

THE REVISIONIST CLARION

OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO

MONTHLY NEWSLETTER ABOUT HISTORICAL REVISIONISM AND THE CRISIS OF IMPERIAL POWERS

OO

TOWARDS THE DESTRUCTION OF ISRAEL AND THE ROLLING BACK OF USA

Issue Nr. 4 - April 2004

OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO

<revclar@yahoo.com.au>

<<http://aloofhosting.com/revisionistclarion/index.html>>

OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO

CONTENT

A useful source

US plans to move military bases from Saudi Arabia to Iraq

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE IN DEFENCE OF PALESTINIAN REFUGEES' RIGHT OF RETURN

The Wall, by Israel **Shamir**

Baruch Goldstein and Hebron Ten Years Later, by Ariel Natan **Pasko**

Amir did not murder Rabin, Barry **Chamish**

Who Is Responsible? by Michael F. **Connors**

The Bible is not a Jewish Book, by Pastor Bertrand L. **Comparet**

Committee for the "Freedom for Horst Mahler and other"

The Day America Died, By John **Kaminski**

Star Chamber Redux, Alan **Cabal**

Problems of arithmetic? Giuseppe **Furioso**

Hong Kong and The Sassoon Opium Wars

Hatred as a sales gimmick, By Eliezer **Don-Yehiya**

A twisted religion - or a twisted view, by Herb **Keinon**

Schwarzie and the Kennedys, by Ernst **Zündel**

Holocaust panel vilified, by Jay **Bushinsky**

NUTS AND BOLTS

Well, it's one thing to detest the Zionists for the Nazi-like racists that they are. It's even plausible to hate the Jews in general, at least those living in America, for not doing everything in their power to stop the Israeli War Machine. If I were a Palestinian, I would not distinguish between an IDF soldier and the "Israel Uber Alles" American Jew who supports him morally and financially. If I were a Palestinian I would indeed be an "anti-Semite."

Jew and Me, by Adam Engel, November 22, 2003

<www.dissidentvoice.org>

In 1944 Hannah Arendt mourned the fact that Zionism had adopted the revisionist program that gave the Arabs the choice of 'emigration or second class citizenship.' She wrote that if Zionists continued to ignore the indigenous population and serve the major powers they would be viewed as 'the agents of foreign and hostile interests,' which would lead to a new wave of anti-Semitism. Keith Morrison

A USEFUL SOURCE

<www.uruknet.info> is a site gathering daily information concerning occupied Iraq: news, analysis, documents and texts of iraqi resistance available in Italian and English. A lash to official statements and to occupants and all their flankers, in defence of the country that, most of all, has supported, encouraged and aided Palestine and liberation struggles in Middle Orient and al-jazeera, without giving in in favour of pseudo-integralist movements remote-controlled from Washington.

Within a few months from its start, uruknet.info is now read in over 40 countries around the world. But it is not enough: all we ask you is to ask whoever you know may be interested to visit our site, and do the same.

Any link will be greatly appreciated: you can get our banner or link coordinates from our homepage (click: banner). Please let us know about new links, so that we can recall them in our link-page. But do it TODAY.

See also: Occupation Watch Bulletin
www.occupationwatch.org
March 1, 2004

As always the news out of Iraq, especially as reported by the mainstream media in the U.S., has a bizarre twist: the countdown continues on the turnover of sovereignty to the Iraqi people; yet approximately 110,000 occupation forces are being rotated, in the largest troop rotation (for the U.S.) since WWII:

<<http://www.occupationwatch.org/article.php?id=3333>>

MOVING

US PLANS TO MOVE MILITARY BASES FROM SAUDI ARABIA TO IRAQ

By ITAR-TASS

The United States, which decided to move its military bases away from Saudi Arabia, is completing preparations for the creation of a network of military bases on the territory of Iraq. According to information from reliable sources, Washington is trying to get unprecedented concessions from Iraq, including the removal of U.S. officers and men from the jurisdiction both of the Iraqi authorities and international law. Along with it, the United States wishes to get guarantees for a freedom of movement of the U.S. troops by the Iraqi territory, for the creation of military bases and testing grounds anywhere in Iraq and for the unlimited duration of its military presence in that country.

The same occupation troops and camps, as in Germany, in Japan, in Corea, in Italy, in Greece, in Turkey,... Invasion, and occupation for decades... Only one statesman kicked them out, de Gaulle. We need more statesmen of this size.

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE IN DEFENCE OF PALESTINIAN REFUGEES' RIGHT OF RETURN

May 29th, 2004, Paris , France

Dear Friends,

Along with human rights, trade union or political activists from more than 40 countries, you have endorsed the appeal to organise an International Conference in Defence of the Palestinian Refugees' Right of Return to Their Homeland. This appeal was launched on June 15, 2003 in Geneva, Switzerland, at a meeting of trade unionists in defence of ILO conventions.

The appeal states:

"In the difficult situation created for all the peoples of the world by the war in Iraq, we declare once again that no solution can lead to peace and justice without respecting the democratic rights of the Palestinian people and above all, their right to return. Rights are indivisible.

"Has a Palestinian worker not the right, as every worker has, to be entitled to the dispositions of ILO conventions which codify workers' and trade union rights ?

"Beyond our differences, we hereby declare together that democracy requires that the right of return be respected for all the Palestinians. Without democracy there can be no lasting peace.

"As all the peoples of the world, the Palestinian people have a right to their land, to peace and freedom."

On the basis of this declaration, we propose to act in common to prepare an international conference in defence of the right of the Palestinian refugees to return to their homeland, to their village. "

Since June 2003 this appeal has been widely circulated all over the world and endorsed by more than 3000 people from every continent.

Whereas, we receive tragic information from refugees camps and from the whole middle east region everyday, we think it necessary and urgent to convene the international conference in defence of the Palestinian refugees' right of return as proposed by the initiators of the appeal.

Dialogue, a bulletin of discussion between Arabs and Jews of Palestine, has endorsed the appeal and has volunteered to host the conference in Paris, France on Saturday, May 29 of this year.

This conference is not counterposed to any other national or international initiative aimed at addressing the vital problem of the right of return.

The framework for this conference has been set by the international appeal launched by the trade unionists and human rights activists gathered in Geneva on June 15, 2003.

Several prominent figures of the labour and civil rights movements on the international level have already let us know that they will participate in this conference.

Dialogue has offered to publish a special issue to appear early May 2004, where all those who will prepare this conference, and who agree with the framework set by the June 15, 2003 international appeal, can contribute their points of view.

This conference will be independent from any institution and will only be funded by those who wish to support it.

In other words, it is your financial contributions that will enable us to cover the cost of the meeting hall and will also enable us to help some participants travel to Paris.

Every endorser will receive a free copy of the *Dialogue* Special issue preparing the conference as well as a full report on the debates.

Cheques should be made payable to Les amis de Dialogue, 87, Rue du Faubourg Saint Denis 75010 Paris France

Sincerely,
Jean Pierre Barrois

DRIVING INTO THE WALL

The Wall

Israel Shamir

We watched Pink Floyd's *The Wall* in a small, bare and shabby cinema called Semadar, The Vine Blossom in the quaint German Colony of Jerusalem. Emptied of ethnic Germans by the Jews in 1948, it still preserves its old stone houses roofed with red tiles, gables with immured plaques quoting Psalms inscribed in Gothic script, ivy creeping up its masonry and the mysterious Templars' Cemetery beyond heavy gate.

Semadar, named after an expression in the Song of the Songs, was a favourite talkies' spot in our Paradise Lost, nostalgia-bewitched pre-war Palestine, when it was

frequented by British officers, and the young cosmopolitan gang of the Holy City's best and brightest: Armenians, Greeks, Jews, Germans and native Palestinians. Many marriages crossing borders, religious affiliations and political passions were formed in its romantic small yard: a Sephardi Rabbi's daughter found herself a Scots flier, and a Nashashibi, scion of this noble Muslim Arab family, met a perky Left-Zionist girl. Semadar has not changed; it survived our Fall, the Partition, to become a fixture of Amos Oz Jerusalem-based novels like fossil ice survives global warming.

Semadar remained a decent if rundown place for family outing in 1980s, the blessed days before video, TV and computers took over our free time, and we often went with the kids to the movies. However, the Wall was a flop. In the middle of the film, there is a horrifying shot of a mouth gaping to devour you, the spectator.

This scary boneless but teeth-filled mouth covered the whole screen towering above our heads. It was too much for our seven-year old son, and he rushed out with a piercing yell. But outside, the foyer was plastered by posters with the same gaping mouth! It took a few hours to calm him down, and this symbol of the Wall, the dreadful devouring mouth, remained buried deep in my memory.

It returned with a vengeance like a released spring today, when I ran into the Wall after a beautiful walk. For many hours we had driven and walked the soft Biblical hills of the Highlands, waded high green grass, picked purple lupines, crossed a brook still full of water, and of friendly full-faced and fully-dressed girls and boys who splashed each other and us with youthful abandon, and passed by their parents in the nearby village of Anata who were preparing a picnic repast and called their cordial salaams. We greeted a monk going down from his cliff hermitage of St Chariton and received his blessing; chased away a flock of four or five shy gazelles with white-spotted crupper; lit a candle at a Byzantine image of the Madonna in Taybeh village church, where according to carefully preserved local lore Christ spent his last days before the Passion. We drunk their famous Taybeh draft beer in the Stones, an airy two-tiered café in urbane Ramallah, with a tweed-clad professor of philosophy from Bir Zeit university, a wryly-smiling architect, a lapsed Jew from England with an uncanny resemblance to the younger Noam Chomsky, and a ravishing dark beauty of a French-speaking Palestinian girl brought up in Tunisian exile and schooled in Paris.

As we drew towards the Shepherds' Fields, we run into the Wall. It cut into the tender Bethlehem countryside like a colossal devouring maw, and nature disappeared, marshmallow-like. Dozens of Caterpillars were tearing at the hills, uprooting fig trees and vines, crushing rocks for some monstrous Margarita. They demolished old peasant houses and medieval towers, and denuded the slopes walked by the Virgin. The Wall was built like a wide four-lane highway, flanked by 20-foot-high double steel mesh fences, topped with high tension wire, interspaced with cameras, sharpshooters' positions and a few gates. It was the most formidable prison camp perimeter fencing I have ever seen, and it skirted the village houses tightly, like a tipsy tango dancer holds his partner.

The peasants looked through the mesh on their olive trees, still there, still in full modest bloom, but already separated, removed, unavailable. The peasants were locked in, as secure as in any jail, beyond this Wall. Their fields, their pastures, their springs of water were locked out. A gate was guarded by an Israeli soldier; it connected them to their livelihood, to their land, to their freedom – to be opened or closed by army decision. Always looking for a profitable angle, the army instituted a two-dollar fee per person per time for opening the gate. If these Palestinians wish to dally with their olive trees, let them pay for the pleasure.

In some places the Wall was huge concrete construction, stealing away the landscape, the view, locking the villagers in an extended prison court. But the mesh wall was even worse by affording a tantalising sight of the land they once called theirs. The Wall runs for hundreds and hundreds of miles, surrounding villages, separating them from their land, and devouring the beautiful nature of Palestine.

This Wall was not a new invention. I have seen it before. Not far from the sacred Mount Carmel there was an Armenian village. It was settled by Armenian refugees fleeing the Kurds' fury in 1915. The always hospitable Palestinians helped them build their houses and leased them the land, for these Armenians were peasants from the shores of the Lake Van. In 1948 their village became part of the Jewish state. The Jews did not kill them, did not expel them, they just surrounded the village with a Wall, and strangled it. The living village lost its lands and was turned into a prison with one always guarded – by the Jewish army – gate. The Armenians lasted ten years. In 1950s the last Armenian sold his house for a song to the Jews and fled.

The Wall had a precursor: the system of 'for-Jews-only' highways. While even Haifa or Afula has no bypass road, every Arab village has a bypass: a broad highway encircling and limiting its development. Hundreds of Palestinian houses were demolished, thousands of acres devastated while building the bypass grid by recipe borrowed from the Hitchhiker's Guide to Galaxy. It was done for no visible reason, as tiny Jewish settlements did not need this multi-billion investment for 'security purpose'. Moreover, newly-built roads were usually blocked by the army. Now, with the Wall rising higher and higher, the bypass network begins to make sense: it was Stage One of devastation and imprisonment.

The Wall will leave the olive groves in the hands of settlers, wrote ever-so-rational Uri Avneri. But the settlers do not need olives and do not intend to till the land. They prefer to torch the trees. The settlers are not the cause, but a rationalisation of the cause: desire to depopulate Palestine and kill its nature.

Could it be different? The presently implemented programme of victorious Zionism was portrayed in a 1930s essay, *The Iron Wall* by Vladimir Zhabotinsky. But the roots are deeper, for the Wall is the utmost manifestation of the Jewish spirit and it fits the Jewish state. There are dozens of words for 'wall' in Jewish tongues, probably as many as Eskimo have for 'snow'. Jews' sacred symbol is the Wailing Wall; their favourite street is Wall Street. The Egyptians, Babylonians, Christians and Muslims build vertical pyramids, towers, cathedrals to connect Heaven and Earth; but the self-deifying Jews need no Heaven or Earth, and the first thing they build – from London to Minnesota - is eruv, a symbolic Wall to separate them from non-Jews. The only extant inscription from the Jewish Temple (destroyed forty years after Christ was tried in its Walls) is not the Decalogue, or Ten Commandments, or moral teachings, but a piece of a Wall with warning: "Goy, if you cross this Wall, you will have to blame yourself for your painful death".

The most important part of Jewish teaching is the maxim, 'build a Wall around the Torah'. It enhances every prohibition of the Law by a dozen of additional prohibitions. A Jew is forbidden to gather fruits on Sabbath, but 'the Wall' forbids also climbing a tree, lest one be tempted to gather its fruits. Well, what about fruitless birch or fir? It is banned for the same reason: this Saturday you will climb a birch, next Sabbath you will climb an apple tree, and in a month's time, you will pick an apple and commit a real transgression.

Sharon's Wall is a Wall around the Torah, for if you let a goy wander freely he will sooner or later be able to kill a Jew. Sharon's Wall is a Temple Wall, for a goy who crosses it will have to blame himself for the bullet of a sharpshooter. Sharon's Wall is a Wailing Wall for Palestinians, and it is the Wall Street for the Jewish building contractors. The commanding voice is that of Jacob, but the hands are the hands of Esau: the Wall is built by the sweat of impoverished Palestinian workers, guarded by Russians, paid for by Americans to jail their brothers.

The contractors are into a Bonanza, a remake of their previous endeavour, the fifty-foot-high Bar Lev Wall, constructed on the shores of Suez Canal in 1970s and demolished by the Soviet-made water cannons of the Egyptian Third Army of Marshal Sadat on October 6, 1973. The only part of the Wall that survived the 1973 war was the villas of the contractors.

This Wall is the real Roadmap of the Zionists, for when the Wall is completed, Palestine will be ruined and its happy dwellers turned into refugees. But the fate of Jews will not be enviable, either, for the Wall is everywhere. Every shop, every restaurant, every pub in once jolly Tel Aviv has its living Wall: a Russian or Ukrainian boy imported to guard it. For four dollar per hour they stop the bombers with their bodies and are buried beyond the cemetery Wall. We, Israelis, are frisked ten times a day, as we go to the shop, the office, to work or to have fun. There is no building you can enter without a search. Thus the Holy Land has become a high security prison for all its dwellers, Jews and non-Jews alike.

It could be predicted. The Jews weren't locked by evil strangers within the ghetto walls, wrote Vladimir Zhabotinsky, they chose it as foreigners in China chose to live in their separate settlements. Fifty years later, Israel Shahak made another valid observation: the walls of ghetto were breached from outside, by the state, while the Jews weren't keen to leave. The visible walls were breached, but the inner walls remained. The Jewish state is enactment of the paranoid Jewish fear and loathing of stranger, while the Cabal policies of Pentagon are another manifestation of the same fear and loathing on global scale.

Not only individuals, whole societies and cultures can be insane. This important discovery was made by an American social scientist Ruth Benedict, a close and admired friend of Margaret Mead and Franz Boas. Her *Patterns of Culture* (1934) remains one of the most widely read books in the social sciences ever written. In this work, Ruth Benedict

described different Native American cultures and characterized the Pueblo Indians as "placid and harmonious".

The Jewish social scientist Franz Boas provided her with data showing "the self-aggrandizing, megalomaniac character of the Kwakiutl", while Reo Fortune proved the Dobu Islanders were "paranoiac and mean spirited".

This last definition fits the Jews as culture to a boot. What was this Cabal-instigated obsessive search for WMD in Iraq if not a fit of paranoia, fear of a cheated goy with an axe? Present Israel, the country of perennial body search, is the ultimate of paranoid societies, according to Ruth Benedict. The US is succumbing to the same disease under her present ruling clique of Leo Strauss' followers: it builds walls and disarms far away lands, as well as their own citizens, for the Jewish paranoia is extremely contagious.

It is useless to fight the Wall, as it was useless to fight the illegal settlements, as long you ignore the cause. 'The Wall is in the heart', ubeliba homa, sung the Jews as they conquered Jerusalem in 1967. The Wall is at the heart of the problem, and this is the Jewish state in Palestine. Young and not-so-young peace activists at the hilltops along the Wall still wave the slogan "Two States" at the bulldozers, though the bulldozers implement the dream of Two States, my nightmare: a Jewish state and a chain of reservations for the Goyim, the "Palestinian State". Whoever says, 'an Independent Palestinian State aside the Jewish state', turns a blind eye to the Wall. The Wall is an operation of separating the Siamese twins, and only the strongest one will survive it. Discussions of the Wall run into sand in Israel: vast majority of Israelis, from Labour to Likud, support it, while 'peace-loving' Israelis are the strongest supporters of the Devouring Maw.

The Wall mocks the innocent souls inflamed by the Roadmap, another doomed plan to separate the Twins. Sharon is not worried for it provides enough delays to complete the Wall, it puts the onus of peacekeeping on the Palestinian side, it gives him full freedom of action in exchange for some empty promises.

The peace activists hope to alter the course of the Wall a bit here and there. But it won't help, for the Wall will always separate people and their land. Wherever you put it, it will separate the refugees in Deheishe refugee camp from their houses ten miles away in Deir a-Sheik. It will separate the Christians of Taybeh from the Holy Sepulchre and the Muslims of Yassouf from al-Aqsa. It will separate the Jews from the holy sites. It will separate the Highlands peasants from their working places in Tel Aviv and Haifa.

Sharon's Wall, this unmitigated disaster, provides a rare opportunity to observe the true nature of the Jewish State, and to call for its dismantling. Not the Wall, silly! The Jewish State.

<<http://www.israelshamir.net>>

Noam Chomsky: *A Wall as a Weapon*:

It is a virtual reflex for governments to plead security concerns when they undertake any controversial action, often as a pretext for something else. Careful scrutiny is always in order. Israel's so-called security fence, which is the subject of hearings starting today at the International Court of Justice in The Hague, is a case in point. [...]

<<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article5748.htm>>

APOLOGY OF MURDER REVEALS THE MURDEROUS NATURE OF ZIONISM
ZIONISM IS A CRIME: HERE IS THE EVIDENCE:

Baruch Goldstein and Hebron Ten Years Later [An example of Jewish Denial]

By Ariel Natan Pasko

What really happened ten years ago, in Hebron, at the Cave of Machpela - the Tomb of the Patriarchs - or as the Arabs call it the Ibrahimi Mosque? The short answer everyone "knows," is that Dr. Baruch Goldstein carried out a massacre on the Jewish Holiday of

Purim, Feb. 25, 1994. But a new study by Rabbi Dr. Chaim Simons, entitled, *Did or Did Not Dr. Baruch Goldstein Massacre 29 Arabs?* has just appeared on the Internet, at: <http://www.geocities.com/chaimsimons/baruchgoldstein>, for the tenth anniversary of the event. In it, he questions the "common knowledge" and raises some serious issues that need to be addressed.

Lest one think that Rabbi Dr. Simons is some right-wing crackpot, his thoroughly researched work of about 150 pages, includes 714 footnotes and a bibliography of over 150 books, journal and newspaper articles, interviews, archival records, and quotations from legal sources from Israel, England, America, Canada, Australia, and Jewish Law.

According to the biographical information on his website, Rabbi Dr. Simons holds a Bachelor of Science degree with First Class Honors in Chemistry and Physics from the University of London and a Doctor of Philosophy degree in Chemistry from the same University. He also holds a Bachelors degree in Educational Technology. He possesses a Rabbinical Diploma and is a qualified teacher with decades of experience in education, which includes the setting and marking of matriculation exams in Israel. Rabbi Dr. Simons has written a number of books and booklets on a variety of subjects, including "International Proposals to Transfer Arabs from Palestine 1895-1947: A Historical Survey" published by KTAV (1988), probably the most thorough academic review of the issue and also available on his site. So, he's a serious scholar.

[This book with its obviously Nazi philosophical basis of *Lebensraum* has been recently made available in pdf format at:

<http://aaargh-international.org/fran/livres/livres4/simons.pdf> Ed.]

Rabbi Dr. Simons, states in the book's dedication, "In the interests of truth and justice, a Commission of Inquiry should be established - the composition of which to be acceptable both to the Israeli authorities and to the Goldstein family - whose sole function would be to determine whether the killings by Dr. Baruch Goldstein should be classed as a massacre of Arabs or a pre-emptive strike to prevent a massacre of Jews."

Rabbi Dr. Simons reviews the events leading up to Goldstein's attack. He raises the issue of Goldstein's prior knowledge of intelligence warnings, that Arabs planned a massacre of Jews - Goldstein was an emergency doctor for the Kiryat Arba Terrorist Attack Response Team - to take place that Purim morning in the Cave of Machpela. He Discusses whether Goldstein "planned" an attack on Arabs or "responded" to what he saw as the Israeli Army's indifference to an impending attack on Jews. He questions, "What if a Government Fails to Act?" and then analyzes the legal status of "Killings Sanctioned By Law," discussing "killing as an act of self-defense," "killing due to necessity," and "killing during a war".

Rabbi Dr. Simons concludes that, "The killings by Baruch Goldstein could possibly come under one of these headings or a combination of two or even all three." But then stresses "that I do not offer any conclusions on this question."

Some of the more interesting points Rabbi Dr. Simons makes in his book include, his description of the near "lynch" atmosphere in the media and by Israeli government officials just after the incident, describing it with the value-laden term "massacre," before a full inquiry of the events could take place. Rabbi Dr. Simons prefers to use the more neutral term "killing" throughout his work.

Rabbi Dr. Simons works on the assumption that Baruch Goldstein went out to kill that morning, as did the Shamgar Commission, which shows the slanted nature of commission's investigation. Several other theories of what took place that morning exist, that Goldstein was in fact attacked first and responded in self-defense - the only eyewitnesses are the Arabs that were involved in the event - that the Israeli Army passively let him carry out the killings, to avoid the tragedy of an attack on the Jews of Hebron, or as the Arabs claim, the Israeli Army was involved in the attack itself. None of these alternatives were investigated by the commission.

Rabbi Dr. Simons takes issue with the workings of the Shamgar Commission - the commission of inquiry set up to investigate the event - itself. He points out their refusal to take testimony in multiple instances. He then shows the biased composition of the commission including a known left-wing activist and "Peace Now" supporter, Professor Menachem Ya'ari, and an Arab Judge, Abed el-Rahman Zouabi.

Rabbi Dr. Simons states, "if we study the minutes of the proceedings of the Shamgar Commission, we can see that three of its five members - Judge Abed el-Rahman Zouabi, Lieutenant General (res.) Moshe Levy, and Professor Menachem Ya'ari - were describing Baruch Goldstein as a "murderer" even before they had heard all the evidence... It was not just a stray remark by one of the members of the Shamgar Commission. Baruch Goldstein was called a "murderer" no fewer than 17 times by Judge Zouabi, 6 times by Moshe Levi

and twice by Menachem Ya'ari [at the beginning stages of the hearings]... It is a cardinal principle of law that a person is innocent until proved guilty. If a majority of the Commission had already decided that Baruch Goldstein was a murderer before hearing all of the evidence, then the objectivity of the section of the Report dealing with Baruch Goldstein is surely put in doubt!"

The Shamgar Commission's Report was only published in Hebrew and to help facilitate the international media's reporting on it, the Israeli Foreign Ministry translated selected excerpts.

Rabbi Dr. Simons also refers to Haggai Segal's article, entitled "A failure to inform" which appeared in the Israeli newspaper, *Jerusalem Post* on July 11, 1994. Segal wrote about the commission's findings, pointing out facts that the media conveniently ignored. Segal wrote, "The public doesn't know that the commission charged a key witness from the Wakf [Islamic Trust] with giving false testimony, expressed an understanding of the motivations of the Jews in Hebron, indirectly rebuked Yitzchak Rabin, and even mentioned the purchase of the Cave of the Patriarchs by Abraham from a local merchant...[The Commission considered that] 'the release of the terrorists [over 1000 in May 1985] raised tension between the Jewish residents and the Arab population of the area. Oddly, we didn't hear this quote on the radio. And, wonder of wonders: it didn't appear in the press. For some reason, but apparently not by chance, the media have failed to mention the astounding statistics for Judea and Samaria [the West Bank] collected by the commission [regarding the countless attacks by Arabs against Jews]... An additional section, as yet uncited, verifies the claim of the residents of Kiryat Arba that Goldstein acted fearing a massacre from the other side... Not even one reporter quoted the commission's sensational recommendation that the intifada be crushed."

Rabbi Dr. Simons brings another interesting fact to light. Besides the voluminous testimony of over nine officers from the defense establishment - to the Shamgar Commission - on their intelligence reports for two and a half weeks prior to Purim, about a planned Arab attack on the Jews of Hebron, and the numerous other sources he quotes that corroborate that, even the mainstream Israeli media reported on the impending danger.

A leaflet was being circulated in Hebron by Hamas, saying that on the 25th or 26th of February - the 25th being Purim - a terrorist attack would take place in Hebron. This leaflet was referred to in an article in the newspaper *Yediot Aharonot*, the morning of February 25th - note, this was printed before Goldstein's act - which said that the Arab residents of Hebron were notified by means of leaflets, loudspeakers and inscriptions on walls to stock up with food in anticipation of a long curfew which would follow a gigantic attack against the Jews by Hamas. The existence of this leaflet, which had been circulated in Hebron by Hamas, was also reported in the Shamgar Commission Report.

Rabbi Dr. Simons informs us that in the week prior to that Purim morning, there were several incidents of Arab mobs at the Cave of Machpela shouting "Itbach al-Yahood-Slaughter the Jews!" This included threats to the Jews there, being spat upon, and struck.

Rabbi Dr. Simons describes an incident that happened five days before Purim, when a group of 120 Americans went to visit the Cave of Machpela. Although the soldiers had insisted that Arabs not go into the courtyard of the Cave whilst the Jews were there, a group of Arabs - between 50 and 100 - succeeded in bursting into the courtyard screaming "Itbach al-Yahood". At that time, the Jews were peacefully praying the evening service. One of the Americans present, referred to three other occasions during the days before Purim - twice on the Sabbath and once on the following Wednesday - when he visited the Cave of Machpela and was subjected to Arab rioting, insults and, on two of these occasions, the yelling of "Itbach al-Yahood." He added that on each visit he was personally threatened and struck by Arabs. He wrote a letter to the *Jerusalem Post* about his experiences - that was published - and a Bar-Ilan University professor submitted the "letter to the editor" in a letter to the commission. The Shamgar Commission did not invite the American who was attacked, to give evidence.

Other elements of the picture that Rabbi Dr. Simons informs us about include, that the metal detector at the East Gate where most of the Arabs entered that Friday Purim morning, was damaged the night before. That an unusually large number of Arabs came to the Machpela that Friday - some 500 men and 300 women - only a handful of the men were searched, but not one of the women. And that after the Goldstein incident, three automatic weapons were found in the Machpela - other than Goldstein's weapon - including one wrapped in an Arab Keffiya (scarf). All these elements, point to a planned attack on the Jews at the Cave of Machpela that Purim morning.

Rabbi Dr. Simons also raises the issues of how Baruch Goldstein died and asks why haven't the Arabs involved in his murder been prosecuted. He points out that the pathologist's report says that numerous blows to the head, from all directions - from long blunt instruments - caused Goldstein's death. It also speaks of a smashed in skull, damage to the brain, broken ribs and a broken left arm. In her evidence to the Shamgar Commission, Goldstein's widow, Miriam, said that the face was so mutilated that even Baruch's brother had difficulty in identifying him. Rabbi Dr. Simons then asks, "Why was the body in such a state? Did the Arabs have to do all this as an act of self-defense?" He states "the answer can be found in statements made by Arabs who were in the Isaac Hall at the time."

According to Rabbi Dr. Simons, a Muslim worshipper, Awad Abu-S'nina, present in the Machpela, testified to B'Tzelem - an organization that describes itself as "The Israeli Information Center for Human Rights in the Occupied Territories" - that while Goldstein was changing the magazine in his rifle, Awad grabbed the weapon and immediately his father, Ayub Abu-S'nina, took the weapon from him and threw it aside. B'Tzelem's report was handed over to the Shamgar Commission

Rabbi Dr. Simons continues, when questioned by the police, another Muslim worshipper, Al-Mutlab Natshe, described how about ten Arabs then fell on Goldstein, knocked him onto the ground and beat him to death with metal poles. A 12-year-old boy, Ala S'eid Ali Ashur, stated that a large number of Arabs beat Goldstein with a fire extinguisher and the metal poles from the room dividers. Another Muslim worshipper, Ashraf Karaki told the police that he saw Baruch Goldstein lying dead on the floor with a lot of blood on his neck and head and he also saw a large metal pole on his body and a large number of similar type poles around him. Testimony also comes from Israeli Army Private Avihai Zahala, who saw over the closed-circuit television system operating in the Cave of Machpela, that after the shooting ended, about three Arabs with metal poles going towards the area of the Hall where Goldstein was killed. Rabbi Dr. Simons then concludes, "From all this we can see that Baruch Goldstein was beaten to death after his rifle had been taken from him. This fact is confirmed in two places in the Shamgar Report, and this Report specifically states that this was done by Moslem worshippers. Furthermore, the official death certificate issued by the Israeli Ministry of the Interior, states the cause of death as murder."

The police investigated the murder of Baruch Goldstein and by December 1994, they reached the conclusion that he had been murdered in cold blood by Muslim worshippers after his weapon had been taken away. One of the Arabs present that Purim in the cave of Machpela was a collaborator with the Israeli authorities. This collaborator knows the names of the Arabs who murdered Baruch Goldstein and also to which organization they belong.

But State Attorney Dorit Benish - later appointed a judge to the Israeli Supreme Court - decided not to open criminal proceedings against the Arabs who were accused of murdering Baruch Goldstein. In June 1995, the Legal Adviser to the Government, Michael Ben-Yair endorsed Benish's decision.

Rabbi Dr. Simons points out that, "To date, no Arab has been charged with Baruch Goldstein's murder. This can be contrasted with the case of a Jewish settler, Yoram Skolnik, who killed an Arab terrorist after he had been disarmed. Skolnik was immediately arrested, charged, brought to trial and sentenced to life imprisonment for murder. Later, President Ezer Weizman reduced Skolnik's sentence first to 15 years and then to 11 years. After serving 7 years, a parole board followed standard practice and took off one third of his remaining sentence for good behavior. This did not find favor with Meretz Knesset Member Zahava Galon who challenged the parole board's decision in the High Court, who then ruled that this decision was unacceptable. Yoram Skolnik thus remained in jail. To the best of my knowledge, Zahava Galon has never challenged the fact that the Arabs who murdered Baruch Goldstein have never been brought to trial!"

Why haven't they? In a democracy, the law should be applied equally.

As with most good research, Rabbi Dr. Simons raises many more questions than he answers. Although, I must tell you, he does quite a job of informing one of the facts, relating to before, during, and after the Goldstein incident.

With the rise of vicious, unrelenting Arab terror against Jews in Israel these last few years, the events of the past take on new shades of meaning. I feel Rabbi Dr. Simons' book sheds new light on the Goldstein incident, and is well worth reading for those who seek the truth about what really happened and it's surrounding circumstances.

In conclusion, I want to point out that Rabbi Dr. Simons states, "I myself wish to stress that I strongly deplore and condemn any form of killing of innocent people whether Jewish or non-Jewish, not sanctioned by law, or indeed any form of injury or harassment of such people not sanctioned by law. However, I can pass no judgment on Dr. Baruch Goldstein

since the incident has never been adjudicated. As was quite rightly repeatedly pointed out when a number of prominent people were being accused of various crimes, one can criticize or condemn their actions only after a court of law has found them guilty..."

FREEMAN CENTER BROADCAST - March 2, 2004

<<http://www.freeman.org/>> It seems they withdrew this article...

The art of whitewashing mass murder and calling for more massacres.

WHO THEN KILLED RABIN ?

No gunpowder on Yigal Amir's hands

Half an hour after the shooting, Israel Police conducted two tests on Yigal Amir's hands. After shooting live ammunition, a cloud of gunpowder and metal particles falls on the shooter's hands. There are no exceptions. The first test, Feroprint, is conducted to count metal particles. In one case of 50, these particles can be wiped from the hands. The second test conducted was to measure gunpowder traces. These cannot be wiped out for days. Amir had neither metal particles nor gunpowder on his hands. He could not possibly have shot live ammunition at Rabin. He had to have shot blanks.

Amir did not murder Rabin and now it's a proven fact

Within this article are the conclusive proofs that Amir did not shoot real bullets at Rabin. As I've been saying for six years now, he shot blanks.

For those who read Hebrew, please open the attachment, hands1.jpg. For those who don't, here's what it says.

National Police Headquarters - Investigations Division
Dept. of Criminal Identification - Ramle
13/11/95
Subject: Murder Of Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin

Background: On 4/11/95, around 21:45, Prime Minister Rabin and one of his bodyguards were shot while about to enter their vehicle at the end of a rally in Kings Of Israel Square. A suspected shooter, Yigal Amir, was caught by police and transferred to the Yarkon Division police station. The prime minister died of his wounds at Ichilov Hospital.

Test Results: On 4/11/95 I was part of a video crew led by Superintendent Shmuel Berger at a rally in the Kings Of Israel Square. At approximately 21:55 I was given a plastic bag with a pistol inside, suspected of being used in a shooting, by Deputy Superintendent Moti Naftali. The pistol was a model 84F Baretta, serial number D98231Y that utilized short 9 mm bullets, which included a clip with an undetermined number of bullets within.

On the street and sidewalk, three 380 WIN Auto cartridges were found.

At 22:15 approximately, I arrived at the office of the commander of the Yarkon Division police station. The suspect Yigal Amir was present. I took samples from his hands and hair.

I could find no significant results from the Feroprint examination that I undertook of the suspect's hands...

Detective Arie Moshe 93614
Mobile Police Laboratory

A Feroprint examination will reveal the tiniest amount of metal residues. Officer Moshe examined Amir's hands a half hour after he claimed the shooting took place and found none on Amir's hands. After consultations with a forensics expert, doctor and policewoman, I can conclude that this result would be unlikely in the extreme if Amir had shot real bullets. However, there are possible explanations.

So let us now open hands2.jpg to get the full story of the forensic tests on Amir's hands.

Israel Police Headquarters/Investigations Division
Dept. Of Criminal Identification

Written by Nadav Levine - Trace Evidence

On 5/11/95 I received evidence for testing, including samples taken from the murder suspect Yigal Amir, (identity number 027790088). Here are the initial test results:

In the suspect's hair sample, I found one particle that could be identified as a gunshot remain. We are unaware of any similar compound not used in the explosion of caps.

In the sample from the suspects hands, I found no particles which that could be identified as gunshot residue.

Detective Nadav Levine, Trace Materials Laboratory

The Hebrew word for cap, as in cap gun, is "pica," the word chosen by Levine. But even if the one particle found in Amir's hair was from gunpowder and not a cap, the explanation is simple. A policeman touched his own pistol, then Amir's head and left a particle in the hair.

But if Amir actually shot real bullets, there would have been gunshot particles on his hands. AND THERE WERE NOT! Neither gunpowder nor metal residues were found on Amir's hands in two separate tests, by two competent police technicians. THIS IS AN IMPOSSIBILITY IF AMIR SHOT REAL BULLETS!

Blank bullets are noisemakers which do not have enough explosive material to leave significant residues. Nor is the material of the same power to expel a bullet from a cartridge. Think of a little boy's cap gun, or those party poppers which make noise when a strip of paper is pulled. That is what Amir shot and that is why the police technicians could not find gunpowder traces or metal residues on his hands: because Amir shot blanks!

It's over, people. The coverup of Rabin's murder is finished. We have all the proof we need that Amir did not murder Rabin. Send the attached documents to the world and force the Israeli government to tell the truth.

See the Hebrew documents on:

<http://www.barrychamish.com/English/hands2.htm>

ALLIED WAR CRIMES

Who Is Responsible?

by Michael F. Connors

Certainly, the expulsion in 1945 of 14,000,000 Eastern Germans from their ancestral homeland by the Czechs, Poles, and Soviets with the tacit connivance of the Western Allies was a "war crime" by any standard. Moreover it took on distinctly macabre overtones when the discrepancy between the number expelled and those who actually reached Germany reached a possible three million mark.

Surely, too, the as yet little known fact that not Hitler but the British, by their own admission, initiated unrestricted bombing of civilian areas ought to merit for them membership in the select society of "war criminals." The unbelieving reader need only consult the testimony of the British officials J. M. Spaight and Sir Arthur Harris, for incontrovertible proof of this charge.[1] A decision of the British Air Ministry made on May 11, 1940, to attack targets in Western Germany instituted the practice of bombing purely civilian objectives. This "epoch-making event," as F. J. P. Veale correctly describes it, marked an ominous departure from the rule that hostilities are to be limited to operations against enemy military forces alone.[2] Spaight, former Principal Secretary of the Air Ministry, makes the following amazing comment on the decision of May 11, 1940:

Because we were doubtful about the psychological effect of propagandist distortion of the truth that it was we who started the strategic bombing offensive, we have shrunk from giving our great decision of May 11, 1940, the publicity it deserves. That surely was a mistake. It was a splendid decision.[3]

But the "great decision," the "splendid decision" of May 11, 1940, which was ultimately to cost the lives of millions, including thousands of Mr. Spaight's own countrymen, was to have an even more grisly sequel, for, according to Sir Charles Snow who had charge of selecting scientific personnel for war research in Great Britain in World War II, F.

A. Lindemann, a Cabinet member and confidant of Churchill, produced in early 1942 a remarkable Cabinet paper on the subject of the strategic bombing of Germany.

It described, in quantitative terms, the effect on Germany of a British bombing offensive in the next eighteen months (approximately March 1942-September 1943). The paper laid down a strategic policy. The bombing must be directed essentially against German working-class houses. Middle-class houses have too much space round them, and so are bound to waste bombs...[4]

One wonders if it was the cultivated humanitarianism inherent in this decision to assure the death of more working class Germans per bomb which entitled the Allies, and in particular the British, to sit in moral judgment on German leaders at Nuremberg in 1946!

Can anyone doubt that the "Morgenthau Plan" which envisaged the destruction of the Ruhr mines, the pastoralization of a primarily industrial Germany, and the political dismemberment of Germany was a "war crime?" Had it been carried out rigorously, it would have been, as Freda Utley described it, "the greatest act of genocide perpetrated in modern times... At least thirty million people would have died of starvation." [5] Though, fortunately, this diabolical scheme was never carried out to the letter, its spirit so permeated the occupational planning for Germany under the early "levels of industry" plans that for a long time after the war had ended Germans were deliberately kept on a diet far below that enjoyed by the inmates of Auschwitz up to almost the end of the war.

Who can doubt the criminal quality of the Soviet butchery of thousands of Polish officers in the Katyn forest in 1940? Even the Nuremberg Tribunal resisted (albeit by inaction) Soviet attempts to lay that one at the door of Germany. [6] Or perhaps the moral superiority of our "noble" Soviet allies, as we were fond of calling them, rested upon the manner in which they distinguished themselves in brutalizing the women of conquered lands. No doubt the enthusiastic response of the Soviet soldiery to the incitements of Ilya Ehrenburg to seize the women of Germany as the spoils of victory which resulted in the rape of half the women of Berlin alone lent substance to the pretensions of moral dignity assumed by the prosecuting powers at Nuremberg. [7]

German "guilt" in perspective

Any final summation or balance sheet of German war crimes honestly verified, it may then be assumed, will most assuredly be balanced out by the sordid record of Germany's hypocritically self-righteous enemies.

At the heart of the conviction that German World War II atrocities were quantitatively and qualitatively without parallel in the annals of human experience is the as yet unverified allegation that, in the pursuit of a macabre "Final Solution," 6,000,000 Jews were cold-bloodedly murdered in gas chambers and before Einsatzkommando firing squads. The "evidence" presented in support of this charge to date has not been more persuasive than that used to substantiate the gruesome stories of German atrocity horrors spelled out in the long since discredited Bryce Report of 1915.

Neither the proceedings at Nuremberg in 1946 nor those associated with the recent trial of Adolf Eichmann were such as to inspire the confidence of the impartial investigator. Likewise the frenetic efforts of some academic scholars to prove the charge have fallen quite flat. [8] But even if one should assume the worst to be true and, from the welter of conflicting numerical estimates as to the number of Jewish fatalities, accept the largest, 6,000,000, as undoubtedly correct, the number of victims of these German atrocities would still fall far short of the number of German, Japanese, and Italian non-combatants who perished at Allied hands as the result of mass population expulsions, saturation bombing of civilian centers, post-war deprivation, and Soviet massacres and political liquidations.

The simple fact then is that there is every reason to believe that a final accounting must exculpate Germany of any unique inhumanity in the waging of World War II, just as revisionist scholarship has exonerated her of sole or even primary guilt for the war itself.

Certainly there is no justification for those writers, and above all those academic "scholars," in the West who continue to parrot the crudities and distortions of yesteryear. There is a monotonous uniformity in all their interpretations, the fundamental error of which lies in the fact that they, in assessing the reasons for the demise of democracy and the rise of Nazi totalitarianism in Germany, ascribe primary or even sole causality to factors supposedly indigenous to German history and society. The alleged "weakness" or "ineptitude" of democratic Germans is a theme which runs like a red thread through most such treatments. Coupled with a "sinister streak" which has purportedly manifested itself in a diseased intellectual and political development and an alleged obsession with militarism, this usually suffices to "explain" for us "the course of German history" with its "logical culmination" in National Socialism.

As might be expected, such critics scarcely comment on the Allied "statesmen" at Versailles, who, in direct violation of the pre-Armistice agreements, imposed a punitive peace on the Reich. Nor have they much to say of the intransigence of Western "democratic" politicians who refused to make the slightest concessions to Germany during the interwar years. Non-German "guilt," however much it might have contributed to the rise of Hitler, is never a popular subject with them.

Germany during the Weimar era produced in Gustav Stresemann and Heinrich Brüning two of the ablest statesmen of the present century. These men were thoroughly "democratic" to the core.[9]

Had either of these men been offered a fraction of the concessions to which they were entitled, the Weimar Republic could have been saved and the world spared the insane bloodbath of 1939-45, as well as the consequent alteration of the world balance of power to the advantage of the U.S.S.R. This was the portentous, terrifying essence of most genuinely crucial period in modern world history; what seems, indeed, to have marked the real beginning of the Decline of the West. It is a story in which the impartial historian can assign at most a very minor role to German villainy. If villains must be had, the historian must also look elsewhere: to Paris, to London, to Washington, and to Moscow, but only lastly to Berlin.

NOTES

[1] J. M. Spaight, *Bombing Vindicated* (London: Geoffrey Bles, Ltd., 1944) and Sir Arthur Harris, *Bomber Offensive* (London: Collins, 1947).

[2] F. J. P. Veale, *Advance to Barbarism* (Appleton: C. C. Nelson Publishing Company, 1953), p.122.

[3] Spaight, *op.cit.* p.7.

[4] C. P. Snow, *Science and Government* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1961), p.48.

[5] Freda Utley, *The High Cost of Vengeance* (Chicago: Henry Regnery Company, 1949), pp. 15-16.

[6] See F. J. P. Veale, *War Crimes Discreetly Veiled* (New York: The Devin-Adair Company, 1959), pp. 38-51 and Joseph Mackiewicz, *The Katyn Wood Murders* (London: Hollis and Carter, 1951).

[7] The sickening story of Allied mishandling of the women of conquered lands, including Austrians, Germans, and Hungarians is well exposed in Austin J. App's brochure *Ravishing the Women of Conquered Europe* (San Antonio: Privately Printed, 1946).

[8] Gregory Frumkin, *Population Changes in Europe Since 1939* (New York: Augustus M. Kelley, Inc., 1951) and Gerald Reitlinger, *The Final Solution* (New York: The Beechhurst Press, 1953).

[9] See particularly Henry L. Bretton, *Stresemann And The Revision of Versailles* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1953) and Felix E. Hirsch, "Stresemann in Historical Perspective," *The Review of Politics*, July, 1953, pp. 360-377. On Brüning consult Hermann Lutz, *German-French Unity* (Chicago: Henry Regnery Company, 1957), pp. 103, 108, 110, 118-123, 126-127, and 130 as well as Charles Callan Tansill's *Backdoor To War* (Chicago: Henry Regnery Company, 1952), pp. 33-35.

Western Destiny - July 1964

<<http://www.scrapbookpages.com/DachauScrapbook/WarCrimes.html>>

LIKE WATER AND OIL

The Bible is not a Jewish Book

by Pastor Bertrand L. Comparet, A.B.,J.D.

The statement is commonly made, even by those who should know better, that we Christians owe a debt to the Jews, for we got our Bible and our religion from them. While many people have been deceived into believing this, it is completely false. Part of the mistake comes from the complete confusion in the minds of nearly all people as to just what they mean by Jew. Are they referring to people of a certain race, or referring to a people of a certain religion, for the two are not the same. There are in Africa today, some pure blooded negroes who are Jews by religion and there are in China today, some pure blooded Mongolians who are Jews by religion. Likewise, there are some people today who are racially of the stock we know as Jews, but who have been converted to other religions.

First let's consider the claim we got our Bible and our religion from the Jews, as meaning Jews by religion. It is certain we didn't get the New Testament from them, for it condemns the Jewish religion throughout all the New Testament. But did we get the Old Testament from them? No, for several reasons in the first place, no Jew by religion existed before the return from the Babylonian captivity, shortly after 536 B.C. Their great historian Josephus writes, "So the Jews prepared the work. Jew is the name they are called by from

the day that they came up from Babylon." The only books of the Old Testament that were written after the return from Babylon are, Kings, Chronicles, Ezra and Nehemiah (all of them historical, rather than doctrinal) Haggai, Zechariah and Malachi. In none of these do the Jews receive anything but rebuke for their wickedness, for their apostasy from the religion of the Old Testament. The late Rabbi Stephen F. Wise, formerly the Chief Rabbi of the United States said, "The return from Babylon and the introduction of the Babylonian Talmud mark the end of Hebrewism and the beginning of Judaism."

The learned Rabbi was correct in distinguishing the true religion of the Old Testament as Hebrewism for it was the religion of the real Hebrews, who were not Jews at all. Judaism, the religion of the Jews, is as the learned Rabbi says, based upon the Babylonian Talmud, which contains the supposed oral law. It was never reduced to writing as part of the Bible. This oral law gradually gained greater force among the Jews than the written law in the Bible, with which it often conflicted in Yahshua's day, the Babylonian Talmud was known as the Tradition of the Elders.

This is why Yahshua told the Jews:

"Well hath Esaias prophesied of you hypocrites, as it is written, This people honoreth Me with their lips, but their heart is far from Me. Howbeit, in vain do they worship Me, teaching for doctrines the Commandments of men. For laying aside the commandments of Yahweh that ye may keep your own tradition, * * making the word of God of none effect through your tradition which ye have delivered." Mark 7:6-13.

"Ye do err, not knowing the scriptures, nor the power of Yahweh." Matthew 22:29

"Woe unto you, Scribes and Pharisees, Hypocrites! for ye pay tithes of mint, anise and cumin and have omitted the weightier matters of the law, judgment, mercy and faith" Matthew 23:23

This was the religion of the Jews. As the learned Rabbi Stephen F Wise said, Judaism as distinguished from Hebrewism, the real religion of the Old Testament. Certainly Christianity took nothing from any Jewish religion for we have never taken any part of Christianity from the Talmud.

Well then, can it be said we got our Bible or our religion of Christianity from men of the Jewish race? No, it cannot. I haven't the time in the remainder of this lesson to give the Bible evidence in detail. I will have to reserve that for a later lesson. It can be clearly proven, both out of the historical books of the Bible and out of the only thorough history of the times written by one living when the facts were still well known. Josephus' "Antiquities of the Jews", tells that the Jews were a people distinct and separate from Yahweh's people Israel, although living among them. The Jew were the Canaanite people who lived in Palestine, before Israel entered the promised land and who were not driven out. These Canaanite people, and the mixed offspring from intermarriage with the Israelites, were allowed to remain in the land while paying heavy tribute taxes.

The prophets who wrote the books of the Old Testament, were all of pure Israelite stock, from one or another of the 12 tribes of Israel. Moses, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, Habakkuk, Haggai anti Zechariah were of the tribe of Levi. Joshua and Samuel were of the tribe of Ephraim. Isaiah, Daniel and Zephaniah were of the house of David, Jonah was of the tribe of Zebulun. Hosea was of the tribe of Issachar.

When the Assyrians conquered and deported the people of the ten northern tribes, the Bible records that the Assyrians brought other people in from the Assyrian empire and settled them in Samaria, in place of the Israelites they had deported. Samaria is only the southern half of the territory occupied by these ten northern tribes. The northern half was Galilee and this was left vacant. When the kingdom of Judah was later deported to Babylon, for their seventy years captivity, their land was left with very little population. While they were gone, the Edomites who were descendants of Esau, mixed with Canaanite people, were forced out of their own land by pressure of invading Arab tribes, and moved westward into the vacant lands of Judah, occupying the southern half of the former kingdom of Judah.

Therefore, when a portion of the two tribes of Judah and Benjamin returned from the Babylonian captivity, they were too few in numbers to drive out the warlike Edomites and had to try to squeeze into the very little territory they had left. It was too small for them, so what was left of the tribe of Judah took the little territory remaining around Jerusalem and Benjamin was pushed to the north. They could not move next door into Samaria, as this area was occupied by the people the Assyrians had settled there. Benjamin had to leapfrog over them into the vacant territory of Galilee.

That the apostles and the majority of Christian converts came from the Benjaminites should not surprise us. When the kingdom was split in two upon the death of Solomon,

Yahweh said He would leave Benjamin with Judah so that the house of David should have a light before them. In Yahshua's time the people of Benjamin were still the light bearers. In the New Testament all of the apostles were of the tribe of Benjamin except Judas Escariot, the only Jew among them. Judas came from the village of Kerieth in southern Judea. Iscariot is a corruption of Ish Kerieth, man of Kerieth. Paul tells us that he (Paul) was of the tribe of Benjamin and at the other apostles except Judas Escargot were from Galilee where the tribe of Benjamin settled after the return from Babylon.

This is confirmed by Yahshua. In Matthew 15:24 He said, "I am not sent but unto the I sheep of the house of Israel", in John chapter 10 Yahshua tells the Jews, "I am the good Shepherd and know My sheep and am known of Mine. But ye believe not because ye are not of My sheep, as I said unto you. My sheep hear my voice and I know them and they follow me" From the Savior's own tips we have the proof that the Jews are not of the tribes of Israel. Note carefully that He does not say that their unbelief keeps them from being of His sheep. He says the exact opposite, that the reason why they do not believe is that they are not of His sheep, the house of Israel.

Christianity and Judaism are completely and irreconcilably inconsistent. Whichever one is right, the other must be wrong for they mutually repudiate each other. A great part of Yahshua's reported words are His denunciation of the Jews for their religion, which He tells them is not that of the Old Testament. In John 5:46 Yahshua told them, "Had ye believed in Moses, ye would have believed Me: for he wrote of Me." In Luke 16:31 Yahshua said, "If they hear not Moses and the prophets, neither will they be persuaded through one rose from the dead." He was right, He did rise from the dead, but to this day they are not persuaded.

It is therefore clear, we did not get either our Bible or our Christian religion, either in whole or in part from those who were Jews, either by religion or by race. We owe them no debt, for they gave us nothing.

<http://www.churchofthesonsofyhvh.org/bible_is_not_a_jewish_book.htm>

FREEDOM FIGHTERS

Declaration of the Founding of the Committee "Freedom for Horst Mahler, Reinhold Oberlercher and Uwe Meenen!" and appeal

After the third day of the trial against Horst Mahler, Reinhold Oberlercher and Uwe Meenen of the Deutsches Kolleg ("Collegium Germanum", www.deutsches-kolleg.org) on February 18 2004, it becomes clear that the accused are in danger of being silenced not only long-term but also short-term. The freedom of the press is also in peril.

For the protection of the accused and to safeguard the freedom of information, there had to be a reaction against these liberticidal tendencies.

At the end of the proceedings, Bernhard Heldt of the Civic Association for a German National Assembly and Peter Töpfer (www.nationalanarchismus.org, Antideutsches Kolleg – www.antideutsch.de) decided to found the Committee "Freedom for Horst Mahler!"

Appeal

We – regardless of origin, world view and if sympathizer or enemy ^ demand that Horst Mahler, Reinhold Oberlercher and Uwe Meenen be entitled to exercise their freedom of speech. Enough with the attempts of criminalizing free speech!

If Judge Faust and State Attorney Krüger want to learn about the views of Mahler, Oberlercher and Meenen – go ahead! But not by force in a court. If they want to do it in public – even better! But there are other places than a criminal court.

By signing the appeal of the Committee "Freedom for Horst Mahler!", we do not necessarily condone the political, philosophical or other views of Mahler, Oberlercher and Meenen. We care about freedom of speech and the possibility of an open and honest dialogue.

Please sign the appeal of the Committee "Freedom for Horst Mahler!" by contacting:
<peter-toepfer@web.de>

To view the list of the signatories of the appeal, see www.antideutsch.de – "Liste der Appell-Unterzeichner".

THE 911 LIE

The Day America Died

By **John Kaminski**
skylax@comcast.net

We all wonder – and worry – about the future. Yet it's clear what will happen. We all forget our Shakespeare: "What is past is prologue." Or in more contemporary parlance: "Same stuff, different day."

Yet the world has changed – recently and radically. Noble goals and thoughtful explanations have given way to superficial sound bites and insincere spin. Lowest-common-denominator education and thought-control television have primed the pump of public opinion to accept lies as truth. Wink-wink. Accepts these clumsy fables to make money because that's the way it is. Besides, everybody does it.

As we watch our country and our world slowly slide down the tubes in a stale fog of industrial pollution and financial scams, we realize it's no longer about the product, it's all about the sale. And when that is the case, anything goes. We are right to worry about our children's future in a world where consensual truth is sardonically shaped by the people with the most money.

American history is littered with lies deliberately told to the public to earn certain powerful people incomprehensibly large amounts of money.

The biggest lie of all was told on September 11, 2001, when the sudden and violent deaths of more than 3,000 American citizens were called an unexpected event and blamed on foreign terrorists by the people we, as a nominally democratic society, had put in charge of our lives.

While virtually the entire population of the United States recoiled in horror, and wracked with pain, sought to strike out at those who were blamed for the unprecedented atrocity, a few level-headed people noticed some troubling disparities in what was being blared out over the TV networks. Some 30 months later, those doubts have blossomed into a full-blown refutation by sincerely and committed researchers about what government officials told us happened on that awful day.

Yet bullied by the compromised corporate media and stonewalled by dogmatic government officials, most of mainstream America continues to believe what their leaders told them, despite subsequent, proven lies that have cost the lives of thousands of Americans and tens of thousands of others around the world – all based on that first, biggest lie about what happened on 9/11.

We have entered a not-so-brave new world where the lie is enforced for profit by the few, and the truth is squelched at the agonizing expense of the many.

This new booklet I have written, "**The Day America Died**," offers a chance to challenge those superficial lies by our untruthful leaders who seek to restructure the world along more hardened lines between haves and have-nots. If we let their smelly story of that sad day prevail, we face certain slavery and continued unaccountability of those who steal elections, kill innocent people, and fleece everyone in not only America but the world with their dictatorial financial schemes, maneuvers that profit only the wealthy few and radically increase the numbers of the suddenly- and soon-to-be poor.

Read this booklet. It may be your last chance to do something about the freedom you thought you had. And especially give it your friends who don't believe it when you tell them that 9/11 was an inside job.



"The Day America Died: Why you shouldn't believe the official story of what happened on September 11, 2001" is a tightly written syllabus covering the major areas of dispute between the government's version of events and what principled researchers on

various Internet web sites have have found to be logical flaws, physical inconsistencies and outright lies in the accepted mainstream version of events.

Published by Sisyphus Press in State College, Pa., it is a 48-page dissertation proving many of America's leaders not only knew the tragedy was about to happen and did nothing to stop it, but actually participated in the planning and execution of it. You may order it from my website – <<http://www.johnkaminski.com>> – at \$6 per copy plus shipping and handling.

Recommended dosage? Order a bunch to hand out to your sleeping friends. Time is running short. The so-called official commission is close to concluding its whitewash of an investigation, which President Bush first staffed with his political allies and then stonewalled.

Throughout the recent opposition presidential campaign, no major candidate had the personal integrity to dare broach the subject of the 9/11 coverup for fear of being Wellstoned (assassinated) or Deaned (ostracized) for opposing the fascist policies and coverups of the Zionist neocon gang that has hijacked the American republic and turned it into a worldwide criminal enterprise that is immune from the laws by which the rest of us are forced to abide.



So if you are having trouble convincing your friends and family that our government is lying to us and that the so-called terrorist attacks of 9/11/2001 were actually an inside job, here is the evidence you need to change their minds!

In *The Day America Died: Why you shouldn't believe the official story of what happened on September 11, 2001* you will read about:

- 🍏 The lies our leaders told us to cover up the crime.
- 🍏 Arab terrorists didn't hijack the planes.
- 🍏 The Twin Towers didnt collapse, they exploded.
- 🍏 Why evidence was destroyed and suppressed.
- 🍏 How the military let the attacks happen.
- 🍏 Fake cellphone calls and bogus box cutters.
- 🍏 Foreknowledge made millions for investors.
- 🍏 No jetliner ever hit the Pentagon.
- 🍏 The Patriot Act was ready and waiting for 9/11 and took away your freedom.

[...] Citing the latest findings by the best 9/11 researchers including A. K. Dewdney, Jim Hoffman, Gerard Holmgren, Dick Eastman, Walter Davis, Jerry Russell, Richard Stanley, Peter Meyer, Plague Puppy and others, *The Day America Died* is simply a study aid to condense the major arguments against the government version into an easy-to-understand overview. My purpose for writing it is to rally public opinion to investigate the lies our leaders have told us about the greatest tragedy in American history, and perhaps prevent some of the diabolical plans they have in store for us in their criminal scheme to turn our world into a militaristic police state.

It is no exaggeration to say that the future of freedom in America and throughout the world hinges on discovering the truth about the 9/11 deception. It is my sincere hope that this booklet helps in that discovery.

March 04

John Kaminski is the author of "America,s Autopsy Report," a collection of his Internet essays published by Dandelion Books. To read some more of his recent essays for free, go to <<http://www.rudemacedon.ca/kaminski/kam-index.html>>

A 64-YEAR OLD PAINTER

Star Chamber Redux

Alan Cabal

In a cold cell in Toronto, Canada, a 64 year-old painter and pacifist sits on a fat stack of trial transcripts and marks his 365th day of solitary confinement on February 19. He sits on the transcripts because his jailers will not permit him to have a chair. He has no criminal record and has not been charged with a crime, and yet he wears the same orange jumpsuit as the murderers and rapists housed elsewhere in the prison. He is not permitted to confront or cross-examine his accusers in court. The "evidence" against him includes hearsay, double-hearsay, and triple-hearsay.

The object of the exercise is to deport this man to Germany, where he faces a five-year prison sentence for the crime of "defaming the dead." This man hasn't lived in Germany since he was 19 years old, and hasn't set foot in Germany for years. He emigrated to Canada in 1958, and in the year 2000 he moved to the United States to live quietly with his American wife in the rolling hills of Tennessee. There, he painted landscapes and still life in his studio and collected rare recordings of hymns and gospel music until he was hauled off one bright morning in broad daylight at about 11:00 a.m. in front of God and everybody and whisked to Canada by American law enforcement officials. His name is Ernst Zundel, and he is the world's premier thought-criminal.

Ernst Zundel is the most widely recognized figure in the growing number of historians, both amateur and academic, questioning the veracity of orthodox accounts of the events which took place in the Nazi concentration camps during World War II, specifically with reference to the number of Jewish dead and the means by which they came to their demise. He has been put through the Canadian legal system before on this issue. In 1984 he was charged with "spreading false news" under an obscure 700 year-old law imported to Canada from England intended to deter wandering minstrels from mocking the aristocracy. It had been used only twice before in Canada. That trial was focused on a publication, "Did Six Million Really Die?" Zundel didn't write it, he merely published it, but that was enough to arouse the ire of the Jewish Lobby. That trial was in 1985 and lasted seven weeks. He lost that trial, but the verdict was overturned on appeal when it was determined that the judge was biased.

The second trial was on the same charges, but this time Ernst commissioned the forensic examination known as the Leuchter Report, which alleged that the industrial gassings of human beings so crucial to the orthodox narrative could not have happened. He lost that trial as well, but was exonerated in 1992 when Canada's Supreme Court ruled the "False News Law" unconstitutional and struck it from the books. This 4-3 ruling held that minorities have the right to state their own opinions, "even if the majority regards them as wrong or false." In 1996 the Canadian Human Rights Commission initiated a "Human Rights Tribunal" against him which dragged on for five years. This trial centered on the "Zundelsite" (www.zundelsite.org), a website based in America and owned and operated by Ingrid Rimland, an American citizen.

"Ernst was believed to be the mastermind who controlled me," she explains. "This trial's purpose was twofold: one, to bankrupt Ernst financially by stringing out the hearings, and two, to force him to shut down the website. Knowing he wouldn't and couldn't do that, the plan was to charge and imprison him with contempt of court --- in other words, criminalization by the back door to facilitate deportation to Germany.

"I flew from San Diego to Toronto in 1996 and offered on the very first day when court opened to testify that it was my website, but was not allowed to do so. We were not married then. Ernst lost that trial as well. One of the many bizarre rulings of that trial was that 'truth is not a defense' --- only the feelings of an aggrieved and threatened 'minority' count. The Tribunal ruled in 2001 against Ernst, but stated in its summary that it was a 'symbolic' ruling, since the Zundelsite was an American website and could not be shut down by Canada."

He has been physically assaulted in numerous ways on numerous occasions by thugs of various persuasions. These assaults included beatings, booby-trapped mailings, a bomb that exploded in his garage in 1984, another bomb sent through the post 11 years later, and an arson attack which destroyed his home and its contents. He has never been

convicted of a crime and in no way does he promote violence or hatred of any kind in his work. His only "crime" is that of questioning historical events.

He was arrested at his home in rural Tennessee by local authorities last year and handed over to the INS, ostensibly for missing an interview with immigration officials and "overstaying his visa." In fact, no such violation occurred. He was notified of an interview scheduled for June 12, 2001. His attorney at that time couldn't make the interview and requested a rescheduling, a routine request, routinely granted. He received no reply. The attorney sent another letter again requesting a rescheduling on May 6, 2002. Again there was no reply. Zundel's American wife, Ingrid Rimland, has the return receipt verifying that the letter was, in fact, delivered to the INS. The agency claims to have no record of either letter.

The couple never abandoned Ernst's Adjustment Of Status application, nor were they ever informed that it had been considered abandoned. They had been advised by INS that the procedure could take as long as three years, and that no status check would be allowed.

When Ernst was arrested," Ms. Rimland explains, "we were prevented from calling a lawyer. A Warrant for Deportation lay ready in Knoxville and was signed and dated after the arrest. In the room where this was done, a poster with the Star of Israel was posted prominently on the wall.

"Through the Freedom of Information Act --- some 30 pages withheld for 'security reasons' --- we found out that some coded messages had been faxed on the very day of our first scheduled appointment between US Immigration and Canadian Immigration. Who in Canada was interested in causing us grief that day? A good place to start would be to check into some non-profit outfits that are fattening themselves on the taxpayers' trough by shrieking 'Hate!' for gain.

"After Ernst was arrested, we immediately applied for habeas corpus. We were turned down by a judge within hours in a one-sentence ruling. Not even our attorney was allowed to speak up. We appealed to the Sixth Circuit Appeal Court. Within days we were turned down again, this time in a one-paragraph ruling. Through FOIA we found out that there was ex parte communication prior to this ruling between a clerk who has a Jewish-sounding name and an immigration official who was exceedingly nasty to me when I tried to find out where my husband had been taken, and why. I understand it is illegal for court officials to solicit information on a pending court case behind the judge's and the accused's backs."

On June 17, 2003, accompanied by two INS officers, Ernst Zundel was deported to Canada by plane.

"On that very day," states Ms. Rimland, "Germany swore out an arrest warrant. Why on that day? Who notified them, and on what grounds? An impartial judge could surely find out by requesting the missing FOIA pages.

"Through various documents and private sources, some at the highest levels of Germany's government, we learned that the original covert plan had been to get Ernst shipped to Germany, where 'insulting the memory of the dead' is on the books as a criminal offense, and where a 5 year sentence is a foregone conclusion for so-called 'Holocaust Denial.' Whoever masterminded this kidnapping evidently didn't know that the US always deports back to the country of entry, not to the country of nationality. Ernst ended up in Canada, and Canadian taxpayers are now stuck with the bill."

Ernst applied for political asylum on the grounds that it was a certainty that he was facing a prison term in Germany for his historical inquiries and theories. In 1992, after numerous appeals following the "Second Great Holocaust Trial", as it came to be known in revisionist circles, the highest court in Canada had ruled that Mr. Zundel had the right to speak his mind and express his views as he saw fit, "...even if the majority regards them as wrong or false," as the court phrased it.

His adversaries came up with a solution. Two Ministers of the Canadian Parliament decided that Zundel might be a "terrorist." A "Security Certificate" was sworn out. This instrument is the only method by which Ernst could be deported to Germany, as he would otherwise be fully qualified for asylum in Canada, where he lived as a law-abiding permanent resident for 42 years. The quality of the evidence presented against him often goes like this:

"Mr. Zundel allegedly had 'sporadic contacts' with a now-dead U.S. based white supremacist named William Pierce. Pierce wrote a book called 'The Turner Diaries.' Timothy McVeigh loved 'The Turner Diaries', which supposedly describes a bombing similar to the Oklahoma City bombing in 1995, for which McVeigh was convicted."

Note that the date, time, place, and nature of these "contacts" between Zundel and Pierce go unspecified, nor is there any suggestion that Zundel had anything to do with the authorship of the infamous Turner Diaries. There is no assertion or evidence that Zundel had any contact with McVeigh. It's all innuendo intended to link Zundel to violence and terrorism.

Here's a pertinent excerpt from a Factum filed with the Court of Appeal for Ontario on January 21 by Mr. Zundel's legal counsel, Peter Lindsay and Chi-Kun Shi:

"Information and/or evidence has been secretly presented to Mr. Justice Blais in the absence of Mr. Zundel and his counsel, which information and/or evidence may be used according to the Act to determine both whether Mr. Zundel should continue to be detained and whether the issuing of the Certificate was reasonable. Where secret information and/or evidence has been presented to Mr. Justice Blais, sometimes a summary has been given to Mr. Zundel and his counsel and sometimes no summary of the information and/or evidence has been made available to Mr. Zundel and his counsel, even though the information and/or evidence may be used according to the Act both to determine whether Mr. Zundel should continue to be detained and whether the issue of the Certificate is reasonable.

"It is a fundamental principle of our adversarial system that one party presents its case fully and then the other party responds, knowing the case it has to meet. What has happened in this case is that after the Minister and Solicitor General presented their case and while Mr. Zundel was in the middle of presenting his response, the Minister and Solicitor General have secretly presented more of a case against Mr. Zundel. The additional case being presented is not limited to reply evidence. It is not limited at all. The case can secretly change in any way while being responded to. Mr. Zundel and his counsel do not know if it has changed in this case. Neither does this Honorable Court. It is not an overstatement to say that this is completely contrary to the fundamental principles of our judicial system"

No other historical narrative is possessed of an orthodoxy protected by law. It is in the nature of history to be subject to review and revision. The surest way to interest people in a field of inquiry or study is to prohibit it. The "Holocaust Industry", as Norman Finkelstein dubbed it, behaves in every way like a fanatical cult. The persecution of Ernst Zundel has been and continues to be both relentless and utterly ruthless. **This most recent and ongoing episode flies in the face of a thousand years of Anglo-Saxon law.** The man may hold provocative views, but he is a committed pacifist. He is guilty only of expressing an unpopular viewpoint. For him to be held in solitary confinement without having even been charged with a crime and without bail for a year while the court proceeds against him in some hideous replica of a Star Chamber or something out of Lewis Carroll is **an affront to justice and public decency** that goes far beyond anything that Mr. Zundel has to say.

CounterPunch (Feb. 1-15). It does not seem to be featured on the CounterPuch Website... How come ?

THE HOLOWEAPON

Problems of arithmetic?

Prof. Norman G. Finkelstein Jump to bottom of message

Giuseppe Furioso

Norman G. Finkelstein of the City University of New York says:

"The Holocaust has proven to be an indispensable ideological weapon. Through its deployment, one of the world's most formidable military powers, with a horrendous human rights record, has cast itself as a 'victim' state, and the most successful ethnic group in the United States has likewise acquired victim status. Considerable benefits accrue to this specious victimhood - in particular, immunity to criticism, however justified." (Finkelstein, *The Holocaust Industry* (2000) published by Verso, p 3).

Some further quotes:

"In an authoritative study, Leonard Dinnerstein reported: Sixty thousand Jews.. walked out of the concentration camps. Within a week more than 20,000 of them had died.". But 'As it entered into negotiations with Germany [just two years ago, in 1999], the Holocaust industry demanded compensation for 135,000 still living former (camp inmates).'

On page 83 he notes that 'The Israeli Prime Minister's office recently put the number of "living Holocaust survivors" at nearly a million.'

On page 127 he further observes 'If 135,000 former Jewish slave laborers are still alive today, some 600,000 must have survived the war. That's at least a half-million more than standard estimates.. If Jews only constituted 20% of the surviving camp population and, as the Holocaust industry implies, 600,000 Jewish inmates survived the war, then fully 3 million inmates in total must have survived. By the Holocaust industry's reckoning, concentration camp conditions couldn't have been that harsh at all; in fact, one must suppose aremarkably high fertility and remarkably low mortality rate.. If, as the Holocaust industry suggests, many hundreds of thousands of Jews survived, the Final Solution couldn't have been so efficient after all - exactly what Holocaust deniers argue. (pp127-8). "The Holocaust may yet turn out to be the "greatest robbery in the history of mankind".. The Holocaust industry has clearly gone berserk.'(p 138-9).

[A Google search for 'Holocaust survivor' turns up an embarrassingly large number of 'survivors' even 50 years after the end of WW2.]

Is Finkelstein's evaluation fair?

Have a look at a typical account by one of the seemingly endless number of survivors: Olga Lengyel's *Five Chimneys: a woman survivor's true story of Auschwitz* (Granada/ Ziff-Davis, 1947, 1972).

The blurb on the cover of the book quotes the *New York Herald-Tribune*: "Passionate, tormenting". Albert Einstein, the promoter of the US construction of the bombs used at Hiroshima and Nagasaki, is quoted as offering "You have done a real service by letting the ones who are now silent and most forgotten (sic) speak."

Lengyel says:

'After June, 1943, the gas chamber was reserved exclusively for Jews and Gypsies.. Three hundred and sixty corpses every half-hour, which was all the time it took to reduce human flesh to ashes, made 720 per hour, or 17,280 corpses per twenty-four hour shift. And the ovens, with murderous efficiency, functioned day and night. However, one must also reckon the death pits, which could destroy another 8,000 cadavers a day. In round numbers, about 24,000 corpses were handled each day. An admirable production record, one that speaks well for German industry.' (Paperback edition, pp. 80-81). [No trace of any remains of or in 'death pits' has been found.]

This implies almost 100,000 corpses per four working days, or a million in 40 days, or almost six million in 240 days (eight months).

Could this claim be a misprint?

Kitty Hart, in spite of her name a Jewish survivor born in Poland, fully confirms these figures:

'Working around the clock, the four units together could dispose of about 18,000 bodies every twenty-four hours, while the open pits coped with a further 8,000 in the same period.' (p 118; Return to Auschwitz - paperback edition by Granada (1981, 1983).

According to the cover blurb, 'The subject of the award-winning Yorkshire television documentary of the same name.' 'Both engaging and harrowing.an important addition to the growing holocaust literature, very little of which conveys so courageously both the daily torment and the will to survive' - Martin Gilbert, *The Times*.

Martin Gilbert, indefatigable Jewish campaigner on behalf of the 'Holocaust' and biographer of Winston Churchill, adds to the rich flavour and makes his own numerical claims, certainly not without *chutzpah*:

In his book *Auschwitz and the Allies* (1981) he states:

'The deliberate attempt to destroy systematically all of Europe's Jews was unsuspected in the spring and early summer of 1942: the very period during which it was at its most intense, and during which hundreds of thousands of Jews were being gassed every day at Belzec, Chelmo, Sobibor and Treblinka.' (p.26).

If we assume a minimum figure of 200,000 per day, this amounts to say a million for a five-day working week, or 6 million in six weeks, and this does not include the awe-inspiring claims for Auschwitz put forward by Hart and Lengyel with Gilbert's blessing.

Could Finkelstein be wrong? Could the 6 million figure itself be a shocking underestimate of the dramatically LARGER Jewish holocaust implied by the figures given by Lengyel, Hart and Gilbert? Could all the Zionist apologists who beat the Holocaust drum every week be themselves in denial of this far more enormous catastrophe? Could the

millions of Jews who have publically manifested themselves in large numbers in certain roles in America during the second half of the 20th century be examples of miraculous biblical-type resurrections from the dead or some other type of rematerialisation?

Or are we simply dealing here with the usual mendacious atrocity stories concocted to support dubious agendas ?

29 Feb 2004

PEOPLE'S OPIUM

Hong Kong and The Sassoon Opium Wars

"The 99 year British lease on Hong Kong expired in July allowing the Red Chinese to take over. Hundreds of newspaper stories and TV reports have covered this event but not one revealed how England first gained control of Hong Kong! The truth lies buried in the family line of David Sassoon, "The Rothschilds of The Far East," and their monopoly over the opium trade. Britain won Hong Kong by launching the opium Wars to give the Sassoons exclusive rights to drug an entire nation!

"David Sassoon was born in Baghdad, Iran in 1792. His father, Saleh Sassoon, was a wealthy banker and the treasurer to Ahmet Pasha, the governor of Baghdad. (Thus making him the "court Jew" - a highly influential position.) In 1829 Ahmet was overthrown due to corruption and the Sassoon family fled to Bombay, India. This was the strategic trade route to interior India and the gateway to the Far East. In a brief time the British government granted Sassoon "monopoly rights" to all manufacture of cotton goods, silk and most important of all - Opium - then the most addictive drug in the world!

"The Jewish Encyclopedia of 1905, states that Sassoon expanded his opium trade into China and Japan. He placed his eight sons in charge of the various major opium exchanges in China. According to the 1944 Jewish Encyclopedia: "He employed only Jews in his business, and wherever he sent them he built synagogues and schools for them. He imported whole families of fellow Jews. . . and put them to work."

"Sassoon's sons were busy pushing this mind-destroying drug in Canton, China. Between 1830 - 1831 they trafficked 18,956 chests of opium earning millions of dollars. Part of the profits went to Queen Victoria and the British government. In the year 1836 the trade increased to over 30,000 chests and drug addiction in coastal cities became endemic.

"In 1839, the Manchu Emperor ordered that it be stopped. He named the Commissioner of Canton, Lin Tse-hsu, to lead a campaign against opium. Lin seized 2,000 chests of Sassoon opium and threw it into the river. An outraged David Sassoon demanded that Great Britain retaliate. Thus, the Opium Wars began with the British Army fighting as mercenaries of the Sassoons. They attacked cities and blockaded ports. The Chinese Army, decimated by 10 years of rampant opium addiction, proved no match for the British Army. The war ended in 1839 with the signing of "The Treaty of Nanking." This included provisions especially designed to guarantee the Sassoons the right to enslave an entire population with opium. The "peace treaty" included these provisions: "1) Full legalization of the opium trade in China, 2) compensation from the opium stockpiles confiscated by Lin of 2 million pounds, 3) territorial sovereignty for the British Crown over several designated offshore islands.

" Sassoon's Use British Army to Drug An Entire Nation

British Prime Minister Palmerston wrote Crown Commissiner Captain Charles Elliot that the treaty didn't go far enough. He said it should have been rejected out of hand because: "After all, our naval power is so strong that we can tell the Emperor what we mean to hold rather than what he would cede. We must demand the admission of opium into interior China as an article of lawful commerce and increase the indemnity payments and British access to several additional Chinese ports." Thus, China not only had to pay Sassoon the cost of his dumped opium but reimburse England an unheard sum of 21 million pounds for the cost of the war!

"This gave the Sassoon's monopoly rights to distribute opium in port cities. However, even this was not good enough and Sassoon demanded the right to sell opium throughout the nation. The Manchus resisted and the British Army again attacked in the "Second Opium War fought 1858 - 1860. Palmerston declared that all of interior China must be open for uninterrupted opium traffic. The British suffered a defeat at the Taku

Forts in June 1859 when sailors, ordered to seize the forts, were run aground in the mud-choked harbor. Several hundred were killed or captured. An enraged Palmerston said: "We shall teach such a lesson to these perfidious hordes that the name of Europe will hereafter be a passport of fear."

"In October, the British besieged Peking. When the city fell, British commander Lord Elgin, ordered the temples and other sacred shrines in the city sacked and burned to the ground as a show of Britain's absolute contempt for the Chinese. In the new "Peace Treaty" of Oct.25, 1860, the British were assigned rights to vastly expanded opium trade covering seven-eighths of China, which brought in over 20 million pounds in 1864 alone. In that year, the Sassoons imported 58,681 chests of opium and by 1880 it had skyrocketed to 105,508 chests making the Sassoons the richest Jews in the world. England was given the Hong Kong peninsula as a colony and large sections of Amoy, Canton, Foochow, Ningpo and Shanghai. The Sassoons were now licensing opium dens in each British occupied area with large fees being collected by their Jewish agents. Sassoon would not allow any other race to engage in "the Jews' business." However, the British government would not allow any opium to be imported into Europe!

"Sassoon "Monopoly Rights" Wrecked Lancashire - England's Textile Industry - Made Roosevelt Wealthy

Sir Albert Sassoon, the eldest of David Sassoon's sons took over the family "business" empire. He constructed huge textile mills in Bombay to pay slave labor wages. This expansion continued after World War One and ended up putting mills in Lancashire, England out of business with thousands losing their jobs. This did not stop Queen Victoria from having Albert knighted in 1872. Solomon Sassoon moved to Hong Kong and ran the family business there until his death in 1894. Later, the entire family moved to England because with modern communications they could operate their financial empire from their luxurious estates in London. They socialized with royalty and Edward Albert. Sassoon married Aline Caroline de Rothschild in 1887 which linked their fortune with that of the Rothschilds. The Queen also had Edward knighted. All 14 of the grandsons of David Sassoon were made officers during World War One and thus most were able to avoid combat.

"Franklin D. Roosevelt's fortune was inherited from his maternal grandfather Warren Delano. In 1830 he was a senior partner of Russell & Company. It was their merchant fleet which carried Sassoon's opium to China and returned with tea. Warren Delano moved to Newburgh, N.Y. In 1851 his daughter Sara Married a well-born neighbor, James Roosevelt - the father of Franklin Delano Roosevelt. He always knew the origin of the family fortune but refused to discuss it.

" The Sassoon opium trade brought death and destruction to millions and still plagues Asia to this day. Their company was totally operated by Jews ONLY!

"The corrupt British monarchy honored them with privilege and knighthood - to the disgrace of the Crown! To this day the Sassoons are in the history books as "great developers" of India but the source of their vast wealth is never mentioned!"

Quoted in

<http://www.dissidentvoice.org/Articles9/Engel_Jew-and-Me.htm>

INTELLECTUAL AGONY OF JUDAISM

Hatred as a sales gimmick

A critic of Sefi Rachelevsky's heralded book about the ultra-Orthodox, *The Messiah's Donkey*, says it lacks intellectual integrity and contains outright lies

By Eliezer Don-Yehiya

Sefi Rachelevsky's recent book, *The Messiah's Donkey*, seeks to solve a mystery for which the author has been unable to come up with a rational explanation: the fervent support of religious Israelis for the right and for the right's candidate for prime minister. According to Rachelevsky, the source and motive for the attitude of religious Jews toward the left are to be found not in the political or social sphere but rather in the realms of mysticism and the kabbala. This fact, he says, is reflected in the very nature of the religious community's attitude toward the right.

In Rachelevsky's view, the attitude of the religious community as a whole toward the left is characterized by deep, total hatred: the members of the left are perceived by the religious not only as political rivals but also as the representatives of the forces of evil in this world. The sources of this thinking are the demonization of the concept of "the left" in kabbalistic literature and the extension of this demonization to include today's Israeli left in the wake of the rise of the kabbala's status in the religious community here.

This inimical attitude toward the left is augmented by the extreme hostility expressed in traditional Jewish sources towards Amalek and other enemies of the people of Israel. The upshot of this approach is that the religious Jewish community can never live in peaceful coexistence with the left.

Under this theory, at a certain stage the left can be used to help complete economic and security-related tasks in paving the road to the eventual redemption of the Jewish people; however, once it has accomplished the necessary objectives, the left must descend from the stage of history, because its very existence contradicts the concept of national-religious salvation.

It is incredibly easy to refute Rachelevsky's thesis. There is no connection whatsoever between the kabbalistic concept of "the left" and the position of the religious Jewish community vis-a-vis the political left in Israel today. The kabbala's impact on this community is far less than what the author would have us believe, and the impact of radical messianism on religious Zionists is on the decline. The animosity toward the left in the religious camp is not total and also can be explained rationally, principally by the fact that the forces of militant secularism can be found primarily on the left.

The Messiah's Donkey is a fraud. Its author is unfamiliar with the bulk of the literature on the topic he has chosen. He fails to present solid evidence for his arguments, which are, for the main part, based on deliberate distortions of fact, on half-truths, on superficial analysis and on sweeping generalizations. I shall present here a few illustrations that clearly demonstrate the author's approach and methods.

Rachelevsky holds the entire religious Jewish community responsible for the assassination of Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin. Although he is not to first to come out with such an accusation, Rachelevsky has this distinction: He does not establish a connection between the assassination and the response of both the religious community and the right to the Oslo agreements. In his opinion, the very rise of the left under Rabin enraged the religious community. He points the finger of blame at religious Israelis not for their participation in demonstrations organized against the peace agreements but rather for their having prayed for Rabin's elimination and for their feelings of thanksgiving, after the assassination, over the fact that their dream had come true. Rachelevsky cites the "fact" that "many religious Jews in Israel" omitted the prayer for the State of Israel from the Sabbath morning services or else altered it. Actually, only a few isolated individuals and synagogues made any changes in the prayer. However, they did so only to protest the Oslo agreements, but not to express any bitterness over the rise of the left, as the author alleges. Furthermore, their behavior and the behavior of the religious Jewish community in Israel in general in no way indicated a desire to see their prime minister assassinated.

Rachelevsky claims that, when news of Rabin's assassination became known, "the congregants in many synagogues danced for joy... and in some synagogues, the prayer of thanksgiving (birkat hagomel) was recited, as were other prayers for the supposed deliverance of the Jewish people from a great danger. There were those who prayed that a similar fate would befall "all of the enemies of the people of Israel." Characteristically, he presents no evidence for this baseless accusation.

The innovative element in this book is the mystical-ritualistic dimension that is assigned to the Rabin assassination. According to Rachelevsky, Yitzhak Rabin's death is the modern-day version of the Biblical story of Isaac (whose name in the original Hebrew is also Yitzhak) and the assassin was the messenger of the religious Jewish community, whose members regarded the reenactment of the Biblical episode to be a righteous act.

In line with that approach, the assassination is but one stage in the process of the Jewish people's redemption and in the eradication of the political left: "Like its leader, Yitzhak/Isaac, the left must be sacrificed on the altar at the hands of the triumphant right." It is difficult to counter such arguments, just as it is difficult to talk any sense into people who still believe that Jews ritually use the blood of Christian children in the baking of Passover matzot.

Similarly, one detects traces of ancient blood libels in Rachelevsky's claim that ultra-Orthodox Jews support the right because the right is the champion of war and they want war, which offers a wonderful opportunity for the shedding of the blood of their hated enemies, the secularist free-thinkers. In Rachelevsky's view, "the rabbis of the modern

period interpreted the thinking of the Hazon Ish (a noted rabbinical authority) to mean that the possibility of the left ushering in a new era of peace constituted a real danger and that every effort must be made to ensure that the right remain in power. Thus, peace will continue to be elusive and the wicked secularists will continue to die in the defense of Israel's borders and will not be able to do harm to the members of the ultra-Orthodox Jewish community.

This claim is contradicted by the fact that the leaders of the Lithuanian branch of Israel's ultra-Orthodox Jewish community, and especially Rabbi Shach, who are the disciples of the Hazon Ish, tend to be dovish on matters of defense and foreign affairs. Rachelevsky reconciles this contradiction with the explanation that the dovishness of the Lithuanian leaders stems from their desire to prevent anything that could possibly endanger the lives and well-being of Jews living in the Holy Land. The claim that the ultra-Orthodox prefer war-mongering is also refuted by the words of Rabbi Shach himself, who, in 1984, said the following in a public address: "Jews sit in houses of study and recite psalms to ensure the safe deliverance of the soldiers in the field. These Jews pray from the depths of their heart: 'Dear God, we beseech You to save these soldiers, to shield them from bullets, to preserve their lives and to let them return safe and sound to their homes.'"

Despite this statement, Rachelevsky seeks to portray Rabbi Shach as someone who feels no pain over the blood shed by secularist Israeli Jews, especially if they are also leftists: "In the eyes of Rabbi Shach, the left in Israel is worse than the Nazi Amalekite left... Thus Rabbi Shach has issued a rabbinical ruling according to which a Jewish leftist may be considered to be a persecutor of the Jewish people and to be under an automatic death sentence as far as Jewish law is concerned..."

There is a plethora of false and absurd arguments in this book, and it does not deserve to be regarded as a serious study. Rachelevsky carefully selects only those facts that can back up his bogus arguments. For example, he quotes extremists in the religious camp, choosing to ignore the moderates.

Some critics have compared this book to the *Protocols of the Elders of Zion*. In point of fact, *The Messiah's Donkey* is far worse. The author of the *Protocols* accuses the Jews of wanting to take control of the entire world. Although the *Protocols* and this book are fraudulent forgeries purporting to delineate a conspiracy that is a fraudulent forgery in itself, Rachelevsky goes one step further: He claims that the ultra-Orthodox are not satisfied with just exercising total control of the left but rather that they have no compunctions about the blood shed by the members of the left and are continually plotting to liquidate them. Thus, *The Messiah's Donkey* belongs in the category of virulent anti-Semitic writings.

This book does not deserve to be given any serious attention or to be critiqued. However, I felt that I had to speak out against it for two reasons. First of all, **it is published by Israel's most widely circulated newspapers**, which is bending over backward in its sales promotion for the book. In addition to its other "virtues," the book is poorly and sloppily written, with an abundance of syntactical errors. Apparently, the newspaper publishing *The Messiah's Donkey* believes that hatred, especially hatred of the ultra-Orthodox, helps sell books.

The second reason is the glowing comments that have come from authors and media personalities who are considered to be intellectuals, including poet Nathan Zach, critic Yoram Bronowsky and journalists Yaron London and Orit Shohat. According to these individuals, **all means are legitimate in the holy war being waged against the ultra-Orthodox**. They like the idea presented in the book of an "iron wall" that should be set up in order to prevent the ultra-Orthodox from achieving their evil goals. Among the tough measures he proposes for dealing with the ultra-Orthodox community, Rachelevsky includes termination of its autonomous status and an end to government funding for state religious schools. His supporters are so enthusiastic about such ideas that they are willing to overlook the grave defects of this book: its lack of intellectual honesty, its outright lies and its sweeping and utterly baseless generalizations.

The writer, Eliezer Don-Yehiya, is a professor of political science at Bar-Ilan University. 1998 Ha'aretz.. *The Jerusalem Post*, October 8 1998.

ON THE SAME BOOK

A twisted religion - or a twisted view

By **Herb Keinon**

(September 23) - Secular Jews are nothing but donkeys, doing the dirty work of the religious in the grand plan to bring the Messiah. That's the view that author Seffi Rachlevsky ascribes to much of the national-religious camp in his controversial new book. [...]

But Jewish philosopher Eliezer Schweid is less sanguine. "There is a secular public that is very thirsty for these types of books," Schweid says. "It increases their zealous warring against the religious.

"This is all part of the culture war. A large part of the secular public is afraid of haredim and Orthodoxy. I can't say that there are not good reasons for this, and that the haredim or Gush Emunim are all pure. There are dangers within them, and messianists within them that threaten democracy. But within the framework of this argument, I would not cast aspersion on all religious Zionism, and all Judaism."

That, Schweid implies, is exactly what Rachlevsky has done.

This new messianic brand of religion that is influencing everyone, from the NRP to Shas and the haredim, has deep roots in the writings of **Rabbi Avraham Yitzhak Hacohen Kook**, Rachlevsky writes.

He maintains that the writings of **Kook** are not as liberal and humanistic as they have been portrayed over the years. Indeed, he argues, the contrary is true.

"According to Rabbi **Kook**, the secular population is the donkey of the messiah. After the secular population has built the material state, the turn of the religious will come to take power and create the spiritual-messianic state," he writes.

In other words, Rachlevsky interprets **Kook** as believing the secular are nothing but tools to do the dirty work necessary to bring about the redemption.

Rachlevsky then goes on to describe how this plan is being put into effect, and how the students and disciples of **Kook** have essentially created an **avant-garde who believe in his messianic theology**, and are working actively to implement it.

Mercaz Harav, the Jerusalem yeshiva that is the bastion of Kookian philosophy, has given clear "**mystical and kabbalistic explanations** to topical events," Rachlevsky writes.

"In their view, we are in the days of the messiah. Disasters such as the Holocaust are the birth pangs of the messiah. The existence of the State of Israel is the beginning of the messianic state and beginning of fulfillment of the Divine promise.

"There is an explanation of the dominance of the secular, which on the face of it presents a serious problem. The secular have a role in that they help speed up the redemption, and through their sins perform political mitzvot that even they are unaware of.

"The religious Zionist youth have an important role in riding the donkey. The religious youth need to influence, direct and lead the donkey - the material state that was built by the secular hands."

Kook's teachings, Rachlevsky maintains, have evolved into what is essentially a program to translate this messianic blueprint into practice.

"Within a short time, the teaching of **Kook** swept up the majority of religious Zionist youth, both in faith and in deeds. Their parents came a little later, and with them the political representatives in the Knesset.

"Within a decade [from 1967], **Rabbi Kook's** outlook was the dominant one among the majority of those in the religious Zionist camp. **The old religious Zionism**, believing in enlightenment, democracy, socialism and cooperation with Western values, **disappeared from the map.**"

This presentation of **Kook's** philosophy, says Schweid, whose books on modern Jewish thought are standard texts in Jewish philosophy courses around the country, is a distortion "that verges on incitement." He says that the idea that [are] hidden in **Kook's**

thought is a plan for the religious to take over the state sounds like something from the *Protocols of the Elders of Zion*.

"I only read what was published about the book earlier in the summer in the media, and no more," Schweid says. "And I don't intend to read any more. There is something very disgraceful about this, something that borders on incitement. "I won't say that what he writes does not have any anchor in the texts, but you can come to these conclusions when you take anything out of context, and interpret it in a one-dimensional manner."

Schweid, an Israel Prize winner for his studies in Jewish thought and his efforts as founder of the Kerem Institute, which trains teachers in a "Jewish-humanistic framework," says Rachlevsky's characterization of the secular as donkeys in **Kook's** thought is a distortion.

"If he said this was the feeling of some of **Rabbi Kook's** more zealous students, I would say some of that may be true," he says. "But to attribute that to **Rabbi Kook** is slanderous."

Schweid says that **Kook**, rather than viewing the secular as a mere instrument, actually wrote that the early pioneers were doing acts more holy than even those of many of the Torah-observant. Rachlevsky, Schweid says, has ignored the dialectic in **Kook's** thought, and presented it a completely one-sided fashion. Though Schweid agrees that messianic fervor has gripped the religious community since 1967, he maintains that the same messianism exists among many secular Jews as well.

"Many of those who warn against religious messianists are themselves secular messianists," Schweid argues. "They speak in terms of democracy, an adoration of liberal democracy," and a complete rejection of anything that contradicts their view of what democracy is.

"I don't want to say that I don't have very grave concerns, and sharp criticism - I think there is much room for criticism of religious Zionist movements today," he continues. "But you have to distinguish between criticism, which deals with things as they are, and defamation. The question is whether the author is representing the phenomena, the ideology and roots as they are, or in a distorted fashion."

Tamar Ross, who teaches the philosophy of **Kook** both at Bar-Ilan University and Midreshet Lindenbaum, a women's yeshiva in Jerusalem, says it is "off the wall" to view **Kook's** philosophy as exploitative.

"I think that **Rabbi Kook** had a genuine respect for the power of secularism, and saw some value in it that the religious community should adopt," Ross says. "He did not see the secular in a totally utilitarian matter - as part of a 'use-them-and-get-rid-of-them' theme."

Although Ross, who is familiar with Rachlevsky's book only through the press, says that the author's presentation of **Kook's** view seemed "warped," she does say there is "a basic flaw in the way **Rabbi Kook** relates to the secular public that a person who espouses pluralism in our generation would reasonably object to.

"The bottom line," Ross says, "is that **Rabbi Kook** is not prepared to see secularism as the secular see it, and regard it as it is per se. Rather, he views it as part of a larger scheme. He is certainly not a modern pluralist who allows for every opinion, who sees another person's opinion as the other person sees it, and for whom every opinion is given equal weight and respect. But, on the other hand, Rachlevsky implies that the philosophy is exploitative, which is totally off the wall." [...]

<<http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/2527/press35.htm>>

THE BEST AND THE DUMMEST

Schwarzie and the Kennedys

By Ernst Zündel

One of the most important political events in recent decades in America has been the election of Arnold Schwarzenegger. This typical rags-to-riches "American phenomenon" is like a political weather vane.

The Germans trust a weather vane - a rooster in gold leaf that sits on top of the highest church steeple, glistening in the sun. It indicates what kind of weather is coming.

A weather vane is an often-used metaphor in political writing, [depicting an] analysis of trends and forecasting the future direction of events, developments etc. The weather vane, for instance, [signaled a political change] in Europe when the Americans, egged on by Israel's Fifth Column, agitated for war against Iraq, and the Europeans went into a different, actually almost opposite direction.

Arnold "The Terminator" Schwarzenegger, son of an SS man, will be California's next governor. Arnold [turned into] one of the most dedicated body builders because he had good role models, even having spoken well of Hitler in his younger days - well enough that the ADL and the Wiesenthal Centers' ever-vigilant rabbis took note of it. They opened up a dirt file on Arnold in which they recorded such episodes as "groping" and "cavorting with groupies", for in the body-building circles a certain kind of female is to be found as a camp follower, much like around rock bands and rock stars. Arnold's future enemies took note of that - 25 years ago!

That in itself is a very interesting commentary and also an important insight into how these people work. They find potential gentile leaders rivals to their power - considering them threats long before the individual himself realizes that he has potential for leadership. It certainly happened to me!

Arnold was a physical fitness buff - one could call him a devotee or even a fanatic. There was no physical fitness training in Austria or Germany at the time he grew up because that would have been suspect of being "neo-Nazi". There were also not yet the modern gyms or health clubs, which in America and Canada were started in the seventies. I was fully alert to these developments and followed the trends closely. I did hear of Schwarzenegger decades ago!

That Arnold the well-built "superman" would have his share of female conquests, one-night stands and wild flings as a young body builder is a foregone conclusion. In the old, more puritan America, it could have proved a political liability. That's why the material was in the Jewish dirt files. After Clinton's dalliance with Monica Lewinsky in the White House Oval office - cigar, blue dress and all - Arnold's capers were tame, boys' locker room stuff.

You bet his father would have had many discussions with his strapping son as they were having lunch or supper back in his boyhood in Austria, of what life had been like under National Socialism, in the SS, on the Eastern Front etc. I could almost recreate for you the script, for I have spoken to, visited and reminisced with many SS men. Some were French, Division Charlemagne, Luxenbourg'ish, Latvian, Dutch and Estonian, Rumanian and Hungarian, Wallonian like Leon Degrelle or Flamish like Andre von Hecke - and, of course, German. Not one SS man I met was stupid! Not one of them was fooled - in other words, "re-educated"! They all had their instincts preserved and led productive, useful lives. Not a single SS man I ever met was a loser! Not one!

You can be certain that an Austrian SS man, a war veteran, would have been friends with other SS men and Wehrmacht veterans, and you can be equally sure that they would socialize. You can bet that a young, inquisitive [boy] would soak in their warrior talk, tales of heroic exploits, as the cigarette smoke filled the room, the beer flowed freely, and the talk grew more boisterous. I would not be surprised if at the end of the evening, or after Sunday dinner, when they would bring their wives and children along, there would not be a fellow with an accordion. They would have a sing-along, and the old war songs, including "Die Fahne hoch", the anthem of the national socialist movement, would be sung with joy and gusto.

As I have myself been told and experienced it after dozens of meetings, talks, demonstrations in my later years with these Wehrmacht or SS veterans, there was a magical sense of communion and comradeship that was infectious and electrifying to all

present. They became united by the music sung from memory, all saying and singing the same words and tunes. They did not have to give speeches or hand out flyers or handbills. They had lived and breathed this kind of life in wartime when feelings were heightened and impressions deep because all of them knew that they better live and enjoy life, comradeship and community to the absolute fullest - because today, this moment counted. Tomorrow they might well be dead.

Only a generation who lived through and experienced war can comprehend this sense of total belonging, this being enveloped in the cocoon of one's own ethnic community, one's folk community. We, the descendents of those men and women in the postwar era lived and absorbed that feeling organically. Those who were born into families of higher officials, bureaucrats, or even officers of the old system learned more, and things were made clearer to them than to the sons of simple peasants and lumberjacks like myself. I had to come to Canada and America and absorb the higher principles [of folkish cohesion] through book learning that others learned at their father's knees. It is important for us to understand this Schwarzenegger "snail house."

It is interesting that, once again, the Jewish side knows this and has always known this phenomenon, not only about Schwarzenegger but other Germans and Austrians of the "transition generation", for we are the organic, unbroken genetic link to the past - our people's past since the dawn of time. That's why the Jewish side made that series of interviews with the "Children of Famous Nazis." What they did not mention, of course, was that many of these children's fathers were killed by the Allies AFTER the war, and thus those children could not learn by osmosis. They merely regurgitated what they had learned in Allied Occupation schools. [They also forgot to mention that] some very famous "Nazi children" did not appear in the disgusting series because they obviously refused to denounce their famous fathers [by refusing to become] useful tools for Jewish propaganda.

Say what you will about our adversaries, they have one fine instinct and radar for potential trouble and possess those organizational structures in place to track and compromise an individual and remove an opponent - if need be, destroy him by scandal, maim, injure or cripple him by a well-aimed bullet to the spine as they did to Alabama Governor Wallace, or magically have the plane he flies in fall out of the sky, whether it's a Korean airliner over Stockholm or a private plane on a campaign trail in America.

That young Arnold would be attracted to America by reading all these glossy muscle-builder magazines was a foregone conclusion. That he would come to America and end up in California was as predictable as the "amen" after a prayer in Church on Sunday.

Enter the Kennedy-Shriver connection. As Arnold's fame spread with his successful movie career and he developed a loyal following of movie fans, becoming very wealthy in the process, other forces who matter in America took notice, for certain dynasties in American power elite circles are much like European and ancient aristocratic circles and dynasties. They try to choose partners and arrange marriages for their offspring by putting the right young people in their paths at the opportune times for nature to take its course. The Kennedy-Shriver clans are well-known for this practice. The marriage of Senator Jack Kennedy to the lovely, graceful Jacqueline Bouvier, connected to the aristocratic Radziwills, was no fortunate accident - it was by design, and I would bet money on it: neither was the encounter between Maria Shriver and Arnold Schwarzenegger an accident of fate or mere coincidence. Suffice it to say that the handsome and glamorous as well as, by then, well-to-do Arnold Schwarzenegger, the Austrian immigrant, was soon a frequent guest at the Kennedy-Shriver hangouts and family gatherings.

Once Arnold was married and taken into the fold by the Kennedy-Shrivers, a new round of "Table Talks", [augmented] with even more intimate and, therefore, more memorable talks about Kennedy secrets, which few outsiders will ever know about, would have taken place in Arnold's life. One of my mentors and, in his day, well-connected and influential Jewish businessman-turned-Catholic-convert, Benjamin Freedman, told me some of these Kennedy secrets. Freedman knew these secrets, for he too was often a house guest of the elder Kennedy, the US Ambassador to England in the late '30s and early '40s.

Freedman knew Joseph Kennedy, because he had at one time been the secretary of Henry Morgenthau Sr., an influential power broker during the Wilson era, 1914-1918. Freedman told me that he was present as a typist, writer and courier between the Wilson government and Bernard Baruch, also known as the uncrowned King of the Jews, in Washington, D.C. and New York City when the negotiations between Wilson, Baruch and British-Jewish circles took place to bring America into the First World War on the side of England - [negotiations that] ended up in the infamous Balfour Declaration, the direct and indirect cause of so much bloodshed and upheaval in the last century. Freedman even

told me the hotel room number and the stationary this stuff was written on by him in New York and Washington.

Bernard Baruch was prominent; in fact he was the de facto leader of the US negotiators in Versailles after the war was over during those disastrous-for-the-Europeans and especially the Germans and their new Republican government and its delegation negotiations in Versailles. You can be sure that Benjamin Freedman, who did share that with me [these details] in an interview in a New York City Park Avenue apartment with a tape recorder running, would also have brought up these topics at the dinner table with Ambassador Kennedy at Hyannisport, or sitting at the fireplace politely sipping a brandy!

Benjamin Freedman was the first person, to my knowledge, to expose the conversion of the Khazar Empire of King Bulan in the year 800 or 820 after Christ in a book called "Facts are Facts", a copy of which he gave me personally. Since Freedman was a millionaire, he could afford to travel widely. He spent considerable time at the Vatican Library after his conversion, to research not only the Khazar Conversion, but also the outbreak, origins and, above all, the reasons why the First World War was brought about, and why Germany had to be and was ultimately defeated by the Allied Powers. [Incidentally], Freedman also investigated the origins of the words "Jew", "Jewish" etc. in the teachings of the Christian religion, especially when these words were introduced to Christendom at large.

Freedman was a very lucid thinker who had a rare talent to impart knowledge. I was introduced to him - I believe it was in 1969 - by the late Rabbi Elmer Berger of the American Council for Judaism, whose president or leading figure he was. Both Rabbi Berger and Benjamin Freedman lived to a ripe old age - well into the 80s for Berger. Mr. Freedman died in his middle 90s in full control of his faculties. He was one of the few people who can truly be called "saintly." Rabbi Berger, too, was gentle and soft-spoken, courteous and lucid in his arguments. He also gave me a number of the American Council for Judaism magazines called "Issues", in which he had published some remarkable, revelatory articles about the Jewish permeation of Communism in Soviet Russia in the 1960s, by none other than Toronto's famous conservative columnist, Peter Worthington.

Worthington was then, or had been at least for many years, the European and later the Moscow correspondent for the now-defunct "Toronto Telegram". Worthington did not realize the deep connection the owners of the "Telegram" had with the Jewish community in Canada when they refused to publish his Jewish/Communist-Connection articles. Somehow Rabbi Berger ended up publishing several of them in his magazine "Issues". Berger gave me these articles, along with a suggestion that I should try to meet with and talk to Peter Worthington for more information, which I did. I believe Worthington's daughter went on to marry the son of Barbara Frum who interviewed me several times at length for the CBC "As It Happens" programs - and that is the David Frum who wrote President Bush's famous "Axis of Evil" speech after the 9/11 attacks! It's a small world.

I am telling you all this from memory to give you some historical context and to show you that my contacts and sources were, and are, extensive, reach into high echelons, and can be verified.

Meanwhile, Benjamin Freedman continued as a guest of the Kennedys, and Senator Kennedy would have lunch with him. Most of the times he would come to New York, usually alone. The topic was usually Jewish Power. The close-knit Kennedy clan, being outgoing Irish Catholics, would be voluble and jovial, one might assume, in private gatherings. Ambassador Kennedy was what is called in modern parlance "clued in" - he knew what intrigues went on behind the scenes in Washington, who was who, who had the real power, who was blackmailing whom with the latest liaisons, who had been and could be bribed and do favors.

For instance, the well-known American political personality, one-time Navy Secretary James Forrestal, published [a telling vignette about Ambassador Kennedy] in his book, "The Forrestal Diaries":

"I had lunch today with Ambassador Joseph Kennedy [in London] who confided to me Chamberlain had said that had it not been for the constant needling from Washington and the pressure of World Jewry, England would not have gone to war" - [Quoted from memory]

After Joseph Kennedy Sr. was unceremoniously recalled - some call it dumped - from London for giving Roosevelt's administration such reports they did not want to hear, the elder Kennedy would smart from this [snub] for the rest of his life, and [one assumes] that his sons would be told about that event, one of the most dramatic and also publicly humiliating events in the Kennedy history. You can equally bet that the subject came up, once Arnold was taken into the fold and the Kennedys felt comfortable with him around. That's how families operate - the rich and famous are no different from poor families in this

respect. That's what binds and propels dynasties. Healthy family units are like a living organism.

There is this shallow, tabloid-driven suggestion that there is a "Kennedy Curse" on the Kennedys because of the disasters and sorrows which have befallen that family. No doubt their outgoing and extremely physical-fitness and competitive sports-enjoying lifestyles have been responsible for deadly skiing accidents and even possibly plane crashes. But people can be absolutely sure that there were forces at work in America's behind the scenes of ruling power elites who, realizing the elder Kennedy's political insights, and knowledge where many of the skeletons were buried thanks to people like Benjamin Freedman and Rabbi Berger, these forces felt not only uneasy but threatened by the goals of that dynasty.

The Kennedy clan had enough independent wealth and their own networks of information and connections. They did pose a threat to those who really pull the strings and often call the shots in the corridors of power. These are hardly ever people who are "elected". [These invisible powers] elect people to control them by various means, like dirt files and campaign contributions, media influence etc. They are not interested in cheap titles or shingles on the door in a corridor in the Senate or Congress. Real Power lies outside these places.

The Senate and Congress are, of course, important as the stepping stones into executive positions and convenient launching pads for men with presidential ambitions, as are governorships and ranks in the Army, along with war hero status. But the controllers of America's destiny must have had many a sleepless night as they saw the elder Kennedy move his self-reliant, personal-wealth-empowered children into positions where they could cause grief to the plans and aspirations of those other forces and power centers already wielding decisive power. Their worst fears were confirmed when the young, handsome and wildly popular John F. Kennedy was elected president, having beating their stalking horse, the consummate Texas politician, Lyndon Baines Johnson, in the primaries - who, it was clear from many indications [such as] news articles, was the choice of the military-industrial complex and the people associated with it.

Joseph Kennedy and his network of friends and backers knew that they, the Christian Irish Catholics, had an uphill battle on their hands, and that however handsome and charismatic the Kennedys were, their victory was far from certain. They needed Johnson's political machine in the Southern states - and that is how Lyndon Johnson became vice-president, for no other reason. It was a marriage of convenience, at best. That was clear to most pundits with knowledge of American political reality of the 1960s. No love was lost between the Kennedy camp and the Johnson camp.

When John Kennedy picked his brother Bobby Kennedy as Attorney General of the US, with Teddy waiting in the wings, it became even more clear that the Kennedy ascendancy to power was not a change of administration but a "regime change", to use a modern neo-con phrase. Investigations began [almost immediately] by the new Attorney General into the activities of the mob, which would sooner or later threaten Johnson or at least men who were part of his power base. More shock waves of seismic proportions were causing serious tremors and were registering on America's political Richter Scale.

Contrary to all the rumors you may have heard since the assassination of the young president, he was no friend of Israel, and even less a supporter of their aggressive military ambitions in the Middle East. He stated that over and over again to his father's and family friend, Benjamin Freedman. Yet he was a politician, and he ended up making compromises, such as during the famous "Hawk Missiles for Israel" controversy. Kennedy did not believe the Israelis needed them as a defense against Egypt and told them so - but in the end, worn down by media and lobby groups, he gave in. Israel promptly stationed these missiles in the Negev Desert to protect their nuclear armament center at Dimona - whereas American Intelligence services had told Kennedy the missiles were destined for Dimona.

A furious Kennedy denied any more high tech or other military hardware to Israel, which in turn angered domestic Jewish and Israeli power circles. You can be certain that the elder Kennedy and his son, the US Attorney General, investigated and found out who murdered JFK. I am certain that one day papers will be released that the Kennedys knew within months who had organized the conspiracy to kill the President.

JFK was assassinated on Lyndon Johnson's home turf, and the sluice gates of weaponry and good will toward Israel by Johnson were opened wide. Now Israel received American manna from heaven! The biggest indicator of this dramatic change can be seen in the handling of the USS Liberty crisis, an electronic surveillance ship clearly flying the US flag. It was attacked by Israeli jets and ships. The US Navy dispatched aircraft-carrier-

launched jets to intercept the Israelis, but these jets were recalled on direct orders from the White House before arriving at the USS Liberty to protect it.

President Kennedy's brother, Bobby, took steps to reclaim his brother's legacy and had every chance of becoming President, partly on a sympathy vote. Those responsible knew that, with Bobby in the White House as President, their days would be numbered and that the history of the world would take a different direction, because the Kennedys, according to what Freedman told me, wanted to contain the Israelis and end the war in Vietnam. Bobby Kennedy drew huge crowds of anti-Vietnam [protestors] already. America's military/industrial complex oligarchy were standing to lose hundreds of billions of dollars in funding and military spending in future years to be made on a no-win war in Asia and by arming Israel to the teeth as their pit bull [to be] unleashed against anyone threatening US oil supplies. He was struck down by an assassin's bullet as well.

Teddy Kennedy was quickly neutralized by sordid sex and the death at Chappaquittick scandal, and the Kennedy challenge to the Powers behind the Power was derailed.

Arnold Schwarzenegger would have been privy to this background information, and there are indications early on that Arnold and Maria were figures on the chess board of the Kennedy-Shriver players. The first signs came when Arnold paid protection money to the keepers of the dirt file on him. He apologized for his father being in the SS - ludicrous, of course, but it's the Rite-of-Passage, the Kosher Seal of Approval, such as the rabbis give in America to supermarket products like Saran Wrap or Aluminum Foil. Arnold would not have needed a stamp of approval, had he continued to be a body builder or a mere "Terminator" actor. He only needed it for a public role - like NOW. A political career.

Somebody guided him well! He was carefully groomed, did all the right things, said all the right words, endorsed all the right liberal, typically "hip" California causes and issues. He proclaimed himself a Republican. His wife is a long-time Democrat like all the Kennedys. What emerged is a bipartisan couple appealing to Democrats and Republicans [alike].

In fact, 31% of the people who voted for Arnold Schwarzenegger were Democrats - an amazing percentage! He won 52% of the White vote, 17% of the Black vote, 31% of the Hispanic vote - with an Hispanic running! He was one candidate out of 135 candidates running - and although no professional politician with relatively little organization, he pulled fully 49% of the votes cast in what can only be termed a Blitzkrieg - a lightning war campaign which would have made his SS man father proud - and undoubtedly sent cold shivers up and down the spines of the rabbis at the Simon Wiesenthal Center, not to mention the sinister, no-sense-of-humor guys, the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai Brith.

Overlooked in the Arnold "The Terminator" Schwarzenegger mania was the result of another very important American poll published the very week (Newsweek, 10-28-03, p 29) of Arnold's landslide victory. Only 27% of Americans said they trusted their government "to do what's right". and an absolutely astonishing 70% agreed that the political system is so controlled by special interest groups and by partisanship that it cannot respond to the country's real needs. One might call these statistics pre-revolutionary.

One thing almost lost in all this excitement is the fact that a sitting, reigning governor of one of the most important states of America has been deposed. A professional politician was trounced by a rank amateur in an act almost amounting to regicide - the killing of a king!

President Bush's ratings are plummeting, while Arnold's are rising. The Terminator? These two words alone should send goose bumps all over the bodies of the power elite, for Arnold Schwarzenegger could well terminate the old order of things in a moribund America.

May "The Force" be with him. I hope and pray that he is being well-guarded, that no "Kennedy Curse" will befall him - for those who want to retain their power play for keeps. They don't curse their enemies. They have terminated more than one Kennedy before Arnold.

Exciting and scary times are upon us. The struggle for the heart, mind but especially the soul of what is still good and decent in America has started with the "kid from Austria" - the European SS man's son!

From a letter written in jail. Zgram - November 2, 2003 / Zundelsite

HOW THE ZIONISTS PLUNDER HOLOCAUST VICTIMS

Holocaust panel vilified

By Jay **Bushinsky**

Jerusalem - Aging Holocaust survivors, many in their late 70s and 80s, are complaining they suffer from poverty, insufficient medical care and inadequate housing while the organization that is supposed to care for them sponsors costly educational projects and pays its executives lavish salaries. The criticisms are directed at the Conference on Jewish Material Claims Against Germany, which consists of 24 Jewish organizations based in the United States and other countries. It funnels money allocated by the German government to Jews who were interned in Nazi death camps, were confined to ghettos and suffered religious and racial persecution during World War II. The claims conference, as it is popularly known, has financial reserves that exceed \$1 billion, according to experts.

The sum not only includes the constant and punctual flow of funds from the Berlin government, but also money from dormant Swiss bank accounts whose original owners perished in the Holocaust and life-insurance policies never redeemed by their beneficiaries. At the modest office of the Organization of Former Prisoners of the Nazis in downtown Jerusalem, Yosef Fuchs laughed when asked how much money he receives from the claims conference. He said it deposits 810 euros (\$945) in his bank account every three months. "You cannot exist on that money," he said. Mr. Fuchs' plight mirrors that of an estimated half-million Holocaust survivors, most of whom no longer have gainful employment and many of whom face the prospect of geriatric care that they cannot afford.

It applies to wartime death camp inmates, ghetto resistance fighters and slave laborers around the world, including in the United States. The American survivors' bitterness and disappointment was expressed by Leo Rechter, executive director of the National Association of Jewish Holocaust Survivors. Addressing a U.S. Jewish community session on services rendered to Holocaust survivors, Mr. Rechter said: "U.S. soldiers who were prisoners in Iraq for 10 days are received as heroes, but survivors who were enslaved for years are treated like second-class citizens or mendicants." Israel Singer, president of the claims conference, justified its manifold activities beyond the transfer of payments to individual survivors, although he conceded that "the cultural activities must take second place to their needs."

He said it was essential to act against "Holocaust denial" in Germany and to promote "informational projects" around the world. Mr. Singer stressed that he waived salary or other compensation for the work he does on behalf of the claims conference. He declined to comment on salaries paid to several of its top executives - \$360,000 annually in one case. He also declined to discuss legal fees: \$600,000 to an American attorney for services rendered. "Those are the salaries in American-Jewish life," he said. Mr. Rechter said the priority given to education and cultural efforts is too high. "A \$50,000 sponsorship of a symposium or other nonessential project could have paid for at least 4,000 hours of home care," he said. Referring to the "critical needs" of aging survivors, Mr. Rechter said thousands are "lying alone, plagued with their memories of their horrific sufferings during the Nazi years and racked with despair how the world is abandoning them once again."

There are an estimated 500,000 Holocaust survivors worldwide of whom 127,000 to 145,000 live in the United States. A resolution passed May 1, 2003, by the California State Assembly states that "many are elderly and infirm and some are living in abject poverty and lacking basic needs including food, shelter and medical care." The ultimate anomaly cited by the claims conference's critics here and abroad is its relatively vast financial resources compared to its parsimonious monthly allocations. "Its distribution system is an utter failure," a senior Israeli official said, contending that "thousands of survivors do not receive enough money, medical treatment, food or shelter."

Martin Stern, whose grandparents died in Auschwitz after being deported from Czechoslovakia in 1944, referred to the claims conference's demand that it be granted ownership of 98,417 properties in former East Germany that had no legally confirmed claimants when the communist regime collapsed. Ultimately, it gained possession of 8,089 of them, which were defined as having been "expropriated" from their original Jewish owners by the Nazis. "This turned the claims conference into reunified Germany's biggest single real estate owner," Mr. Stern said. He also assailed the claims conference for having enlarged its treasury with the failure to return most of the dormant Swiss bank accounts to

their rightful heirs. "The Swiss bank audit, the most expensive audit in history, resulted in over 353,000 names of potential account holders," Mr. Stern wrote in the Jerusalem Post. "But, of these, barely 21,000 were listed in the Internet following acrobatic compromises." He pointed out that the amount set aside in the settlement by the Swiss banks to pay bank account holders was \$800 million.

Describing a similar case of dealing with Holocaust victims' unclaimed life insurance policies by companies that had and still have headquarters in Switzerland, France, Italy, Germany, Holland and Austria among others, Mr. Stern laments the fact that the unpaid benefits accrue to the claims conference. Critics say \$40 million in administrative expenses by the International Commission of Holocaust Era Insurance Claims (ICHEIC) is excessive. ICHEIC was set up in 1998 by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, the World Jewish Congress, Israel and several European insurance companies that issued policies to European Jews destined to perish in the Holocaust: Assicurazioni Generali, AXA, Alliance, Swiss Life and Winterthur Versicherung. A recent congressional hearing on its activities was told that its operations cost \$58 million to date, while its disbursements to claimants of these policies was \$36 million. It "makes deals without any intention of giving the money that accrues to anyone, but just to get into its own coffers," Mr. Stern said.

The Washington Times, October 21, 2003
<<http://washingtontimes.com/world/20031021-094006-7878r.htm>>

NUTS AND BOLTS

Letter to the *California Aggie* (serving the Davies Un. community since 1915)

Dear Sir or Madame,

I take due note of the article in the *Aggie* implying that Walter Mueller of *Community News* is incorrect and that the revisionists (or "Holocaust Deniers" if you prefer) are mistaken in their principal contentions.

That being the case, perhaps you will print the following at your earliest possible convenience:

- a) an autopsy report proving that one single concentration camp inmate ever died as the result of inhalation of cyanide gas;
- b) an engineering report proving that the structures alleged to have functioned as "homicidal gas chambers" could in fact have functioned as such;
- c) a chemical study proving that the evaporation rate and properties of Zyklon were such as to permit the gassing procedures described by so-called "eyewitnesses";
- d) an engineering report proving the possibility of the alleged cremation procedures;
- d) a diagram of the alleged "gas chamber" at Auschwitz II showing the exact location of the "Zyklon introduction holes" in the roof which apparently never existed;
- e) a series of other engineering and chemical reports sufficiently refuting the questions raised by Faurisson, Butz, Leuchter, Rudolf, Ball, Berg, Mattogno, Graf, Irving, Weber, Crowell, Walendy, and many other people far more knowledgeable and better qualified than either Walter Mueller or myself.

Awaiting your reply with interest, I remain,
Faithfully,

Carlos W. PORTER
e-mail: lpf@swing.be (28 Feb. 2004)

There are many, many more articles about Iraqi casualties, and these surely don't even scratch the surface of what's happening in Iraq. For a complete list of media reports of civilian casualties in Iraq thru February 2004, see <http://www.iraqbodycount.net>.

To sign up for the Occupation Watch Center's weekly email bulletin, go to <http://www.occupationwatch.org/email.php>

The **American TERROR** in Iraq is chronicled here: <http://www.albasrah.net/>

Understanding Jewish Influence : Zionism and the Internal Dynamics of Judaism, Professor Kevin MacDonald
Go to original: http://theoccidentalquarterly.com/vol3no3/km-understandII.html#_edn38
Kevin MacDonald is Professor of Psychology, California State University —Long Beach, and the author of a trilogy on Judaism as an evolutionary strategy: *A People That Shall Dwell Alone* (1994), *Separation and its Discontents* (1998), and *The Culture of Critique* (1998), all published by Praeger 1994-1998.

We have recently put online a pdf format book by extreme-right Zionist author Chaim Simons, *A Historical Survey of Proposals to Transfer Arabs from Palestine, 1895-1947*, seen from a expulsionist and genocidal point of view. See

<http://aaargh-international.org/fran/livres/livres4/simons.pdf>

But seen from the Palestinian point of view, the story appears under different colors: *Expulsion of the Palestinians : The Concept of "Transfer" in Zionist Political Thought, 1882 -1948*, by Nur Masalha, Institute for Palestine Studies, ISBN 0-88728-235-0, 1992, 235 p. 25 \$

Abstract

In this meticulous work, based almost entirely on Hebrew archival material, Nur Masalha examines the Zionist concept of "transfer," or the expulsion of the Palestinian population to neighboring Arab lands. Masalha establishes the extent to which "transfer" was embraced by the highest levels of Zionist leadership, including virtually all the Founding Fathers of the Israeli state. This book was originally written and published by the IPS in English.

The author is an Israeli-Arab and a graduate of the Hebrew University, Jerusalem. He has taught at London University and Bristol University and is a fellow at the Center for Middle Eastern and Islamic Studies at Durham University in the United Kingdom.

Sold at

<http://palestine-studies.org/final/en/cart/add.php?id=388&action=add>

+++++

<http://www.albasrah.net/>

American terror in Iraq

+++++

This site contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available in our efforts to advance understanding of environmental, political, human rights, economic, democracy, scientific, and social justice issues, etc. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes. For more information go to: <http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.shtml>. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner.

OTHER AAARGH MONTHLY PUBLICATIONS

El Paso del Ebro

<<http://elpasodelebro.100megas.com>>

Das kausale Nexusblatt

<<http://de.geocities.com/kausalenexusblatt>>

Il Resto del Siculo

<<http://ilrestodelsiculo.spaziofree.net>>

La Gazette du Golfe et des banlieues (multilingual)

<<http://ggb.0catch.com>>

Conseils de Révision

<<http://conseilsderevision.tripod.com>>