America.

Individuals plan for their future. Families plan for their families. Businesses plan for the future of their business. Why should the American *government* not plan for the future economic well-being and security of the American nation? Laissez-faire capitalism works great, domestically, but the idea that America should practice laissez-faire in the international market is a grave misconception. From this nation's inception, both the private sector and the American government have agreed that trade barriers and tariffs are necessary in order for domestic industry to flourish.

Only recently has America pursued a policy of "free trade" with its allies, but it is the opposite of free trade that our politicians have shackled the American economy with. Our politicians claim that free trade is good, but they have been lying to We the People. They have opened up the American market by destroying tariffs and trade barriers against foreign imports, yet they allow the same countries to keep tariffs and trade barriers on American products. The politicians do this because they care nothing about the well-being of the American economy or America's future. They have done this because they use the vast American market as a chip in their bargaining with other nations. "Support our sanctions on Iran and we will give you access to the American market." Then they allow American investors to pull capital from domestic investment and shift that investment, along with the

transfer of technology, to a foreign country to create jobs in that country and send the products made there to be imported into the United States. The traitors!

Essentially, our politicians have been selling out our economic future to secure allies abroad, and our national security will pay the price in the long run. Our politicians have pushed the interests of international financiers, multinational corporations, and foreign governments ahead of the interests of We the People!

## **An Economic Branch of Government**

The constant and ever present worry on the minds of all in America concerns the increasing inability of American industry to compete with other less developed nations, which continuously results in the shipping of jobs and factories overseas. This dilemma haunts all patriotic Americans like a reoccurring nightmare of which we cannot hope to prevent or cure. In developing nations, individuals will work twelve or more hours a day for a few dollars allowing manufacturers to produce goods at increased profits while simultaneously offering a cheaper product to American consumers. However, the loss of manufacturing jobs overseas takes away decent jobs from Americans, shrinks opportunities for middle class employment, and jeopardizes America's ability to produce the weapons and armaments necessary to defend itself. If companies refuse to close up shop and go overseas, then they will either go under from competition by others who have or from foreign companies.

The further loss of jobs overseas is a problem to which we know the solution, but we lack the resolve and the audacity to actually address in a cohesive and effective manner. This is an issue that will determine the future prosperity and security of our nation and is of the utmost importance if we wish to bequeath any semblance of the American dream to our children and grandchildren. No longer can we ignore or put off the crisis at hand; we must tackle our problems head on and act in the best interests of our country and our descendants.

Most Americans will not complain about having access to inexpensive items that are made overseas, but they will complain about a product's location of manufacture. We all are happy to reap the benefits of cheap overseas labor, yet we acknowledge the devastating loss of manufacturing jobs and the subsequent unemployment caused by this loss. However, most of what is produced overseas is crap that is meant to be thrown away in two years time. The reason foreign developing countries can produce such inexpensive goods is because of the low cost of their labor markets and because they cut corners and use inferior materials.

It is expensive to ship goods halfway across the globe, but the sheer volume and low production costs of foreign goods makes it profitable. In America we have on hand both an abundance of ready raw materials and the energy needed for manufacturing. We still have a need to ship our materials and resources back and forth throughout America for the purpose of manufacture. Nevertheless, it is far more cost effective to do this nationally than to involve foreign countries halfway around the globe. If we are to further increase our competitive edge, we must widen our advantage by restructuring our infrastructure towards a more efficient distribution and transportation of materials. Billions of dollars have been invested in

giant container ships to bring in goods from China, and billions more have been invested in American ports in order to be able to accommodate such massive container ships. And as I write, billions are being spent to expand the Panama Canal to accommodate larger container ships from China that are bound for the United States' East Coast. Imagine the boon for American manufacturers if half the amount being invested in trade with China was instead invested in updating and restructuring American infrastructure to encourage interregional trade within the United States?

We need to reallocate our means of distribution and redistribution. We need to return to a greater reliance on railroads by updating and restructuring our trains and rail systems. An effective and optimized railroad network, focused on the transport and distribution of our resources and finished products, would bring us closer to regaining a competitive edge here at home. But this will not be enough. We need to deal with the other symptoms of our crisis, such as the detrimental trade policy enforced on We the People by traitorous politicians. Free trade agreements between the richest country in the world, America, and poorer nations can only result in the hemorrhaging of jobs from the richer nation. And yet, the traitorous politicians have been forcing unfavorable trade treaties on the American people for decades. America needs to cast off the chains that have forced us into the web of unfavorable and damaging international trade relations that we currently find ourselves in. However, restructuring our infrastructure, reorganizing the means of supply, and addressing the irrational and unfair trade policies will not be enough.

In order to become masters over our own economy's future prosperity we must have a complete restructuring in all areas. Once we have restructured our distribution infrastructure, as well as our trade policies, we must take on the international financiers and multinational corporations that have sold out We the People for their own selfish economic interests. Also, we need to address the unions and reign in their inefficient work rules and inflated wages and pensions that have stifled our competitive edge.

Unions have long served as a tool to provide individuals with a decent wage and protect against abuses by employers. But they have also become institutionalized instruments that lobby for political protection and favors. These labor unions, both public and private, have become a drain on our economic system and a burden to any type of reform. We need to reorder all unions and workers of the concerned industries into an organization that can be coordinated—along with industry—to create a viable and competitive environment. Inflated wages and inefficient work rules are ridiculous for low and unskilled manufacturing jobs. But no American should be forced to work under exploitation. Placing wage floors and ceilings simply will not do. We need to implement a tool to properly manage and coordinate the

wages and benefits that will be provided to all employees of all levels of our industrial sectors in order to effectively compete in industrial markets. We cannot rely on politicians or inefficient bureaucracies to solve our problems. We need to keep free market practices; thus, "right-to-work" laws (which simply regulate a free market agreement between workers and their employers) are not the answer. This issue is so dire and of the highest concern to our nation that it must be solved with the utmost of sincerity and resolution.

We require the creation of a fourth branch of government to properly deal with this matter of such immense and dire concern. We have three branches of government that are meant to check and balance one another, but our Legislative Branch has extended its control and meddling in the economy to an extent that it has actually created many of the problems that we are currently concerned with at hand. Elected officials that regulate the very businesses and organizations that provide the means for their election and reelection is absurd. We cannot afford to allow politicians to hand out political favors and institute regulations that stifle our industrial competitiveness. We must not regulate but coordinate if we have any prayer of regaining a competitive edge. Only through a new branch of government will we be able to properly coordinate our industry and labor in order to ensure the stability of our future prosperity.

A nation needs laws. It also needs a means of creating, changing, and implementing those laws. And it also needs a way to implement and pursue policies that are in the best interest of those it serves, We the People. Our legislators make and implement laws, our executives direct and pursue policy, and our courts protect our rights and ensure our government operates within its proper scope of authority. We need a branch of government to ensure our economic prosperity by protecting our economy from the meddling of the other branches.

Our system relies on free markets, but we cannot have free markets unless we get the traitorous politicians, communists, international financiers, and multinational corporations out. Free markets cannot operate under the boot of overreaching politicians, the suffocating auspices of communism, or the greed of merchants and moneylenders who seek to enrich themselves at the expense of America. We need a branch of government to check the aspirations of self-interested politicians in the economy in the same manner that our Judicial Branch attempts to keep power-hungry politicians from infringing upon our rights and freedoms. We need a branch of government to ensure that no American corporation or financial institution can profit by selling out our great nation to foreigners.

How best can this be established without the other branches influencing the basic structure and organization of such a branch of government? We need to prevent Congress

from having too much control or oversight in its creation because they are a primary reason for its need (besides, their interference would serve only to effectively make it just another bloated bureaucracy). Putting such matters to a vote will fall victim to influence and perversion by big business and money, unions, and other interested parties. We need to separate our new branch as far from the other branches as possible in order to ensure its sovereign operation.

\* \* \*

There once was a time when hard working Americans produced quality products that were meant to last. They produced them for other Americans and shipped them all over the globe because everyone else wanted American made products. Both of these Marines are in possession of furniture made in America that was produced generations ago, which is a stark contrast to the cheap crap we import from around the globe today. America needs to adopt a coherent industrial policy in order to usher in a new era of economic Nationalism where We The People can earn a decent wage by producing quality products that are made to last. Products that will be coveted the world over.

## **Democracy**

## **Whim of the Majority**

Plutarch tells us that Democracy is fickle and open to the emotions of the masses. A mind cannot make a coherent decision if it is not concise in its thinking. Democracy presupposes that the whim of some mindless majority can come to a long-term coherent position. This is insanity! Only a madman makes decisions on momentary whims based on emotional impulses. A whim is not a coherent policy. A whim does not factor in the benefits of our grandchildren. A whim will not develop a foreign policy to protect our borders from would-be invaders. A whim will not tackle the growing gap between the rich and the poor. A policy of whims will only leave our once great nation subject to one incoherence after another until we collapse under the petty foolishness of the entire farce! As long as direct Democracy exists, then the policy of the American government will continue to be no more coherent than the ravings of a madman.

Civilizations have been defined by their success in the past by how long they pursued a coherent policy. There is no secret as to why those kings who ruled the longest ruled during periods that showcased the greatest prosperity. A nation that pursues a coherent policy that is in the best interest of its citizens, for the longest time, will always be successful in all of its endeavors. America cannot afford to jump ship and change its policy every election just because of some whim of the majority. We the People need to steer a policy that is both coherent and beneficial to the nation as a whole.

Democracy is unstable and weak. Anyone who even meagerly investigates history knows that Democracy has never worked. It is inherently fickle, and it is equivalent to governance by a chaotic mob. The founding fathers knew this—they read Plutarch. Democracy has never been desired in a nation. The rule of law in a Democracy is nothing more than the desire of a random mob. Would it not be preferable to be ruled by one man far away than by everyone everywhere. America's policies wander aimlessly and uninformed into the future. Stability needs to last for generations instead of for a single election cycle. It is not Democracy but a strong and coherent policy that is needed in order for a nation to achieve lasting stability and prosperity.

\* \* \*

A nation establishes a distinct form of government in order to serve the needs of its citizens. Any given form of government is a tool at the disposal of the nation to ensure the

desirable outcome of stability and prosperity. When any government fails to secure these ends, that government needs to be replaced with a type of government that *can* ensure a stable and prosperous environment.

The founding fathers of the United States of America set out on such a mission to form a “more perfect Union” when they penned the Constitution and set out on the great experiment that created our current government. They ensured through a balance of power that no branch of government could become too oppressive and threaten the stability and prosperity of the nation. They placed their faith in the power of the vote; however, they gave only landowners the right to vote because they believed that only individuals with an economic stake in America would serve as the most valiant stewards of this nation’s future success. It is a very simple yet brilliant rationale: those with a vested interest in the nation are the ones whose own success goes hand in hand with the success of the nation. Those who possess no vested interest in a nation will unabashedly sacrifice the future prosperity of the nation for any temporary personal gain of the present. Unfortunately for We the People, the American government has devolved into a system that enfranchises those who lack a vested interest in our great nation; thus, the most prosperous and stable nation in all of history has been transformed into a land riven with corruption and afoul with instability and uncertainty.

Democracy is not an ideal to be striven after. Democracy is not an end in itself. Democracy is simply a means to an end; it is a system of government whose purpose is to secure prosperity and stability for We the People. Corrupt politicians and traitorous communists spout over and over again that Democracy is our goal, our ideal, our way of life, our religion! Democracy has usurped all manners of discourse and declared itself the lore of our age. Democracy has become the golden calf that cowards and idiots have lifted up as their one true god. The heresy! Democracy has never been nothing more than a tool, which has but one purpose and one purpose alone: to secure the future prosperity and stability of our noble nation for We the People, nothing more. But this tool, known as Democracy, has failed wholly in all of its endeavors. Democracy has set American against American as pawns in the game of power-hungry politicians. Democracy has auctioned off the wealth and resources of our great nation as if it were some disgraceful whore. Democracy has propped up America’s enemies at the expense of We the People! Perhaps it is high time that Americans turn to a new tool, one far more capable of providing prosperity and stability: the Republic.

\* \* \*

When a government is no longer serving the interests of the nation, of its citizens, of We the People, then it must be drastically changed or done away with altogether. Democracy is not what defines America. What defines America is the strength and courage and freedom to set out on a course never sailed before and to undertake an experiment with a new form of government in order to secure prosperity and stability for our descendants and for anyone who wishes to join and commit to our noble experiment.

The majority of Americans have lost all faith in the current system of governance as a means of securing stability and prosperity for the future. This lack of confidence in the government relates directly to the corruption and failure of Democracy itself. The wealth and affluence of America is the only thing that keeps Americans from open rebellion. Every other nation where Democracy has been attempted has either broken down or flared up in open rebellion. Democracy can never guarantee stability nor prosperity over time. Countless democracies throughout Latin American have been discarded in favor of dictators who promise nothing more than a relief from the corruption and bread for the starving. Democracy has never offered long-term stability, and it benefits only the recipients of political favors or the constituents of whichever party happens to hold office. Every disciple of Democracy declared a shining new era in the Middle East during the Arab Spring only to watch in disbelief as Egyptians voted in the Muslim Brotherhood and began to slaughter Christians in the streets. The Egyptian military was forced to assume power in order to reinforce stability, which caused the communist traitors in America to howl about how that act of salvation for the Egyptian people did not represent a “peaceful transition” of power and was hence unjust. Obviously, the liberals feel that democratically sanctioned murder in the streets is peaceful but non-democratic transitions of power, even when implemented to save an entire country from such violence, are not. But the truth is that Democracy has nothing to do with the “peaceful transition” of power anywhere.

Peaceful transitions of power exist in America only because it is such a wealthy nation that the American people have been able to thus far absorb the mounting costs of all the corruption, incompetency, and betrayal. But when all the money and power is gone and the wealth and resources have finally been fully drained from our great country, then the peaceful transition of power will breakdown, and Democracy will manifest itself as the illusion it really is. When Americans are rioting in the streets for bread, then the golden calf of Democracy will be thrown down and seen for the false idol that it always has been! Let us then see if anyone dares to call Democracy an ideal in itself.

## **Tyranny of the Mob**

This "holy" Democracy, which so many Americans view as an ideal in itself, is comprised of traitorous politicians who auction off the wealth, resources, and future of our great and noble nation. Yet no politicians are ever held accountable for their treason. Politicians serve their own interests, not America's interests.

These so called "public servants" seek to enrich themselves and to hold on to their exalted positions above all else. The security, strength, and success of America is of no concern to these traitorous cowards. Elections are bought through campaign donations and handouts to constituents at the expense of the nation itself. Politicians who sit in secure seats serve for decades or even life; thus they have become an undeserving, illegitimate, and self-serving aristocracy. The American people are viewed as lowly subjects who dare not question those who have been placed above them by birth. Sons of senators occupy the same seats that their fathers and grandfathers once held. They do not believe that they are elected to serve the interests of our great nation. They believe that they have a right to their power, and they hold on to that power through the manipulation of a malleable and fickle constituency.

It is high time that we hold these power-hungry megalomaniacs responsible for their crimes and their arrogance. This nation belongs to We the People! We are not beholden to a corrupt and tyrannical aristocracy whose members would rather see our nation bankrupt and broken than relinquish their power and positions of prestige—positions which they have not earned but stolen via traitorous acts. Thus, again and again they perpetuate their insidious crimes at each and every election. These Marines have had enough of this façade! America's future cannot afford such treason any longer.

\* \* \*

Out of control deficit spending, the unhindered illegal immigration of millions upon millions across our borders, the unconstitutional resettling of Muslim "refugees" from the Middle East throughout our nation, massive de-industrialization, and the never ending outsourcing of good paying American jobs that leaves large segments of Americans either unemployed or working minimum wage service jobs: these are just a few of the real problems that are threatening the future prosperity of our nation for our children. Yet none of these issues are ever seriously addressed by those traitors elected to public office. Instead we

get crusades that focus on “feel-good” legislation and imaginary problems, which serve only to get some liberal fiend elected and reelected. They speak only of gun control, a woman’s right to choose, gay marriage, and “stop and frisk.” They refuse to tackle any real issues because they are afraid to upset any potential voters.

All legislation is undertaken solely for the purpose of guaranteeing reelection. Non-issues become polarized deadlock, which placates constituents, while real issues take a backseat. Gun control can never keep firearms out of the hands of criminals or would-be shooters, but it is still pursued with an unhealthy veracity. Abortion is an act of murder and detrimental to the healthy demographics of America’s vitality, yet it is constantly addressed by communists who wish only to create an imaginary war on women, which they use to draw women into their voting camp. Likewise, gay marriage is used as a tool to secure the LGBT votes despite the fact that marriage is a traditional institution between men and women that involves the procreation of children, and any argument otherwise ignores our culture, the traditions of our ancestors, and the reality of nature. “Stop and frisk” should be a community decision and holds no place for national discourse, but it is constantly criticized simply in order to entice voter turnout among certain groups.

This irrationality continues because political parties lack an ideology. Both the Democrats and the Republicans serve as big tents that seek to capture specific voting blocs and bring together as many votes as possible. Their goal is to hold on to their power at all costs to even the detriment of our great nation, our economic and moral well-being, and the future of our children and grandchildren. The dividing of America into separate warring voting blocs distracts We the People from the vital issues facing our great nation. This distraction must end; we can ill afford to continue being duped by the traitors in office who seek to divide and conquer We the People through meaningless single-issue initiatives that serve only to pander solely to special interests.

Never are any serious policy decisions ever discussed. Politicians dumb down their platforms and initiatives to short sound bytes and catch phrases. This is because the majority of voters have no far reaching understanding of issues nor do they wish to obtain any real understanding of such complex things. Most voters cast their ballots according to the personality and likability of a candidate, and their support of any candidate rests on their position regarding specific single issues that can be summed up on a bumper sticker. It is not just idiotic but dangerous to decide the leaders of our great nation through such an irresponsible and unaccountable manner. The feelings and assumptions that a potential voter receives—through a thirty-second news clip—cannot translate into anything other than a process that is flawed at its very core. American elections have been reduced to a rule

imposed by an uninformed and naïve mob. In this environment there can never be anything akin to a rational and coherent policy. What we are left with is a tyranny of an ignorant and emotional mass of faceless voting blocs.

\* \* \*

Democracy is nothing more than mob rule, and mob rule is fickle and will always fall victim to the emotions of the crowd. There is no coherent policy. There can never be any coherent policy. The feelings and emotions of the weak masses do not translate into rational policy making. The aggregate opinions of the uneducated and selfish mob do not translate into the best interests of America. A majority opinion does not and cannot decide what is right or wrong. What is right is what is best for America, but Democracy separates people into voting blocs that vote for their own selfish interests at the expense of America's interests. Democracy drags society down to the lowest common denominator. It dumb downs all public discourse to a level fit only for a child. Because emotional appeals can sway the largest amount of voters with as little thinking as possible, intellectual debate about the ramifications of policy or issues is drowned out. Rational debate is no match for the emotional appeals of the weak and cowardly communists.

The economic drain and future problems of assimilation that the unhindered influx of poor and uneducated immigrants across our borders causes is of no concern to most voters. Emotions flare about the "misfortune that so many unfortunate human beings face who just want to have a better life," and these sentimental appeals drown out all and any rational debate. The communists will scream that all Americans are immigrants, that these new immigrants have just as much of a right to better themselves as we do and that anyone who is anti-immigration is a racist; however, they are dead wrong!

Most Americans came here legally, and there are sound and logical reasons why it should stay that way. As the world population continues to grow there will be massive warfare over rights to natural resources and clean water supplies. In America we have an abundance that should secure the prosperity and survival of our descendants. But if we continue to let anyone and everyone enter the nation unhindered, then we will be flooded and our resources will be robbed from our future children and grandchildren—all because the communists *feel bad* for the poor immigrants. The world is burning to the ground! Let people help themselves in their own country and not drag us down with their sinking ship! The only acceptable immigration is legal immigration that allows educated individuals with skills that

can contribute to our great nation to become citizens. The flotsam of the Third World only harm us!

\* \* \*

The term Democracy comes from Ancient Athens, but this is misleading. Athens was a restricted Democracy that placed obligations on its voters. Voters were obligated to stay informed on the issues, and if they failed to do so they were made a public pariah and denied a vote.

In stark contrast, the current political system in America discourages informed voting. The politicians do not desire voters to be informed because they know that, if such was the case, then nobody would vote for them, the cowardly traitors who sell out our nation like whores for their own selfish gain. They want people to vote according to their emotions and in response to catch phrases because *that* is the situation that perpetuates their dastardly schemes. If voters were informed and engaged in meaningful debate, then no current politician would ever be reelected. The liberal communists tell people that voting is a right and that it does not matter for what they vote, for whom, or for why, just that they vote. Is it not convenient that our elected officials encourage the uneducated and uninformed to vote? This is exactly how these traitors manage to get reelected time and time again, generation after generation, son after father after grandfather. This is what perpetuates the current system of slavery that has been yoked upon our backs by traitors who consider themselves to be our enlightened overlords. Voting is not a right, but comes with civic obligations. The vote should be earned and respected, not handed out like balloons at a party. The vote should be restricted, and term limits need to be instituted as to put an end to this absurd system we call Democracy. We the People need to take back our government from the communist traitors who have corrupted our great and noble nation!

Despite what the communists may spew through their tear-filled eyes, denying people the vote does not disenfranchise people. Mass suffrage does not and cannot guarantee against exploitation, but encourages exploitation as each voting bloc fights one another for special privileges at the expense of other voting blocs. The traitorous politicians are the ones who exploit voters in order to exploit the economic well-being and the resources of our nation. It is called divide and conquer. One group of voters is promised benefits at the expense of another, but everyone loses. America loses. The only winners are the deceivers and exploiters who hold elected positions. This system benefits no one but the traitorous

politicians.

This cycle of exploitation needs to be stopped! This can only happen if those who refuse to stay properly informed of the issues are denied the vote and if term limits are placed on politicians who exploit the political process. Then and only then can true prosperity and stability return to our great nation as We the People begin to once again reap the benefits. The vote alone is not what benefits the average American. What is beneficial for America is what is beneficial to its people, We the People.

\* \* \*

Democracy is inherently unstable, and it only “works” in America because of our vast amounts of wealth and affluence. But, once all our wealth is finally sucked dry by those traitorous vultures we call politicians, Democracy will collapse. Democracy cannot function in a poor society; in fact, Democracy has never worked. Just look at the entire Third World. As the traitorous politicians continue to run our noble nation into economic destruction and despair, our people will continue to turn to more radical and violent measures to secure their piece of the loot. Today America still retains great economic strength, but this strength has been waning for many decades as the politicians continuously auction off our industry, jobs, markets, and financial resources to the highest bidder for their own selfish financial gains and lust for power. They can only continue this charade by buying votes through handouts and by exploiting one voting bloc against another. Whatever votes they cannot buy they win through massive propaganda campaigns that are only supported through the massive campaign contributions that they garner through the granting of special favors to the well connected.

Once there is no more wealth to be auctioned off, no more special favors to be handed out, and no more money left to buy votes, then Democracy will be seen for the corrupt and hollow shell it really is. Special interest groups and voting blocs will secure their economic benefits through force, strong men will turn our country into their own private dictatorship, and our whole society will begin to unravel at the seams.

This is not the legacy we want to bequeath to our children, this is not a future of prosperity and stability, and this is not the path that we have to remain on. We the People have a say, we have the means, and we have the will to prevent the decline of our great nation into chaos.

## **The Coming Revolution**

Our age is an ethereal one. Upon the hilltop sits our golden calf—beloved by all the people, and hailed as the holy pinnacle of all humanity. The Earth spins upon its axis, and somewhere, upon its mottled surface, tiny beings scurry about in make-believe play. There is nothing holy about the hollow idol that we lavish praise and service and lifeblood upon. The earth is bleeding and demons litter the sky. A holocaust of truth is in order, and the sordid beings of chaos make their way through this path and that forest. Chains run around the globe and make of the Earth a metal ball. A season of ice has descended upon the humble forces of good; and winter, it seems, shall have no end but continue onward into eternity. Mother Nature will abandon us for our lack of reverence for her devices. God will punish us for our follies. The order that is at work in this realm will order our kind out of existence, and a new being shall be summoned to take our place. Presently, however, the carnival continues. Mankind continues to sacrifice to its false idol, its graven image, its castle in the sky. Democracy has doomed us all because it is not real. No, it is a childhood dream born out of naivety and a fear of facing reality. And it will destroy us unless we destroy it. The memory of Democracy must be erased from the history books. It is to us all, save the parasites, of grave concern.

\* \* \*

Democracy is the lore of our age. People say that Democracy is good, and when asked why, simply reply, “because it's Democracy.” People say that Democracy allows people a “voice,” whatever that means, and when asked what is good about having a “voice,” claim that people having a voice is a good thing. When asked what is good about people having a voice, the response is, “because it's Democracy!” The logic is circular and nauseating.

The truth is that Democracy has never worked and will never work. The ancient Greek city-states proved that. Mob rule is the proper term. America, like ancient Rome, was founded as a Republic—an ordered means of ensuring rational rule across the generations. Monarchy in the hands of a worthy and resourceful king or emperor has produced the most glorious generations throughout the history of mankind; however, inability to facilitate succession to an equally worthy or appropriate heir has been the great lament of that system. To have no ruler or ruling system is anarchy, and Democracy is a compartmentalized

anarchy. Thus far, in the great saga of mankind it has been the Republic alone that has been successful in maintaining a stasis of order upon a population for a prolonged period of time. Unfortunately, all republican formulations up to the time of writing have been unstable and prone to a slow disintegration. Like a highly radioactive element, the Republic slowly sheds its isotopes with the passage of time and degenerates into more mundane materials.

The Republic that our founding fathers once bequeathed to us, their progeny, has finally degenerated, step by imperceptible step, into a liberal Democracy. The checks and balances that they installed in our sacred Union have evaporated. The separation of powers that they defined in order to strengthen our Republic have disintegrated. We no longer have a Constitution but an imperial presidency buttressed by judicial activism. It is high time that We the People went to work reconstructing our Republic by constructing fresh republican governmental forms. It is high time that we pushed back the anarchic maelstrom of direct Democracy that menacingly threatens to destroy our people and our culture. This scheme of more and more Democracy that the liberals have been pushing for years must be resisted, and the degenerative democratic forms that have slowly emerged since the Jacksonian era must be done away with. Republicanism must be rekindled and wholly embraced.

\* \* \*

What more is Democracy other than a gang of cowards huddling together for warmth? It is weak and selfish, and it takes and consumes and devours. What we have is a wasteland, but we refer to it as a government—it is a howling void that sucks in masses of human dreams, hopes, lives, and endeavors; and then spits out sand—weak, crooked, frightened beings who leer at one another out of fear and jealousy and covetousness. That is our blessed Democracy—a dead end, a finale, the thing become. Try to pinpoint what is wrong in one's own life, and one will go mad in the depths of the labyrinth down which one shall descend. The blame rests upon everyone and no one at all. We are all islands of sadness in our shabby, sordid scam that we call a nation. Lies! It is a spiteful aggregate of atomized individuals, and the concept of “rights” is merely just a veil, under which lies the complex system of favors, gangs, and retinues. The anticipated perceptions of voting blocs, the dreaded reaction of interest groups, the coveted gold of lobbyists—that is the disgusting innards of this monster! That is the reality that decides who lives and who dies, right and wrong, liberties and laws, “rights.” Damn this cursed monster! Damn it to Hell! Democracy, your day is coming, and when you lay dying on the ground, who will grant or ask you favors then? Who will lament your demise? No one! Because we all see through your lies and we

know that you are evil, and we know your time has come. You have had it coming because there is a disease inside of you. Your insides have been festering and there is no one who cannot smell it now. You are sick, and you must die. Nobody wants you to be king.

\* \* \*

Rome began as a Republic around 500 BCE. This Republic, the Roman Republic, is generally considered to have lasted until the Principate of Augustus near the end of the first century. And the “Roman Revolution,” or evolution of Rome into an empire, is generally considered to have spanned from the tribunate of Tiberius Gracchus in 133 BCE through the Julio-Claudian “dynasty” that ended with Nero in the sixty-eighth year of the Common Era. The historian's obsession with epochs and ages can often nauseate the casual scholar, but certain labels can be useful in dividing the long span of Rome's history into coherent stages that aid in historical analysis.

In Roman history, the most important distinction for our current analysis is that between the earlier republican phase and the later imperial one. However, it is important to note that, although Rome did indeed degenerate into an empire at some point, the Romans themselves continued to believe that they were living in a Republic up until the very end. This is because the evolution to empire constituted a series of imperceptible steps or breakdowns in the republican governmental forms. In this same manner, as the United States slowly inches more and more towards despotic communism, Americans still predominantly believe themselves to be living in a Republic. And, fantastically, they still believe that America constitutes the "land of the free."

Of course, the fact is that Republics naturally decay with the passage of time and must continually evolve in order to continually thwart off the forces of chaos that always sit bubbling silently beneath the surface. Our founding fathers were very prescient in their construction of the republican governmental forms of our Constitution; however, after nearly two-hundred years these forms have, although maintaining their outward appearance, collapsed inwardly. The communist liberal traitors have slowly corrupted them and bent them towards their insidious aims. It is high time that We the People embark upon a republican reformation in order to create new, uncorrupted governmental forms that will be capable of maintaining themselves for another two hundred years or so. Of course, it is inevitable that whatever reforms we embark upon will eventually become corrupted themselves. But it will be up to our American great grandchildren to reconstitute the Republic once again in

order to negate whatever seditious liberalism will have arisen at that time. We should not concern ourselves with that struggle that they will at some point or another have to wage. Our concern is to reconstitute our Republic now, while it is still possible, in order to bequeath those great grandchildren of ours with a Republic worth saving. So let us set out upon the monumental task before us and save the Republic in our time so that our descendants will at least have the option of saving it again. If we do not act drastically, the liberal traitors will succeed in handing our progeny a corrupt Third World despotism.

\* \* \*

Long ago, centuries before the Persian Wars, Spartan society had grown corrupt and thus vacillated between extremes of tyranny and anarchy. It had reached the stage of corruption that our American Republic now finds itself upon the threshold of. But Sparta found a savior in the person of Lycurgus, a lawgiver who radically reformed Spartan society. He instituted the egalitarian and Militant Republic of landowning soldiers, the Sparta of legend that we are all familiar with in the contemporary West, and which lasted for centuries in a state of stability and prosperity as one of the most powerful, noble, and Militant Greek city-states.

Our founding fathers, like all republican thinkers before them back to and including Machiavelli, drew inspiration from Lycurgus. They knew that there was only one cure for a society that, like ancient Sparta before Lycurgus, had reached a point of enervating affluence, corruption, inequality, and obsession with luxury and money. That one cure is to cut the cancer out. Indeed, the only cure for a society that has become rotten is the scalpel of Lycurgus, the surgical removal of the gangrenous tissue via radical republican reforms.

Our founding fathers constituted our noble Republic during a time when American society possessed the utmost degree of cultural health and vitalism. Thus, their primary concern was *preventing* the onset of cultural distortion and corruption. Their primary concern was the preservation of the homogeneous character of American society, which was composed of egalitarian yeoman militiamen. Now that we have lost that homogeneity, and now that greed and Mammon have wholly supplanted Militarism and honor, it is high time that We the People utilize the scalpel of Lycurgus to save our now corrupt and decadent country. The liberals, the usurers, the bankers, the international financiers, the lawyers, the politicians, and all the traitors in general must be cut out of our society and culled like the human chaff they are. A Republic of egalitarian, landowning worker-soldier-philosophers

must be instituted as the basis of an austere and noble society that will persist courageously into the future for countless ages. Those who have betrayed the dreams of our forefathers shall not go unpunished. We the People must be willing to fight for the sake of our progeny. We the People must fight for our right to exist as a distinct and undivided people. We the People must fight for our *peoplehood*.

\* \* \*

The liberals have for quite some time been on the verge of revolution. The left is steadily growing more and more violent, venomous, and vitriolic. If those of us who currently huddle under the weak, inoffensive, and non-threatening banner of “conservatism” honestly believe that talk, negotiation, or compromise can succeed in staving off the impending leftist uprising, we are grossly mistaken. Behold, goodwill and prattle will no longer suffice to save our sacred Union. We the People must ask ourselves, what shall we do? Are we going to sit and wait for the impending leftist coup to convulse our noble Republic, or are we going to preempt the communist liberals with our own revolution, the Second American Revolution?

The emergence of the Tea Party movement has without a doubt been the greatest political development within our nation in over one hundred years. The hearkening back to the sacred vision of our founding fathers, the resurrecting of the spirit of Samuel Adams and the Sons of Liberty, and the grafting of the struggle of our American forefathers against an oppressive British tyranny onto the contemporary struggle of We the People against the communist fiends who currently hold us in bondage has been the single most inspiring act of our entire generation. However, the Tea Party has thus far gone to great lengths to pride itself upon the fact that its members are peaceful, nonviolent, and altogether “decent” folks. This is a grave mistake and potential disaster.

If we were to honestly examine the true spirit of our American founding fathers, we would immediately recognize that they were radical and violent—dare I say, Militant—revolutionaries who believed in fighting and dying in order to liberate themselves, their descendants, and their incipient nation from the throes of tyranny and oppression. There was an unmistakable *martial* spirit present throughout their patriotic endeavors. We the People must recognize this, and we must adapt our battle plans accordingly. If the Tea Party truly wishes to appropriate the spirit of this nation's founding, it must first and foremost adopt the martial spirit and revolutionary fervor of this nation's founding fathers.

The demon who currently holds our noble nation in bondage is just that, a demon. And one rightly opposes a demon, not by engaging in calm and sober negotiations with her or by cooing soft nothings into her ear; but by calling her evil, attacking her as evil, and destroying her because of her evilness.

The violent leftist hordes are currently frothing at the mouth; fundamental transformation of our noble Republic is their aim, and they are ready to resort to rebellion and revolution in order to do so. Fire must be fought with fire. When America's next liberal dictator begins to throw Christians, Republicans, and White people into concentration camps it will be too late. We the People must summon the courage to seize power by any means necessary before the liberals beat us to it! And once We the People have seized power, the communist fiends who currently hold our nation in bondage can once and for all be eliminated. The evil axis of Wall Street, Washington, and Hollywood can be liquidated in order to provide funds for the American Empire, the empire of We the People. And our will can then be impressed upon the Earth. America's star is rising once again.

\* \* \*

The world has always been barbaric. Americans should stop denying it, but rather embrace it. The world is a harsh place, and living in a fantasy land does nothing but weaken us. We won the World War because we were vicious. We had a stalemate in Korea and then lost Vietnam because we were weak. We are unable to effect a real change upon the Islamic world, the terrorists it produces, and its countries, which we invade, because we are weak.

And what has all our teary-eyed, feel-good weakness done for us? Nothing! Look around us, this is where our weakness has brought us—to the brink of disaster and the edge of the abyss! Recession and ruin. Foreclosure after foreclosure after stock market crash after stock market crash. Pensions lost and dreams destroyed—this is how far our weakness has gotten us.

Well, to Hell with it. God did not create us so that we could be weak. He did not bring you out of the womb so that you could suffer. God created you so that you could be strong and proud—so that you could grow tall and reach towards the sunlight. Even a villain respects a strong and dominant adversary—it is the nature of things. But no one respects a weak thing. It is in our nature as human beings to despise such an enemy. His weakness encourages us, invites us; we smell blood once his wounds have been opened, and then we seek to move in for the kill.

America is dying. America is dying because it is weak, and our enemies despise our weakness. And yet, if America is weak it is because Americans have permitted it to degenerate in such a manner. Thus, it is high time that Americans execute an about face. It is time for America, and all Americans, to stand up, proud and strong.

It is time for America to be ruthless with her enemies once again. And this includes those enemies—the naked traitors—among us. This is the way of these chaotic times—America for the Americans!

## **In Order to Form a More Perfect Union**

When our founding father's penned the infamous words of the Preamble of the Constitution of the United States of America, "in order to form a more perfect Union," they revealed their intent to create a government based, not upon the arbitrary whims of a king or ruling class or entrenched elite, but upon *scientific* principles. They believed that there was a *right* way for a government to operate. Man is a real being alive in a real world. He has certain attributes and requires a specific political environment if his potentialities are to be brought into full fruition. A government should be fashioned to *complement* man's nature, facilitating his happiness and not frustrating it as is the case for peoples under the yoke of a government that operates in opposition to man's nature. Our founding fathers knew this, and they thus attempted to fashion just such a government.

In twenty-first century America, this noble attempt by our founding fathers to forge a government amicable to human nature is blatantly ignored. The communist enemies of our eternal Republic, with their irreverence for all things grand and exalted, interpret our sacred Constitution as nothing more than a stipulation of laws to be circumvented or sidestepped. The traitors! The constituting principles of our Republic demand a strict adherence and loyalty to the spirit of our nation's founding. The Constitution is not a beautiful document because of the specific measures or solutions to governance placed in it by its authors, such as the separate branches of government or the checks and balances between these branches. No, it is not these instances themselves that are sacred but the spirit in which they were instituted, "in order to form a more perfect Union." The founders were seeking to forge a system of governance that would respect and preserve Militancy, landownership, and patriarchy without degenerating into despotism or anarchy with the passage of time. Representative Democracy and the three separate branches of government merely operated as tools of this spirit as our founders sought to ensure such. Likewise, the Constitution has not been successful simply because it is malleable or changeable as its communist enemies are so fond of claiming. The lowly cads! On the contrary, the Constitution has served our noble nation with such brilliant and successful wonder because our founding fathers had indeed devised a system of governance that was wholly amicable to man's nature, a system of governance that for some time helped to preserve the largely homogeneous and egalitarian society of landowning yeoman militiamen that they idealized. And the further we stray from the Constitution's original formulation, and that idealized societal formulation, the greater we impinge upon our happiness and frustrate man's nature.

Our founding fathers did not bestow our Republic with representative Democracy

because of some idealized faith or belief in the moral rightness or magical divinity of democratic government. On the contrary, our founding fathers—who were very familiar with the disastrous effects of pure Democracy in the Greco-Roman world—were very suspicious of Democracy and its tendency to empower the “passions” of the people and degenerate into mob rule. Because of this, our founding fathers purposefully utilized Democracy on only a very *limited* basis. Only landowning males (heads of households) were given the power to vote—not because the founding fathers were necessarily elitist, racist, or sexist but because they wanted to ensure that only citizens who held a *vested interest* in the prosperity of the nation would be able to determine our representative leaders. They believed that allowing only landowners—people with a stake in the country—to vote was the best way to guarantee that American policy would stay upon the straight and narrow. And for many years it did.

Unfortunately, for the fate of our exalted nation, the communist enemies of the Constitution have continually sought to profanely expand the sacred *duty* of the vote to encompass anyone and everyone, whether or not they have a vested interest in the success of the United States and whether or not they have even some menial understanding of political theory, world affairs, or American history. *That* is why our country is dying; *that* is why our government's actions seem irrational—because they are! Idiots with no vested interest in the state of our nation are determining our nation's policies! And the communist fiends howl with laughter.

Allowing only male landowners the right to vote is not the only way to ensure that our country behaves rationally and in the interest of its citizens. It is not even the best way. But it *was* the way instituted by our founding fathers. We do not necessarily have to cling to it, but we must, by all means, cling to the spirit in which it was implemented. We must, as a nation, reestablish a means in which to ensure that our country behaves rationally and in the interest of its citizens. The quick and easy solution is simple: voting licenses. Those citizens whom we permit to exercise the vote—which was never a right but a duty—must demonstrate at least some form of basic understanding of history, economics, politics, and international relations. Those who are ignorant of such things should simply not be voting for there is much more at stake than their self-esteem or their feelings or their desire to “voice their opinions.” No, voting was a tool instituted by our forefathers, not to make people feel good about themselves by having a “say” in the electoral process as the communists would have us believe, but in order to ensure that the policies of our grand and exalted nation would be conducted in a rational and proficient manner. Does universal suffrage ensure this? No! And it never will. We must find the courage to do things because they produce desirable results. Doing things because they seem or feel “right” is the logic of a fool. Only the ends can justify the means, and the means shall never justify the ends. Our founding fathers knew

this, and that is why, rather than fetishizing Democracy as an ends in itself like modern communists do, they sought merely to utilize aspects of Democracy in a limited fashion. Our founding fathers were scientists who merged various implements “in order to form a more perfect Union.” Their goal was not Democracy for Democracy's sake but limited aspects of Democracy for the state of the Union's sake.

All of this becomes immediately recognizable if one devotes even a meager amount of study into the founding of our noble nation. And yet, the growing cries for more Democracy in every aspect of governance goes on as if Democracy itself were some sort of deity who, once completely summoned, would magically make everything happy and idyllic. Any rational American is apt to ask: what the Hell is wrong with these people? How much dope are they smoking? But, alas, it is not hallucinogens that are at work here. No, it is a much darker and sinister force that we view manifested in the cries for “direct Democracy.”

In the contemporary political landscape, the only remaining floodgate against the monsoon of communist liberalism waiting to crash down upon America is the Electoral College. The liberals and their various allies and auxiliaries grow in numerical superiority each day. If the popular vote alone were permitted to determine the Presidential elections, it would not be possible for any Presidential candidate other than a Democrat to be elected ever again. Indeed, the Electoral College is the most beautiful craft of shining genius ever devised by our founding fathers, protecting us all from mob rule and guaranteeing sobriety in the choosing of the leader of the free world. The great metropolitan centers always vote for leftist candidates. It is the Electoral College alone that channels the noble, righteous voices of vast swathes of American heartland into some meaningful political Megadeus as States with more country than concrete direct their electoral votes towards more rational candidates.

United States senators were not always elected by popular vote. Originally, as commanded by the Constitution, they were appointed by the State legislature of their respective States in a check upon the passions of the people. This all ended during the progressive era in 1917 by the Seventeenth Amendment—a progressive scheme designed to permit potential candidates the opportunity to prey upon the loyalties of the poor and disenfranchised. And *that* is exactly what leftist politicians do. Indeed, the communists present schemes extending benefits and entitlements, insisting publicly that they merely intend to help the recipients of such alms, when in all reality they are merely attempting to deviously gain the political support of such potential recipients. The shamefully unabashed public spectacle unfolds in broad daylight right before our eyes as the communist enemies of our noble nation proceed to gut the American pinata and distribute it feverishly in a sordid and profane exchange of gold for votes. Thus, our shining nation, and its once-promising

future, is continuously auctioned off, bit by precious bit, to the lowest bidders. And in the end, we all loose.

It is well documented that the Roman Republic's degeneration into despotic tyranny was wholly accompanied by, and in fact facilitated by, a steady expansion of citizenship and voting rights throughout the Italian peninsula. There were, in the late Republic, two main political camps—the optimares and the populares. The populares—like modern liberal and Democratic politicians—sought, obtained, and held power by appealing to the lowest common denominator of the masses, promising them food, gold, land, and increased rights and privileges in exchange for support and votes. The climax of this centuries-long struggle between conservative optimares and communist populares climaxed with the entrance of Julius Caesar onto the stage of history—a populace who attempted to utilize his popularity with the lower classes to install himself as king. His plot was foiled when a band of patriotic Senators famously assassinated him, but their patriotism would, in the end, serve only to delay the inevitable: Julius' nephew, Octavian. This Caesar would succeed where his uncle failed, initiating the Roman Imperium, adopting the title Augustus, and becoming the first emperor of Rome.

Democracy is not what makes a nation free. A lack of oppressive laws on the books is what makes a country free. And the more democratic our nation grows, the more oppressive our government becomes as law after law is heaped upon us by meddling busybodies elected by special interests. And we call this freedom? Our slavery? What good is it that we are granted “a voice”—as the communists put it—if that voice does nothing but drown out against the walls of an unfathomable Leviathan that is strangling our freedom while keeping us docile and compliant under a spell of assumed but hollow “freedom” known as Democracy? What is the worth of a freedom that manifests itself only in the ability to cast a ballot? Would you not rather live under a king so long as he more or less left you alone? Indeed, these two Marines would rather be masters of their affairs than slaves with one, silly, inconsequential “right.” In reality, our entire convoluted American deception would not be tolerated in the wildest farce! Democracy equals freedom? No, the lack of oppressive laws that strangle the energies of a people is what equals freedom. But Democracy, not the lack of oppressive laws, is, according to the communists, what makes us free? Snake oil, pure and simple. Behold the spectacle that has supplanted the prematurely labeled “Arab Spring.”

We cannot allow the Electoral College to go the way of the election of Senators. What this country needs is less Democracy not more. Less direct Democracy, more representational Democracy. Less extensions of the vote, more restrictions on the vote. Voting is and always

has been, not a right, but a sacred *duty* to be exercised by citizens “in order to form a more perfect Union.” The vile, pompous, disgusting manner in which America's lowliest and most disloyal elements demand a “greater say” in our nation's politics is not only sick and twisted but wholly nauseating; however, the threat that they present *cannot* be taken lightly for they seek to deliver to our noble nation a death blow, and we would fail ourselves *and* our posterity if we were to permit just such a trespass. Direct Democracy does nothing but empower those who have no vested interest in the success of our nation. Nor does it provide any means of determining whether or not those voting even know anything about our country. And now the communists want to give illegal aliens the right to vote? The traitors! James Madison would be turning over in his grave.

Behold, the profane blasphemers have been revealed for the lowly fiends they are. Let the communists fear the light of day for it brings with it an air of justice, violent yet true. Those who revere Democracy more than they revere the Constitution and the spirit of this nation's founding are crass and villainous traitors, simply put. This looming specter known as “direct Democracy” is an assassin's dagger—a communist tool of death that he uses to subdue our nation. The more democratic we grow, the further we are flung from the dreams of our forefathers and the weaker we become. If freedom is to ring, then Democracy must die.

\* \* \*

The quick and easy, immediate solution to the problem of runaway liberalism via direct Democracy is indeed voting licenses (as well as a entrenched resistance to further calls for more direct Democracy). Eventually, however, the structural issues must be addressed. A path to citizenship itself, along with the promotion of an ideal citizenry, would be the ultimate solution. And We the People can, once we have successfully waged the Second American Revolution, institute this ideal citizenry.

The ideal citizenry must be constructed out of the members of the American cultural organism. Military service should be required for all male members. Higher education that entails indoctrination in American Militant Nationalism and conservatism should also be required. Once these are completed, those male members of the American cultural organism who have completed them should be rewarded with a plot of land, a wife, and a job (economic Nationalism will return high-paying manufacturing jobs to our nation, which will provide all male members of the American cultural organism with a career capable of

allowing them to support and raise their families). Thus, We the People will have succeeded in resurrecting a homogeneous, egalitarian Republic of landowning yeoman militiamen by creating a society of landowning worker-soldier-philosophers. We the People will have succeeded in creating a more perfect Union.

\* \* \*

We were born a Republic. And only a return to unpolluted republican governmental forms can prolong the existence of our people and our nation. Instead of contorting the rhetoric of our founders to support the warmed over liberalism of consumerism and the capitalist world-system, We the People must instead steadfastly adhere to the Republicanism of the founding fathers. They were right then, and they continue to be right today. We owe it, not only to them, but to our own unborn American grandchildren and great grandchildren to resurrect the spirit of this nation's founding. In the name of saving the Republic We the People march out against the forces of darkness and night that seek to divide the land. The liberal traitors must die before they succeed in their task of murdering our sacred star, America. Death to America's enemies!

## Conclusion

The day is soon coming when the Commandant of the Marine Corps, or some other great hero, will stage a coup, declare martial law, expel or eradicate all the liberals and traitors, and reconstitute the Republic. However, until that day finally comes, we must strive to limit the cancerous creed of liberalism and ensure that our noble Republic can best serve the interests of We The People. Democracy is a false idol that needs to be cast aside. We need to summon the original spirit of the Constitution and attempt to form a more perfect Union. There is much to be done, but we can start with very real and simple solutions.

Term limits are the *sine qua non* of any attempt at reform. No senator's son's son should occupy public office as if it were his own personal fiefdom. Politics corrupts, and politicians are corrupt to begin with. Only megalomaniacs and greedy lawyers seek political office in the first place. We need a rotating door on every public office to ensure that no one individual can develop a vast array of treasonous associations and connections.

Restrictions on the vote are to be implemented immediately. We cannot afford to allow the idiotic and uneducated masses to cast their ballots for whatever politician offers them the most gold. We can no longer allow a degenerate and entitled mob to determine the future of our nation and the prosperity of our descendants. There is far too much at stake to cast our lot with an emotional whim of the majority.

All immigration, including the importation of “refugees,” must be immediately halted. Furthermore, all policies that benefit foreigners or immigrants to the detriment of Americans must be forsaken and abandoned, including, but not limited to, the 1990 Immigration Act and the Government and Business Sponsored Minority Privilege, which both collude to funnel small businesses into the hands of “Asian” immigrants. The Immigration and Nationality Act of 1965 must be repealed as well. Furthermore, corporate America should not be permitted to undercut American workers via the utilization of visa programs for foreign workers. And phenomena such as “sanctuary cities” and “anchor babies” should no longer be permitted to continue within this noble nation either.

The ruinous trade deals entered upon by traitorous politicians in the name of free trade must be torn asunder. NAFTA, the TPP, and every other free trade scam rammed down the throats of Americans serve only the interests of multinational corporations, international financiers, and foreign governments. We need to pull together as Americans and pursue economic Nationalism for our own interests, not the interests of the global corporations and financiers. We need prosperity and jobs for Americans, not for traitors and foreigners. This country

belongs to Americans, and our government needs to be forced to pursue the economic interests of Americans. Those who have played a role in dismantling the industrial might of the American Empire need to be held accountable. Meanwhile, trade barriers, quotas, and tariffs are tools at our disposal that can reverse the hemorrhaging of jobs and wealth from our shores. Free trade has proven itself detrimental to our noble nation, and only fools or traitors who have been bought off favor the continuation or expansion of policies that have proven detrimental to We the People.

The fools and traitors in power have also betrayed We The People by refusing to use our military might in order to further our interests. There are plenty of patriotic Americans that are willing to fight for our right to assert our interests, to ensure a more prosperous future for our descendants, and to destroy our enemies—foreign and domestic. However, our military has ceased to serve our nation's interests, but instead panders to globalist interests as a de facto mercenary force for the highest bidder. Pacifism is not honorable; it is cowardice. As Clausewitz prophetically observed, war is an extension of policy, and if diplomacy fails to secure the interests of We the People, then we should be prepared and willing to use war to achieve those goals, unashamedly.

Militarism and the conquest ethic must be re-embraced by the American cultural organism. Conservatives must cease their tepid and ruinous attempts to justify our people's existence via liberal philosophy! We must treat our enemies like enemies. We must fight wars in order to win and not merely to “help” foreign peoples. The dual code of ethics—love for our comrades and compatriots coupled with rancor for our enemies—must supplant the romantic delusions of the West's increasingly senile worldview. No pacifism, no universalism, and no multiculturalism—America for the Americans!

Furthermore, conservatives must cease their incessant pandering to foreigners, immigrants, and non-Whites. We the People must embrace the fact that we are and always have been predominantly White, and we must wholeheartedly and unashamedly embrace that White identity. We must be proud of and we must celebrate who we are. Traditionalism and patriarchy must be promoted. We must restore group-feeling to America, peoplehood to our people, and patriotism to our citizens.

American Militant Nationalism—that is the answer to We the People's prayers! That is the way we triumph over our liberal adversaries. Our government must serve We the People. Our country must become an actual *nation* again. Militarism and Nationalism must be embraced by America. And our republican governmental forms must be resurrected. We the People must erect a Republic, in the same spirit that our founding fathers did, so that we may one day be able to bequeath to our progeny the same gift that those founding fathers

bequeathed to us.

These are dire times. Our entire world hangs in the balance. Will the next century bear witness to the extinguishing of the American flame, or will the next century witness the rise of the American Militant Nationalism that will save her and bestow upon her a new lease on life? It is up to We the People. Let American Militant Nationalism compose the new order, the new God. Let us take back our country, our civilization, and our right to exist in a world that is real—a world that our ancestors built. Let us stand side by side and proclaim to the life-hating liberal communist traitors, and their insidious allies, who seek to strangle us and our way of life: *get out of my way!*

\* \* \*

Is the world that has been built up by our predecessors, the Western civilization that we now inhabit, to become a mere object in the hands of foreign actors? Our will-to-ends hangs in stasis as the various lexicons of the Western World, turgid with an excess of useless "truths," convulse in paralysis. Equality, Democracy, human rights—what good are any of these empty ideals if the societies that champion them vanish? Before an ideal is debated as "right" or "wrong" it should first be determined whether or not it is compatible with the survival, growth, and expansion of the society that stands poised to idealize it. Humanity must be weary of these starry ideals, these castles of the mind. They hold the potential to draw the being-streams of the populous up into themselves. The wealth and energies of the society are wasted in sacrifice to these abstract Gods, these imaginary idols.

In today's world, we laugh at those ancestors of ours who would sacrifice their animals or burn portions of their harvest before stone and wooden effigies. And we gasp with horror at the rituals of *human* sacrifice that once reddened the pages of prehistory. But neither horror nor amusement are appropriate for one whose eyes have been opened to the self-immolation that modern man now subjects himself to in the twenty-first century.

Today, the Western realm stands captive to an armada of liberal ideals, built up by the villainous minds of traitorous weaklings, that have draped their chains across the Earth and dredged the land from East to West and North to South. Western man is held hostage and offers up great tribute: wealth and property, livestock and harvest—all are held up and sacrificed to the abstract idols of humanism and universalism. In the name of appeasing these sacred, mental effigies, Western man wages war and great masses of human life are fed into the sacrificial furnaces of liberal worship. The Aztecs were modest in their conspiracies of

human sacrifice when held in comparison to the immolation of the Western world that one may presently behold as our civilization itself spirals towards an abyss of oblivion.

But, behold, all is not lost, and the situation is not hopeless. The liberalism that currently holds our people in bondage is ephemeral, a figment of the imagination—an ethereal specter and nothing more. In the same manner that our ancestors were freed from the despotism of their idols and household Gods the moment they ceased to believe in such hollow objects, contemporary Westerners hold the power to dispel the fictitious chords that fetter them at any moment of their choosing. If the Western world were to suspend its faith in all its illusory liberal ideals, the hold that these ideals exercise upon Westerners would instantaneously evaporate.

The cold rotation of the spheres and their satellites around the stars that dot and decorate the Milky Way is representative of an order so foreign that one may only marvel at the meaninglessness of any inflated castles of the mind that mankind has merely dreamed up out of boredom. The abstract moralizing of ethics and philosophy is an insult to whatever grand power sits enthroned at the core of existence. On any given day, as any random utilitarian happens to be rambling on about what levels of pain are "permissible" to be inflicted upon a lifeform, there is, somewhere out in space, an entire world being consumed by a supermassive black hole. As a hedonist continues on building a mental maze to justify his subjective arguments, two galaxies are colliding and ripping a countless array of worlds apart. And as a communist opens his petulant lips and asserts that he has a right to not be offended or subjected to hate speech, the filaments of the Metaverse are constricting around a Multiverse and negating an unfathomable range of possible worlds, and abolishing an incomprehensible order of existences.

When did the humans become so pompous as to declare their dreamed-up liberal ideals as anything other than ethereal or illusory? We exist in a realm that is real. There is a right and there is a wrong, but they are not to be found amongst the liberal castles of the mind. The Universe holds an order, and that order is the fountainhead from which all has flown. The imperatives of nature and nature's God are what we, as a civilization, must align ourselves with or perish. The writing is not only upon the walls, it is written in the stars. The creator compels us to survive, grow, and expand. Like a flower reaching up towards the sunlight, we must heed the calls of creation or taper off into extinction. Should the sacred Union of American States stand by and serve as a passive object for strangers and alien civilizations, or should it act to seize the fruits of destiny that now hang sweet and ripe upon the vine of possibilities? Damn the liberal traitors! To Hell with all the moralizers and ethics weavers! Your webs grow heavy with falsehoods and soulless mechanization. Withdraw such

nets and allow your enervating intellectual stranglehold upon our conquest-destiny to cease, you traitorous enemies of the American cultural organism. Let go and permit the old, tired, worn-out liberal ideals of yesterday to fade away as We the People rise to the occasion and do what has to be done now to save our noble Republic.

Behold, the Militant Nationalism that has begun flowing into the memes of the American lexicon brings with it the momentum of history. Decline and decay composed the headlines of our nation yesterday. Tomorrow the consensus shall be of a new and different order. The guardian star of our sacred Union is rising once again. Let the fear of God ring out across the land, onward and forever. Long live the American empire!