

# The Auschwitz 'Gas Chamber' Illusion

by **Nicholas Kollerstrom, PhD**

As surprising as it may sound, the only intentional mass extermination program in the concentration camps of WW2 was targeted at Germans. From April, 1945 five million Germans were rounded up after surrendering, and deliberately starved until well over one million had died, in French and American-run concentration camps[1] - an event soon erased from the history books. There was, in contrast, never a centrally-coordinated Nazi program of exterminating Jews in Germany. Lethal gas chambers did not function in German labour-camps, that's just an illusion. The traditional Holocaust story has developed out of rumours, misunderstandings, and wartime propaganda. From stories pre-dating the Second World War to the Nuremberg Trials which gave official sanction to the notion, to subsequent trials, books and films, we have had it imprinted on our collective psyche. In most of Europe now, it is a thoughtcrime to believe what you have just read, punishable by imprisonment, so think carefully before deciding to read on.

The real experts on this subject are - in jail, so maybe you will put up with hearing my view instead? Europe needs, more than anything else, a truth and reconciliation forum to get to the bottom of these matters, and to try and exorcise the demonic hate-images. Ever more nations are passing laws that prohibit citizens from expressing doubt - Germany, France, Austria, Poland, Romania, Belgium, Switzerland, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. Collectively, we need to work through our despair, rage, and ideas of blame, to try and access the real historical record.

## **Cyanide at Auschwitz**

Zyklon-B was used at Auschwitz, as an insecticide. It was vital in attempting to maintain hygiene that mattresses be deloused. Cyanide gas was adsorbed onto clay-type granules, designed to make the deadly gas as 'safe' as it could be. **If you go to Auschwitz today, you can't see any authentic gas chambers.** You see stone huts, and experts have testified that they could not have been used to gas people, owing to problems in sealing them up (Zyklon-B released its cyanide gas rather slowly[2]).

In 1988 the scientific team of Fred Leuchter (a US execution-expert 'Mr Death' in gas-chamber technology) visited Poland, and concluded that the Auschwitz 'gas chambers' could not possibly have functioned in the alleged manner - i.e., they were not gas chambers[3]. In the following years, others would confirm the accuracy of his seminal, 'Leuchter Report'. The German chemist Gernar Rudolf, who worked at the Max Plank institute for Solid State Physics, is now in jail, because he likewise measured the high levels of the cyanide in the walls of the de-lousing chambers. It happens that this gas bonds permanently with iron, and iron is present in all the

cement etc of stone walls. Whereas, he found none in the walls of what were supposed to be the 'gas chambers' which were mainly shower units. He thereby confirmed the work of Leuchter who likewise only found remains of the cyanide gas-insecticide in the delousing chambers.[4]

After Rudolf's report was published in 1993, he lost his job and was prevented from completing his doctorate, then a few years later he was given a jail sentence. He is now in a high-security prison near Stuttgart, for the crime of not finding cyanide in the 'gas chambers[5],' echoing Leuchter's cyanide residue investigations. [6] When a chemist is put in jail, for replicating the result of another - in Germany of all places, the nation which invented chemical procedure – then the farce cannot continue for much longer[7] (The gas chambers were conveniently located east of Germany behind the Iron Curtain to make them inaccessible to westerners, which helped the myth to flourish).

The Leuchter results were almost too good to be true, with a three orders of magnitude difference between the cyanide levels in the delousing chamber walls (about one part per thousand) and those in the washing chambers (aka 'gas chambers'), around one part per million. They are not published in any peer-reviewed chemistry journal, for obvious reasons. The only way to hold a debate on this subject appears to be in a courtroom, while being prosecuted! It is hard to reach the calm condition necessary for replication of chemical measurements. Nonetheless people have replicated the fundamental result[8], and even folk with no interest in chemistry can appreciate the pretty blue colour on the outside of the de-lousing chamber (where the Ferro cyanide has seeped right through the wall) and its complete absence around the so-called 'gas chambers.' Let's face it, the case is established: the cyanide poison was used where the German records state that it was used – for delousing mattresses, clothing etc - whereas it was not used where the US and UK (at Nuremberg) alleged.

Tourists, thirty million of them, have filed through 'Krema 1' at Auschwitz, with its piteous piles of shoes, etc of the dead. This was reconstructed after the war in 1946[9], a fact revealed 1992 by Dr. Franciszek Piper, the Senior Curator and Director of Archives of the Auschwitz State Museum. The historic remains from the camp are such things as shower-unit huts, a swimming pool and a morgue. There was a camp orchestra, and quite a collection of pictures from the camp art-classes,[10] although these cannot be shown in Germany or Poland, on account of laws prohibiting the doubting of the Holocaust. Anne Frank of 'Diary' fame came to the camp in 1944 with her father who was ill. He was put into the hospital there, and recovered, suggesting that he was decently looked after.[11]

The 'gas chambers' at Auschwitz had water pipes in their ceilings, indicating that they were designed as shower units. They generally lacked tight-seal doors, which would have been essential, even though these were easy to install – the Leuchter report cited the absence of such seals as one reason why the alleged 'gas chambers' would never have functioned.

### **The Arithmetic of Annihilation**

The primary argument put forward by Professor Arthur Butz in his classic text, *The Hoax of the 20th Century* was demographic: the Jews of Europe were still there after the war and therefore

had not been exterminated. They had generally moved eastwards, and once the Iron curtain came down in 1948 it became difficult to count them. Some two million emigrated from Europe, both to Palestine and America. Between 3 ½ and 4 million Jews had lived in all the lands controlled by Adolf Hitler[12], of which an upper limit of one million died in WW2: the figure of a million dead, ‘while possible, seems rather high to me’, concluded Butz[13],[14] - a figure to be contrasted with ten million Germans who died during and just after that war[15]. If somewhere around fifty million people died during WW2, that Jewish mortality comprised some 2% of this total: which is about the average for Europe, i.e. no great excess of Jews died in WW2. Almost half a million Jews dwelt in Germany around 1930 (around 1% of the population), and by 1939 many had emigrated, some 270,000 remaining[16].

The figure of six million dead is given in the ‘Holocaust’ section in Wikipedia, with three million having died in Poland. Its high ‘extermination’ figures are given in counties well to the East of Germany. East Europe was then the epicentre of world Jewry, and in the years around WWII a vast diaspora of Jews took place, mainly into what became the Soviet Union, but also Palestine and America. Poland had 10% of its total population Jewish in the 1930s and the Polish premier had ‘stated repeatedly that there were a million too many Jews in Poland’[17] –so the pressure on them to emigrate was not solely due to German policies. British rule in Palestine disintegrated in 1938, which meant that its strict immigration quotas ceased to apply. A very careful estimate of East Europe’s Jewish population ascertained that there were 2.6 million in Poland at the outbreak of the war - less than the total Polish ‘deaths’ given by Wikipedia. It put total worldwide mortality of Jews through WWII at 1 ¼ million, of which most was due to ‘Soviet barbarism’ rather than German labour-camps[18]. That seems quite comparable to the figure given above. Within the Nazi labour-camps, around four hundred and fifty thousand Jews died.[19]

Around 500,000 prisoners were registered in the labour-camps of Auschwitz in Poland, of which some 130,000 died[20]. Bodies were there disposed of by individual cremation, and the records for coke fuel consumption over the period February 1942 – October 1943 for the cremation ovens indicate that around five thousand bodies were then burnt. That accords with the number of registered, deceased inmates, viz those who had died from natural causes[21], who had to be cremated rather than buried because of the great typhoid epidemics. This demonstrates that no mass-incineration of bodies took place. Josef Kramer, a supervisor at the Auschwitz camp, gave a statement after the war which is recommended by Professor Butz as probably genuine: it had 350-500 deaths per week happening over May-November 1944, all from natural causes, a very high figure because sick prisoners were sent there, and the dead were all cremated. He believed the camp held up to 100,000 prisoners, mainly Poles and Prussians.[22]

### **The Final Solution – An Export Program**

The ‘Final Solution’ of Adolf Hitler retained a single meaning right through WW2, central to the program of ‘National socialism,’ and **signified the deportation of Jews, generally eastwards to Poland and Russia**[23]. [Editor – *however see also the “Transfer Agreement”, whereby Hitler secretly agreed with Zionists to assist in the formation of Israel as a nation, to repatriate European Jews there, and to send money to Israel (Palestine) as partial compensation for the*

*property Jews left behind in Europe, redeemable for German manufactured goods sent to Israel.]* This program did not change at any point, e.g. the Wannsee conference of 1942,[24] to signify deliberate extermination. If that practice ever happened, it was not a centrally-directed policy and did not involve gas chambers: many tons of documentation of 'third Reich' policies remains, and no-one has been able to find therein any hint of such a meaning – of intentional genocide. The historical record fails to show any central decision to exterminate Jews by Nazi Germany.

The Gestapo and Zionists were collaborating in the late 30s because they had in this respect similar aims.[25] But, a German Foreign Office circular bulletin of June 22, 1937 stated that: 'In view of the anti-German agitation of international Jewry, Germany cannot agree that the formation of a Palestine Jewish state would help the peaceful development of the nations of the world'.[26] International Jewish organisations twice declared war on Germany, in 1933 then again in 1939[27]. As the 'final solution' policy[28] was thwarted by the Allies, the camps became places of hard labour; then under the impact of Allied terror-bombing ravages of disease and famine took place.

## **No Documents!**

At the Auschwitz trial held at Frankfurt in the mid-sixties, **the court had to conclude that it lacked 'almost all the means or evidence available in a normal murder trial' including 'the bodies of the victim, autopsy reports, expert reports on the cause of death, evidence as to the criminals, murder weapons, etc[29].'** Far from leading to doubt, this gave to the mythic gas chambers a metaphysical status, doubt of which was forbidden. **'No documents have survived, perhaps none ever existed,' concluded the Holocaust historian Léon Poliakov.[30]**

'In the case of the rush to impute to the Nazis a programme for the extermination of millions of people which has implausibly left no material traces, all the normal rules of historiography seem not only to have been suspended, but to have been violated over and over again. Historians routinely cite documents from secondary works like Raul Hilberg's *The Destruction of the European Jews* (1961) or from printed collections of documents, such as Robert Wolfe's *Holocaust: The Documentary Evidence* - but they never cite original documents.[31]

The nearest we have to primary-source documents concerning what went on within the camps, comes from the bulky, three-volume International Red Cross Report published in 1948. This and especially Volume III describes the couple of thousand regular, routine inspection-visits that its doctors made through the war years to the Polish labour-camps. Their report never hints at any gas-chamber, nor any mass-cremations. While maintaining a politically neutral position[32], it confirms that mortality in the camps was to a large extent caused by the allied terror-bombing.[33] Likewise Winston Churchill's bulky, six-volume account of the War gives no allusion to the subject, especially volume Six, *Triumph and Tragedy* where one would expect to have some allusion if he believed it had happened.[34]

The head of the SS camp administration office sent a directive dated Dec. 28, 1942, to Auschwitz and the other concentration camps. It sharply criticised the high death rate of inmates

due to disease, and ordered that "camp physicians must use all means at their disposal to significantly reduce the death rate in the various camps." Furthermore, it ordered: "The camp doctors must supervise more often than in the past the nutrition of the prisoners and, in cooperation with the administration, submit improvement recommendations to the camp commandants ... The camp doctors are to see to it that the working conditions at the various labor places are improved as much as possible." The directive stressed that "the Reichsführer SS [Heinrich Himmler] has ordered that the death rate absolutely must be reduced." [35] A further letter to all concentration camp commanders dated 20th January, 1943, reaffirmed that 'every means must be used to lower the death rate.' By September 1943 Auschwitz still had mortality peaking at 80/day, viewed as 'catastrophic' by the SS administration. Thus, from authentic documents concerning the need to reduce fatalities at the Auschwitz camp, the world has moved to a belief in genocide, with not a single authentic, supporting document [36]. The Auschwitz camp was set up as an industrial plant using the giant coalfields of Poland, and located at the confluence of rivers; it was essential to the war-effort - it would have made no sense to start exterminating its inmates.

The Hamburg judge Wilhelm Staeglich had been positioned near Auschwitz during the war and had on various occasions dropped into the camps around there. The conditions seemed fairly tolerable, he recalled, and certainly nothing resembling an extermination program existed. In particular, he had discerned no fear of mistreatment amongst the inmates. He published a testimony to this effect in 1973, and in return for his shocking news a German inquisition forced him to resign his job, stripped him of his doctoral degree, and banned his book. He was then subjected to police raids. His book, translated into English, is an essential text. [37]

German military radio messages were successfully decrypted at Bletchley Park using the 'enigma' codebreaker. Concerning the labour camps, over the period Spring 1942-February '43, the following information was obtained: 'The return from Auschwitz, the largest of the camps with 20,000 prisoners, mentioned illness as the main cause of death, but included references to shootings and hangings. There were no references in the decrypts to gassings.' [38] That seems clear enough - though no-one took any notice of it at Nuremberg.

## **No Photos!**

Not only is there no trace of 'Third Reich' documentation [39] for what is alleged, but no photographs exist showing anything resembling such a group-gassing procedure. Do you believe that Jews both male and female stripped then marched into the gas chambers, then were hauled out in piles? If so, are you willing to believe that neither the very-thorough Germans nor the clever Jews wanted or were able to get a single picture of this ultimate horror? Go to Google and search - you'll find rows of emaciated bodies, dead of typhus, will that do? I don't think so.

US air-photographs taken in 1944 (released in 1978) when the 'program' was supposed to be in full swing do not show any huge crematoria burning corpses nor queues entering the 'gas chambers: 'The few air photos of Auschwitz-Birkenau known to date from the period of December 1943 to February 1945 show no signs of fuel depots, smoke from chimneys or open fires, burning pits or pyres. The photos were altered: Zyklon B input hatches, groups of inmates,

and walls around crematoria were retouched onto the photo negatives ... To this day there is no air photo evidence to support the alleged mass murder of the Jews at any location in Europe occupied by the Germans during World War Two....That the photos in western hands were altered in order to incriminate Germany, and were first published by the CIA, is also very significant indeed.' [40]

### **The Fabrication of Untruth**

Let's hear a couple of testimonies concerning the dreaded gas-chambers. An Austrian woman, Maria Van Herwaarden, testified about her camp experiences in a Toronto District Court in March 1988, as she had been interned in Auschwitz-Birkenau in 1942 for having sexual relations with a Polish forced laborer. On the train trip to the camp, a Gypsy woman told her and the others that they would all be gassed at Auschwitz. Upon arrival, Maria and the other women were ordered to undress and go into a large concrete room without windows to take a shower. The terrified women were sure that they were about to die. But then, instead of gas, water came out of the shower heads. Auschwitz was no vacation center, Maria confirmed, and she witnessed the death of many fellow inmates by disease, particularly typhus, and quite a few committed suicide. But she saw no evidence at all of mass killings, gassings, or of any extermination program.[41] A Jewish woman named Marika Frank arrived at Auschwitz-Birkenau from Hungary in July 1944, when 25,000 Jews were supposedly gassed and cremated daily. She likewise testified after the war that she heard and saw nothing of "gas chambers" during the time she was interned there. She heard the gassing stories only later.[42]

The Allied carpet-bombing destroyed the supply lines for the Camps, and so the death-toll mounted from famine and disease. Leaflets were dropped from the air, alleging that at Auschwitz a 'final solution'/extermination of Jews policy was being conducted, using gas. [43] That was the genesis of the story, as those Allied-propaganda leaflets were believed. Greeted by the sight, of rows of famished Jews who had died of e.g. typhoid, it was not hard to see its confirmation. 'Far from it being common knowledge in Germany that people were being gassed ... the vast majority of Germans were horrified by the United Nations accusations and they protested that they had never heard of such acts until after the cessation of hostilities when they had begun listening to United Nations broadcasts .... Since the British Broadcasting Corporation had been broadcasting these accusations regularly for many months before the end of the war, those Germans who had "common knowledge" of the gassing before the war's end most likely got this "knowledge" from the BBC!' [44] The gas-chamber legend was born in December 1941, when the German author Thomas Mann declared on the BBC that, in German hospitals, the severely wounded, the old and the feeble were culled with poison gas. This was the 'first appearance of gas chambers in propaganda.' [45]

As to how the stories got going, here is a remark by Faurisson: 'The Foreign Office saw the new rumors of the Second World War only as Jewish inventions, and many in American circles shared that conviction[46]. Edward Beneš, President of Czechoslovakia (in exile in London), announced in November 1942, after inquiry by his staff, that the Germans, contrary to what had been reported to him, were not exterminating the Jews. The American Jew, Felix Frankfurter, a Supreme Court judge, stated to Jan Karski on the subject: "I can't believe you." In August of

1943, Cordell Hull, Secretary of State, warned the U.S. ambassador in Moscow by telegram that in planning a joint Allied statement on "the German crimes in Poland", it would be advisable to eliminate any mention of the gas chambers, since, as the British pointed out, there was "insufficient evidence" in the matter[47]

## **The Hoax of Nuremberg**

A modern inquiry needs to start from the data-fabrication at Nuremberg by the US/UK, using systematic assassination and torture of witnesses. The Nuremberg trials started in 1946 with the image of six million dead as firmly established.[48] This did not emerge as a conclusion from the trials, but in its immensity it was presented to the tortured and/or beaten[49] Nazis as a fact, and would they confess their part in it? All the main defendants at Nuremberg insisted that prior to the trial they had not known of any mass murder of Jews: except that Rudolf Höss, the former Commandant of Auschwitz, signed on March 15, 1946, a document averring that he had overseen the slaughter of two and a half million Jews, and this was read out on 15th April at Nurnberg. That day signified the birth of Auschwitz's horror-myth. Two weeks earlier, Höss had remarked:

Certainly, I signed a statement that I killed two and half million Jews. I could just as well have said it was five million Jews. There are certain methods by which any confession can be obtained, whether it is true or not.”[50]

Decades later, an account was published of how Höss had been tortured for three days and nights without sleep by a British army team in order to extract that statement.[51] In a letter to his wife, Höss apologised for his ‘confessions’ and explains that they had been extracted from him under torture[52]. The victorious Allies could not have their ‘truth’ come out at Nuremberg, without the assistance of torture. In 2001, Patricia Meehan discussed the network of secret "Direct Interrogation Centres" the British had set up in their occupational zone of Germany[53] - torture chambers, to prepare ‘evidence’ for the upcoming trials. A Zionist influence was noted at the Nuremberg trials,[54] not least concerning the figure of six million.[55]

Weighty books are in print as memorials to ‘the Holocaust’, but, let’s hear a sceptical view from Down Under:

“...Yet in the case of the Holocaust, the dearth of material evidence for the existence of large-scale extermination programme is not perceived as significant. It is assumed that the Nazis destroyed their vast death machinery so thoroughly as to preclude all possibility of post-war detection. It is not permissible to express doubts as to whether the Nazis could really have eliminated all material evidence so completely, including making the ashes of six million people vanish from the locations at which they must have been interred. To think such doubts is to engage in thought crime....

‘The reality, therefore, is that the bulk of the 'evidence' for the Holocaust derives from a corpus of documents that was expressly manufactured by the OSS and OCC in 1945-46 for the purpose of incriminating the leaders of the former German government at Nuremberg. The procedure

went roughly along these lines: the Documentation Division in Paris created 'copies' (in English only), certified them as true, and sent them to the prosecution in Nuremberg, while the original documents (if they ever existed) were never seen or heard of again. German translations of the original English texts were then prepared and sent to the defense in Nuremberg, where they arrived as late as possible so that the defense had insufficient time to worry about such matters as their authenticity....

‘Starting in May 1945 with the alleged suicides of SS-General Hans-Adolf Prützmann, SS head Heinrich Himmler and SS-General Odilo Globocnik while in British captivity, there followed a bizarre string of murders of individuals associated with the Auschwitz concentration camp. (These were perpetrated by a Jewish hit squad known as the DIN.) As Joseph Bellinger, author of a new book on Himmler's murder, points out, within six months or so of the war's end practically any one who could have shed light on the Jewish policy of the Third Reich was murdered!’ This, it must be said, was extremely convenient for the prosecution at Nuremberg.[56]

At Nuremberg, the German war-generals as well as being tortured had their wives arrested, to dissuade them from making inappropriate remarks in court. They were kept in solitary confinement to prevent their comparing notes, as each was presented with the Great Untruth and asked to believe it. Finally, most of them were hanged, by a court which felt free to invent new laws, and to act as prosecutor, judge, lawyer and executioner: American justice!

### **Coming of the Truthseekers**

In the post-war years, anyone claiming to have a personal memory of the German gas-chambers would be liable to have Paul Rassinier turn up on their doorstep. Having himself experience the hell of the Buchenwald camp he was far from being a fan of the Nazis; but, neither was he prepared to endure fabricated stories on the subject. He spent years travelling about Europe with his tape-recorder and questions, but always he found that the witnesses came out with ‘evasions or palpable lies,’ and his published report concluded:

With regard to the gas chambers, the almost endless procession of false witnesses and of falsified documents to which I have drawn the reader’s attention during this long study, proves, nevertheless, one thing: never at any moment did the responsible authorities of the Third Reich intend to order - or in fact order – the extermination of the Jews in this or any other manner.[57]

That bold conclusion (for which he was, naturally, jailed) kick-started the ‘revisionist’ movement! We may here note that the money which the FRG keeps paying out to ‘holocaust survivors’ does enormous damage here, in stimulating ‘memories’ and generating such averred cases.

### **Revisionist Pioneers: David Irving, Ernst Zündel, and Germar Rudolf**

Robert Faurisson investigated the configuration of the alleged Auschwitz ‘gas chambers,’ then

Zundel[58] got to hear of this. At a historic 1985 trial in Toronto, witnesses were grilled for the first time ever over the existence of the alleged 'gas chambers,' and it was found that no such testimony would stand up. Faurisson was an advisor to Zundel in this trial, and a subsequent one, and this duo just kept on winning the arguments. The prosecution could come up with no credible witnesses for a gas-chamber's existence:

"... in 1988, during the second trial against Ernst Zündel, the public prosecutor deemed it prudent to abandon any recourse to witnesses. Canadian justice had apparently understood the lesson of the first trial: there were no credible witnesses to the existence and operation of the 'Nazi gas chambers'. Little by little, every other country in the world has learned this same lesson. At the trial of Klaus Barbie in France, in 1987, there was talk about the gas chambers of Auschwitz but no one produced any witnesses who could properly speak about them. All the while in France, during several revisionist trials, Jewish witnesses sometimes came to evoke the gas chambers but none of them testified before the court as to having seen one or having participated in a homicidal gassing by hauling bodies out of the 'gas chambers'.

"Today, gas chamber witnesses are making themselves extremely scarce and the Demjanjuk trial in Israel, which once again has revealed how much false testimony is involved in the matter, has contributed to the suppression. Several years ago, it happened that I was aggressively questioned at the rear of a law court by elderly Jews who presented themselves as "living witnesses to the gas chambers of Auschwitz", showing me their tattoos. It was necessary for me only to ask them to look me in the eyes and to describe for me a gas chamber that inevitably they retorted: "How could I do this? If I had seen a gas chamber with my own eyes I would not be here today to speak with you; I myself would have been gassed also.""[59] (Faurisson)

The study of history cannot exist without dissenting views, and who would want to read a history journal that did not allow this? One fails to understand why 'revisionism' should be reprehensible, and Jews especially should be relieved to discover that their ancestors were never subject to such a horror. Bestselling author David Irving, who has written over a dozen books on WWII, was recently jailed[60]. His definitive, 700- page blockbuster Hitler's War gave no hint that the Fuhrer ever got to hear of a gas chamber, or gave any extermination command! Irving is known for the care with which he checks out his primary-source material. In 1991 his publisher, Macmillan, destroyed all their copies of his books. Irving has paid quite a price for the truth he discovered.

In November 1991 Fred Leuchter was about to speak at Chelsea Town Hall (invited by David Irving), when he was arrested onstage by the police at the request of the Board of Deputies of British Jews, and deported back to the United States. So much for freedom of speech. When his trailblazing 'Leuchter Report' was first published in 1989, the House of Commons denounced it as 'Nazi propaganda' and a 'fascist publication' (on July 20th, with 100 MPs voting). Even the House of Commons, however, cannot alter the laws of chemistry: the durability of the ferrocyanide ion is now pivotal to the Holocaust debate!

We are not likely to get important insights unless the 'thoughtcrime' penalty is lifted from the German people, and they are allowed to review their own past. The definitive modern textbook, *Dissecting the Holocaust* has chapters by some twenty different authors over six hundred

pages.[61] In 1995 the German Government demanded that all copies be confiscated and burnt![62] Edited by Germar Rudolf, it draws its inspiration from the pioneer labours of Ernst Zundel. While in Tennessee and publishing 'revisionist' material, he was seized by the police and thrown into solitary confinement in a Canadian jail[63]. After two years he was transferred to Germany, where his trial now progresses. He recently (October 2006) read to the court an open letter from the Iranian president to Germany's chancellor - to the judge's fury. The letter said, in effect, that Germany had been on its knees before Zion for fifty years and it was time to get up[64].

Here are conclusions which Professor Robert Faurisson (University of Lyon) has drawn:: [65]

**that there was neither an order, nor a plan, nor a budget for the alleged genocide of the Jews;**

**that 'Wannsee' was at best only a "silly story";**

**that there existed no specialist's report on the weapon of the crime concluding that 'the building (whether intact, "reconstructed", or in ruins) served as a homicidal gas chamber';**

**that there is no autopsy that would allow us to conclude: 'This is the corpse of a deportee killed by poison gas'[66]**

**that the confession of Rudolf Höß was no longer of any value ("Höß was always a very weak and confused witness");**

**that their alleged witnesses had probably never seen gas chambers or gassings inasmuch as the best of them, the famous Rudolf Vrba, in 1985, had been obliged to admit before a Canadian judge and jury that in his famous book on the subject he had made use of "poetic licence" or "licentia poetarum";**

**that the "Jewish soap" had never existed;**

**that the figure of four million victims at Auschwitz was only a fiction;**

**and that from 1942 to 1945, certainly at Auschwitz, but probably overall, more Jews were killed by so-called 'natural' causes [starvation, disease, sickness and overwork] than by 'unnatural' ones.**

At the recent Tehran conference, Faurisson summed up his case more succinctly –

- They cannot invoke a single document proving the crime.
- They are unable to provide the least representation of the crime weapon.
- They do not possess any proof nor even any evidence.
- They cannot name a single truthful witness[67]

## **Forbidden Doubt and Fictional Horror**

Doubt anything in our modern world, scoff at sacred texts, no-one will care - except that one kind of doubt is forbidden to you, which will land you in jail – in ten different nations! You can read the Hammer-horror account in, e.g., Auschwitz by Lawrence Rees (2005): every hour or so, a thousand naked Jews were marched (somehow) into a room with space for maybe one or two hundred, the doors are locked, then the Zyklon-B is poured down special chutes or holes in the ceiling (which did not exist in the war, they were added later), then after the screaming stops ‘powerful fans’ remove the poison gas. In your dreams, Mr Rees. There were no such powerful fans. But, then what? The mass of bodies will be mixed up with the Zyklon-B, and that is specifically designed to keep emitting the cyanide gas slowly. How is anyone supposed to shovel out this tortured mass of corpses mixed up with poison powder? Remember there are only ordinary-sized doors, mostly opening inwards. Its an unthinkable nightmare that could not possibly have worked, and would certainly have gassed any workers trying to operate it. It is the ‘safe’ design of this insecticide material, which would have prevented it from functioning in this deliriously-imagined manner.

No German government existed after the war, that was the ‘unconditional surrender’ which the Allies demanded. By losing both its senior military staff and government, Germany was in effect decapitated - necessary for the illusory version of events to be perpetrated. The verdicts of Nuremberg were made final and binding for the post-war FRG. Germany has since paid a hundred billion Deutschmarks to Israel by way of Holocaust-compensation – clearly, that needs to be refunded. Germany should take the advice of Iranian leader Ahmadinajad and stop paying it, because that funding provides undue motivation for holocaust ‘memories.’ Germany is helping to maintain the holocaust legend,[68] by thus aiding the state of Israel.[69]

The United Nations has now established its annual Holocaust Remembrance Day on 27 January, as of 2006. On this anniversary, we all need to mull over the faking of history and the Greatest Lie Ever Told. As Perseus gazed at the Medusa only via a mirror, to avoid being petrified, to too we need calm reflection and the power of Truth to avoid our collective destruction.

## **END NOTES**

[1] ‘Starting in April 1945, the United States Army and the French Army casually annihilated about one million men, most of them in the American camps,’ a part of the US-UK-Russia plan ‘to destroy Germany as a world power once and for all...’ James Bacque Other Losses London 1989 p.xix.

[2] [www.thetruthseeker.co.uk/article.asp?ID=55888](http://www.thetruthseeker.co.uk/article.asp?ID=55888)

[3] Fred Leuchter, Auschwitz: the First Forensic Examination of Auschwitz: London, 1989; 1988, Toronto. [www.zundelsite.org/english/basic\\_articles/inside.html](http://www.zundelsite.org/english/basic_articles/inside.html) For debate, see: [www.codoh.com/gcgv/gc426v12.html](http://www.codoh.com/gcgv/gc426v12.html)

[4] <http://vho.org/GB/Books/trr/>

[5] [www.takeourworldback.com/short/rudolfarrested.htm](http://www.takeourworldback.com/short/rudolfarrested.htm)

[6] <http://vho.org/GB/Books/trr/>  
[www.dailynorthwestern.com/vnews/display.v/ART/2006/02/14/43f1778c0f7e0](http://www.dailynorthwestern.com/vnews/display.v/ART/2006/02/14/43f1778c0f7e0)

[7] [www.vho.org/GB/Books/dth/fndgcger.html](http://www.vho.org/GB/Books/dth/fndgcger.html)

[8] [www.zundelsite.org/english/debate/014\\_jam.html](http://www.zundelsite.org/english/debate/014_jam.html) In 1990 the Krakow Forensic Institute obtained somewhat lower values: their brick samples from Auschwitz 'gas chambers' gave a maximum of 0.6 parts per million of cyanide —ie, hardly a trace:

[www.nizkor.org/hweb/orgs/polish/institute-for-forensic-research/table-three.html](http://www.nizkor.org/hweb/orgs/polish/institute-for-forensic-research/table-three.html)

[9] [www.rense.com/general53/aaaz.htm](http://www.rense.com/general53/aaaz.htm), [www.ihr.org/jhr/v15/v15n1p23\\_Weber.html](http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v15/v15n1p23_Weber.html)

[10] <http://judicial-inc.biz/Auschwitz.htm>

[11] Dittlieb Felderer, Anne Frank's diary a Hoax, IHR CA 1979, p.1;

[www.radioislam.org/annefrank/](http://www.radioislam.org/annefrank/).

[12] Did Six Million Really die? (Richard Harwood, Historical Review Press, 1975: a 30-page monograph) pp.6, 28. An official German source of June 1940 put the figure at 3 ¼ million Jews 'in all the territories now under German control' (i.e. it excludes Russia). W. Staeglich, Auschwitz – a Judge Looks at the Evidence' IHR 1986, p.29.

[13] A.R. Butz, The Hoax of the 20th Century (Historical Review Press, 1977, Brighton, 2003), p.239.

Paul Rassinier ((Debunking the Genocide Myth

[15] Ibid p.240..

[16] Walter Sanning, The Dissolution of European Jewry, IHR 1983, p.27.

[17] J&D. Kimche, The Secret Roads, the "Illegal Migration of a People 1954, p.215.

[18] Ref. 16, pp.32,198.

[19] Dissecting the Holocaust: The Growing Critique of "Truth" and "Memory" ed. germar rudolf, 2003, p. 216: [www.vho.org/GB/Books/dth/fnd.html](http://www.vho.org/GB/Books/dth/fnd.html), Ch. "Holocaust victims – A Statistical analysis."

[20] Dissecting ([www.vho.org/GB/Books/dth/fndGraf.html](http://www.vho.org/GB/Books/dth/fndGraf.html)) section 4.1

[21] Dissecting (ref 19), p.406.

[22] There were a network of labour camps around the small town of Auschwitz and this figure would be their total population. The gas-chamber allegations centred on the Birkenau (Auschwitz II) camp. British-intelligence estimate of 20,000 population (ref. 37) must have been for just one camp. Kramer's statement alluded to the gassing stories: 'All I can say is that it is untrue from beginning to end.' Butz (ref 12), p.265.

[23] In the German endlosung, 'end' signifies 'goal' and 'aim', at least as much as 'final.'

[24] The minutes of the Wannsee Conference (Berlin, 20th January 1942) provide 'unambiguous documentary evidence that no extermination program existed:' Butz, p.212;. For its text, see Staeglich (ref 12), Appendix I.

[25] Butz p.233.

[26] Quoted by Zundel, [www.zundel.org/english/debate/022\\_jam.html](http://www.zundel.org/english/debate/022_jam.html)

[27] 24 March 1933: [www.sweetliberty.org/issues/israel/freedman.htm](http://www.sweetliberty.org/issues/israel/freedman.htm), <http://k0nsl.com/k0nsl/detox/Judea1.html> Daily Express headline, 'Judea declares war on Germany;' then again, on 5th Sept 1939 (8th Sept, Jewish Chronicle) "The Israeli people around the world declare economic and financial war against Germany ... holy war against Hitler's people" declared by Chaim Weizmann, Zionist leader: Six Million (ref 12) p.4; , For Those Who Cannot Speak M. McLaughlin, Historical Review Press 1979 p.17. Staeglich, p.57.

[28] On June 17th 1942, Hitler remarked: 'One could found a state of Israel in Madagascar,' (Six Million, p.5) suggesting that the National-Socialist 'final solution' was then still viewed as feasible. Many 'Zionists' for whom Palestine was unfeasible looked at Madagascar.

[29] Dissecting (ref. 19), p.38. n.119.

[30] Léon Poliakov, Harvest of Hate, 1979.

[31] Social Democracy Now website <http://www.blogigo.co.uk/socialdemocracynow/accuse-indictment-academic-historians-for-their-unprofessional/45/> (February, 2006).

[32] See Butz' discussion of this Report (ref 13), p. 142ff.

[33] Report of the International Committee of the Red Cross WW2, 1948, Vol.3. [www.thetruthseeker.co.uk/article.asp?ID=2735](http://www.thetruthseeker.co.uk/article.asp?ID=2735)

[34] General Eisenhower may have alluded to the subject, in one paragraph: after the war he visited a 'horror camp' near Gotha, where he saw evidence of 'Nazi brutality'. D. Eisenhower, Crusade in Europe, 1948, p.408.

[35] Dissecting, p.289.

[36] Irving, Nuremberg, p.188 n.16, for absence of German 'holocaust' documents.

[37] Steiglich, ref. 12.

[38] British Intelligence in World War Two, HMSO, 1981 F.Hinsey Ed., Vol. II, p.673.

[39] For discussion of an alleged statement by Himmler concerning extermination, see Butz (ref.13) p.193.

[40] J. C. Ball, Air Photo Evidence, [www.vho.org/GB/Books/dth/fndaerial.html](http://www.vho.org/GB/Books/dth/fndaerial.html)

[41] [www.ihr.org/leaflets/auschwitz.shtml](http://www.ihr.org/leaflets/auschwitz.shtml) [www.ihr.org/books/kulaszka/21herwaarden.html](http://www.ihr.org/books/kulaszka/21herwaarden.html)

[42] Abrams, Voices from the Holocaust p.196

[43] In the Anne Frank 'Diary', she gets to hear in October 1942 about Jews going to gas-chambers, solely via the 'English radio: The Diary of Anne Frank, Pan 1989, pp.43, 130; Felderer (ref. 11), p.13.

[44] [www.ihr.org/jhr/v04/v04p261\\_Lindsey.html](http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v04/v04p261_Lindsey.html). The story appears in a 1944 War Refugee Board report German Extermination Camps: Auschwitz and Birkenau, Washington, Executive office of the President, the WRB being 'an instrument of the World Jewish congress:' Butz p.66-7; Staglich p.93-8.

[45] Butz, p.174.

[46] "The whole propaganda which started about Auschwitz abroad was initiated by us with the help of our Polish comrades... It is no exaggeration when I say that the majority of all Auschwitz propaganda, which was spread at that time all over the world, was written by ourselves in the camp...." Bruno Baum, communist' (Dissecting, p.115).

[47] [www.vho.org/GB/Books/dth/fndfaurpref.html](http://www.vho.org/GB/Books/dth/fndfaurpref.html)

[48] David Irving, Nuremberg the Last battle (1996): US judge Robert Jackson was initially advised of the 'six million' figure by influential Jews: 'Chapter 4, The Origin of six Million.'

[49] 'All but two of the Germans [on trial at Nuremberg], in the 139 cases that we investigated, had their testicles kicked in beyond repair. This was standard operating procedure with our American investigators:' 23.1.49, The Sunday Pictorial (quoted in For Those Who Cannot Speak (ref. 27), p.21.

[50] Höss spoke these words to Moritz von Schirmeister in a car on his way to Nurnberg on 1st April, 1946: [www.rense.com/general68/Höss.htm](http://www.rense.com/general68/Höss.htm). Faurisson states that Bernard Clarke, Höss's chief torturer, was Jewish, but this is not in Butler's book. Irving (ref 47), p.241.

[51] Rupert Butler, Legions of Death 1983, pp.237-8; Dissecting, p.96; Staeglich (ref. 12), pp.193-216.

[52] The letter is preserved: Irving, Nuremberg, p.246.

[53] Patricia Meehan, A Strange Enemy People: Germans under the British 1945-50, 2001.

[54] According to The Jewish Chronicle, the World Jewish congress 'had secured the holding of the Nuremberg trials at which it had provided expert advice and much valuable evidence' (16.12.49): McLaughlin, Nuremberg and other war crime Trials, Historical Review Press, 1979, p.54.

[55] For Zionists occupying top positions at the Nuremberg trials, see Butz (ref 13) p.30.

[56] Ref. (31).

[57] Rassinier (ref. 14) p.270.

[58] [www.zundel.org/](http://www.zundel.org/)

[59] [www.vho.org/GB/Books/dth/fndwitness.html](http://www.vho.org/GB/Books/dth/fndwitness.html)

[60] [www.ihr.org/newsletters/ihr\\_update\\_december\\_2006.pdf](http://www.ihr.org/newsletters/ihr_update_december_2006.pdf); released, December 2006.

[61] Ref. 19.

[62] [www.codoh.com/found/found.html](http://www.codoh.com/found/found.html). Academics protested against this search-and-destroy operation: [www.iamthewitness.com/DarylBradfordSmith\\_Holocaust.html](http://www.iamthewitness.com/DarylBradfordSmith_Holocaust.html) In 2005 G.R. Rudolf deported from America: [www.rense.com/general68/scientistgermar.htm](http://www.rense.com/general68/scientistgermar.htm).

[63] Zundel was charged for the crime of having promoted the pamphlet Did Six Million Really die? (ref 11) This brilliant pamphlet, banned in many nations, has sold in hundreds of thousands in various languages, and let us hope it will come to be studied by every schoolchild.

[64] [www.zundel.org/zundel\\_persecuted/sept11-06\\_letter.html](http://www.zundel.org/zundel_persecuted/sept11-06_letter.html)

[65] Dissecting, preface: <http://www.vho.org/GB/Books/dth/fndfaurpref.html>.

[66] US forensic pathologist Dr Charles Larsen performed autopsies in 1945 at Dachau and other German labour-camps. He is quoted as saying to a newspaper in 1980: 'There never was a case of poison gas uncovered:' [www.thetruthseeker.co.uk/article.asp?ID=55](http://www.thetruthseeker.co.uk/article.asp?ID=55). But, his biography Crime Doctor (J.D.McCallum, Canada 1979) has him saying that at Dachau 'a relatively few of the inmates I personally examined were murdered in this manner,' viz. by cyanide pellets: these were, he reckoned, 'mercy killings' only for psychotic prisoners, p.61.

[67] He found Butz' book to be still the best one on the topic, with which one may agree. A Paris court found Faurisson guilty (February 2005) of the crime of Holocaust denial, for affirming on Iranian TV, 'There was never a single execution gas chamber under the Germans.' He presided

over the Holocaust-re-evaluation conference at Tehran, December 2006. See his excellent lecture there given: <http://www.ziopedia.org/content/view/2676/58/>

[68] *The Six Million Reconsidered*, Ed W. Grimsted, Hist. Rev. Press 1977.

[69] [www.zundel.org/english/debate/022\\_jam.html](http://www.zundel.org/english/debate/022_jam.html)

Taken from: CODOH.com

---

I did not come to the conclusion that the holocaust was a fraud because of what the revisionists said, I came to the conclusion because of what the holocaust historians said themselves!

Fair Use: This may or may not contain copyrighted material, the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available in our efforts to advance understanding of criminal justice, political, human rights, economic, democracy, scientific, and social justice issues, etc.

We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, this material is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes.

For more information go to: <http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.shtml>. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner.