



Is Christianity Bankrupt?

Predicts a powerful renewal of Christianity

"It can truly be said that Christian civilization was suddenly put on hold by external agents, foreign to its own body, parasitic and paralyzing agents. None of its power has been lost in the physical constraint made to its momentum and self-expansion; on the contrary, the compression applied to it gives it an explosive force that will manifest, at a certain moment, with a dynamism difficult to imagine."

For the first time, in English.

Adrien Arcand Books - Free Edition

**Is
Christianity
Bankrupt?**



ADRIEN ARCAND



Is Christianity Bankrupt?

Two Talks: May-June 1954

Copyright © 6 November 2021 Adrien Arcand Books
All rights reserved.

ISBN: 978-1-989418-31-4 (Electronic Book: PDF)

ISBN: 978-1-989418-34-5 (Electronic Book: EPUB)

The image of the Arcand family home at Lanoraie, in the front of this eBook, was taken from an August 2011 rotating snapshot by Google Street View <<https://bit.ly/3B6dNuU>>. According to *Arcand ou... la vérité retrouvée* by David Philipps, 2002, p. 649, the house was a gift from an old friend who admired Adrien Arcand. He first came to live there upon release from the Canadian concentration camps in 1945.

Book design by Adrien Arcand Books.
100% Canadian product and content on
world government, communism, and
the Jewish question.

A QUEBEC HERITAGE WEB SITE

www.AdrienArcandBooks.com

www.AdrienArcandBooks.net



In Memory of the Years at Lanoraie



FEATURED QUOTATION

The essentially Christian notion of sanctifying grace, or sharing in divine life, creates an entirely new spiritual vision while producing a sensation of inner life never before known. The human soul is no longer contained, fixed and immobile, in a simple vision of bliss or nirvana, but propelled to impetuous movement of hectic life whose dynamism has no bounds.

— Adrien Arcand, *Is Christianity Bankrupt?* (1954)



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This is the first edition of *Is Christianity Bankrupt?*
by Adrien Arcand Books, in downloadable *digital* format.

The French original used for this English translation was published at Montreal by *Service canadien de librairie* in 1954. Our English translation was made from a digital scan taken at the *Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Québec* (BAnQ) on 16 January 2018 of *Le Christianisme a-t-il fait faillite?* produced as a limited edition of 100. Bar code: BNQ 000 177 332

Un gros merci! to Nicolas Chouinard, *Technicien en évaluation* (Evaluation technician) with *LBP Leroux Beaudry Picard*, in Berthierville (Québec), who confirmed that the photo from Google Street View does indeed represent 329 *rue Aqueduc*. The Lanoraie home address was found in both the French and English-language obituaries of Adrien Arcand published in *La Presse* on August 3rd, and the *Montreal Gazette* on August 4th, 1967.

CONTENTS

Translator's Introduction	i-iii
1. Preamble	1
2. Destiny: to Be Born and to Die	3
3. Civilization, Culture, Worship	5
4. Always a Religious Origin	7
5. "Pre-mortal" and "post-Christian" Phases	9
6. The Root and Trunk of the Tree	12
7. External Delaying Factor	14
8. The Facts, the Men	17
9. The Authority of Human Law	19
10. The Same Basic Facts	21
11. The Chosen and the Goyim	23
12. A Change of Vision	25
13. Backwash of the Crusades	27
14. The One Secret	29
15. Revolution in Action	31
16. The Sacred Motto	33
17. Two Merged Aspects	36
18. Judaization through the Reformation	38
19. Expansion of the Sect	41
20. Bonaparte and Hitler	44
21. Fanatic the Other Way	47
22. The Useless War	51
24. Common Front of the Lodges	54
25. Which Oath Predominates?	57
26. Zionism, an Old Movement	60
27. Global Program	63

28.	Eliminate Christ from the Globe	67
29.	Power of the High Bank	69
30.	Pagan Sexology	72
31.	The Putrefiers at Work	76
32.	Implacable Logic	80
33.	Vice, Product of Virtue!	82
34.	Contradicting Revelation	85
35.	<i>“Good Transformism”</i>	88
36.	Only Two Stages	91
37.	The Dynamism Propelling all Fields	94
38.	We Haven’t Seen Anything Yet	97
39.	Evidence and Truth	100
40.	Universal Materialization	102
41.	Two Creeds in Conflict	105
42.	Conditions of Unity	108
43.	Contrary Beatitudes	111
44.	What Nationalism Is	114
45.	Collapse of Liberalism	115
46.	Disunity of the Christians	121
47.	The True “Crusade”	123
48.	The Orders are Inverted	126
49.	Preparation of the Leaders	129
50.	Death and Rebirth	132
	About the Author	i
	More Titles	iii



In Memory of the Years at Lanoraie

IS
CHRISTIANITY
BANKRUPT?



OUR DUTY GIVEN THE FACTS

Two Talks: May-June 1954

ADRIEN ARCAND BOOKS

Exclusive English Translations





IS CHRISTIANITY BANKRUPT?

Adrien Arcand's Magnificent Defence of the West

A COMPANION VOLUME TO
THE UNIVERSAL REPUBLIC





TRANSLATOR'S INTRODUCTION

In this long talk over two evenings in Montreal in 1954, Adrien Arcand describes the origin and lifespan of cultures and civilizations while comparing the views of Arnold Toynbee with those of Oswald Spengler. Arcand then asks whether Christian culture and civilization are in their "pre-mortal" phase and are doomed to pass, like the civilizations before them.

Arcand answers *No*, because the lifespan of Christian civilization has merely been interrupted in its natural growth and ascent by an "outside delaying factor". He triumphantly predicts an ultimate victory of Christianity, for:

"None of its power has been lost in the physical constraint made to its momentum and self-expansion; on the contrary, the compression applied to it gives it an explosive force that will manifest, at a certain moment, with a dynamism difficult to imagine".

Arcand exposes the "triangle" responsible for this delay: Freemasonry, Marxism and Zionism, infiltrated into the great Christian framework. He traces Freemasonry as we know it to a quite different source than often assumed. It is not the honest Masonry of the great cathedral builders, but a facade taken on by the Knights Templar. Driven underground when crushed by King Philip the Fair of France and condemned by Pope Clement V in the early 14th Century, the Templars continued to survive in secret. "When Freemasonry appeared," says Arcand, "in the 16th, 17th and 18th centuries, it was mainly a question (as it is today) of the Temple and the Templars."

And these, he explains, originate in Palestine “in the backwash of the first Crusade”. Their sect is “entirely Jewish,” its “calendar is the Jewish calendar; its ritual, its passwords, the majority of its titles and appellations are Jewish, its symbology is Jewish from beginning to end.”

Arcand once again exposes the 33rd-degree oath of the highest degree of Scottish Rite Freemasonry as an oath to achieve all the ideals and goals of Communism, itself controlled by Talmudic Judaism. He reasserts what he establishes in other works of his, the fact that Communism is the final product of Liberalism, which impossibly puts Truth and Error on the same footing, with equal respect.

Masonry, like Zionism, like Communism, is a real global network that “can operate simultaneously everywhere”. These three forces are primed toward the same Zionist goal, a Universal Republic under a World Government. The ultimate force, the “deciding power” that owns them all is the Jewish High Bank or gold bank (Arcand’s “Church of the Golden Calf”) which is using the trio in a merciless attack to disintegrate the Christian world.



Is Christianity Bankrupt? demonstrates Adrien Arcand’s deep Roman Catholicism, his profound knowledge of scripture and doctrine, the sweeping breadth of his general knowledge, his intellectual prowess and prodigious culture.

These two lectures form an obvious companion volume to *The Universal Republic*, published four years earlier, in 1950.

It is my honor and pleasure to give you Adrien Arcand at his best, in a message of warning and of hope for the modern world from

INTRODUCTION

nearly seventy years ago; a message of counsel and direction to the leaders who must wage the final battle in defense of the West. A battle already underway.

— Adrien Arcand Books
Montreal, 1 November 2021

IS CHRISTIANITY BANKRUPT?

“The discomfort anguishing the world today”



PREAMBLE

The *Comité Montréalais de Recherches Sociales*¹ has invited me to inaugurate the series of lectures it intends to offer to the public that its kind of research may interest. It is a perilous honor whose difficulties I do not underestimate, knowing that others will follow me, on this rostrum or another, to put forward different ideas and points of view.

Whatever the result of the debate undertaken by the Committee, I believe that overall it will have beneficial effects, useful for society, if only by drawing the attention of a greater number to the crucial problem of our time: the death or survival of our Christian civilization.

**“Is the discomfort anguishing the world today
intended? Our duty given the facts.”**

I do not believe that the *Comité Montréalais de Recherches Sociales* could submit to its speakers a more important and more fascinating topic, at least for those who realize the seriousness of the times and are worried about the future. I will stick strictly to the question asked, as well as to the purpose for which I have been invited, according to the quite reasonable requirements of the Committee. But

I will also completely ignore the taboos that a certain amount of social terrorism increasingly impose, and I will speak the truth as my research has shown it to me, within the very fair latitude the Committee has granted me.

To tell the truth nowadays is a privilege that is quite expensive and a sport that carries many risks. But those who consent to pay the price and take the risks, however great and hard they may be, receive in return a "commodity" that has true value: real freedom and the peace it confers.

"Is the discomfort anguishing the world today intended?" If intended, it is very important to know by whom. If it is not intended, it is equally important to find out the cause.

There is no doubt that all humanity is witnessing a shock that has had no parallel since the collapse of the proud and majestic Roman Empire, bearer of the Olympian civilization, generally called Greco-Latin. Other empires before it, vaster and more powerful, mainly in Asia, had collapsed, provoking among the human masses greater perturbations and more profound changes.

However, taking account of the dimensions concerned and of the modern means of influence, the mere shaking of our Western civilization gives rise to more devastating disturbances and more resounding commotion than the combined collapses of all preceding civilizations.



DESTINY: TO BE BORN AND TO DIE

What has been happening on our planet for two centuries, the great thinker Oswald Spengler tried to explain in his magnificent attempt at a morphology of history, comparing the stages of our civilization with those of others which preceded it. He concluded as to decline, the pre-mortal phase of our civilization. It was no surprise to hear the other schools cry historical pessimism.

However, Spengler had only applied to civilizations the law of destiny that governs men and requires all to have a conception, a birth, a childhood, a youth, an adolescence, a maturity, a senility and a death. This law of destiny is universal and immutable for all that has life, in the normal course of events. When a tree is struck to death by an epidemic in its youth or when it is felled by a woodcutter in its maturity, this does not change the fate of the surrounding trees, a fate that only fortuitous and external circumstances can interrupt.

All that comes from men necessarily carries in itself the limit and destiny of men. The great civilizations that preceded ours and which historical research causes us to know ever better, have all followed the Spenglerian cycle, from the embryonic state to decay and death. The agitated and violent convulsions which accompanied each death were by no means the cause; they were, for the expiring civilizations, only the counterpart of the physiological movements observed in individual human agonies. Of himself, man can produce nothing which is above man or which has characteristics different from those of man, even in the civilizations born of his activ-

ity.

Few words are more abused than those of culture and civilization. We restrict and regionalize them, adapt them to all the short views, even to simple objects. This is obviously due to the complaint of our times, when the value of terms and the meaning of abstract words have fallen into painful confusion.



CIVILIZATION, CULTURE, WORSHIP

Every civilization constitutes the physical body, the observable externalization and the tangible implementation of a spiritual manifestation. This is called culture. The whole of an era in the life of men is in the image of men: it has a soul and a body. If culture represents the whole of the movements and works of the soul of a great human collectivity, civilization represents its external manifestations in the physical world: literature, theology, liturgy, philosophy and art, fine arts, theater, legislation and jurisprudence, public morality, social customs, civic behavior, politics, uses of war, etc.

From age to age, there is a continuity of human practices: hunting, fishing, fire production, cultivation of the soil, weaving, construction of houses, the manufacture of weapons, the making of domestic utensils, the erection of palisades or defenses; but all of this has nothing to do with a culture or the civilization it engenders; it is pure fellahism that meets the basic needs of the human animal, a primary activity quite independent of the spiritual or intellectual concerns of the human being. If, from civilization to civilization, there are differences of style even in the primary activities of fellahism — this unchangeable routine of rusticity ever subject to the seasons, to the elements, at all times and in all places — these differences are imparted solely by the change of inspiration, a spiritual element that accompanies any change in culture.

There has never been a civilization without culture. There has never been a culture that was not based on worship. And, in whatever way we examine it, we must take this word worship in its

most metaphysical sense and its most religious significance. At all times, as even the most primitive and rude cults have shown, man has been haunted by the mystery and origin of life, this life that he had received and could transmit but that he did not give to himself. Man has always been aware that he could not create life, that he was neither the arbiter nor the master. He has always been concerned to seek the origin and the reason for life in something external and superior to himself, in something capable of wanting and creating life. Being alone in nature, a person, an entity able to think and say *ego, me*, he always sought his origin in another ego, another person who did not have the limits and weaknesses of man. From as far back as prehistoric times, we have had to wait until our own time to see this spectacle of men seeking the origin of their ego in something inferior to themselves and trying to explain mind by the play of matter.



ALWAYS A RELIGIOUS ORIGIN

The history of all cultures up to our own is but a succession of different religious cults, a long and nostalgic search for the divinity who knows the mystery of life, its origin, its reason and its purpose. It is the story of an immensely varied series of ways to pay tribute to the accepted deity, to communicate with it, to accomplish what was believed to be its will.

Some cults have never been able to produce a sustainable culture; some cultures have never produced an extensible and influential civilization. But we do not find a single civilization that has not rested on the foundation of a strong, and striking religious idea claiming to establish the relationship between man and divinity.

At the origin of any great civilization, there is therefore a religious cult, the external manifestation of that which exists in the depths of every normal human being: the sense of the sacred. That this sacred has taken one form or another, a unique or a plural personality, matters little in our time. The observation is enough.

According to the image that peoples fashioned for themselves of the divinity, according to the essence of this divinity, according to the messages which they claimed to have received from it, they developed a religious code. This religious code necessarily conditioned their way of behaving, molded their intimate life, their family life, their social life. This religious code inevitably became the root from which their liturgy, their philosophy, their ethics, their legislation, their legends and their arts, their aspirations, their social customs, their relations with the foreigner, arose. Their cult

engendered their culture and this was manifested in their civilization. We had to make it to our twentieth century, in the Soviet empire and in our West, to hear say of a culture without a cult, with the same aberration as if one wanted to found a humanism without humans or a deism without a deity. We will return to this subject in a few moments.

The great civilizations that preceded ours had the fate of man himself: to be born, to grow up, to mature, to grow old and to die. They were therefore essentially human, marked by the seal of man, of his limits, and like man who originated them, they had to have a beginning and an end.

But ours, this Western Christian civilization — which I prefer to call the Gothic civilization² — has it the same human characteristics, and will it suffer the same fate? Is it marked with the human seal, does it have a human origin and human limits?

In other words, does the Christian religious idea that forms the foundation of the whole of Western culture and its civilization bear within itself the seeds of its own destruction? And is it correct to repeat, in so many circles, that we are witnessing the natural agony of a civilization? That, like the cults that preceded it, “Christianity has had its day”? Questions of such colossal significance, related to the question posed by the *Comité Montréalais de Recherches Sociales* require answers.



“PRE-MORTAL” AND “POST-CHRISTIAN” PHASES

The great civilizations of the past disappeared when the religious substance that had served as the seed of their culture was exhausted. Wars, with their migrations and infiltrations, their destruction and territorial changes, have never cut down a civilization. The spirit has always led man; and a sword could never decapitate an idea. When a civilization died, it was always of its own insufficiency, after exploiting all the possibilities of its culture; after the original religious idea, which served as its vital spark, had devoured all its dogmatic fibres and become incapable of offering a new or more complete hope to the human masses still anguished by the problem of life and death. And as there is no vacuum in the “spiritual world” of man, any more than in his physical world, a new religious idea has always arisen to replace the one that disappeared, exactly as a social or political authority springs up automatically to replace the fallen one. Thus the Christian idea, the bearer of a supreme message of inner pacification and new hope, slipped into the masses gradually as the Olympian idea, emptied, inert and desiccated, crumbled in the heart of the disenchanting multitudes. The sword and torture, which they wanted to substitute for the depleted spiritual sap, to maintain the Olympian idea, could not revive the latter nor weaken the new. The Roman Caesars of the Decadence offer to the Caesars of our time the most striking demonstration that an idea, and especially a religious idea, cannot succumb to blows of physical violence. Only

an idea superior in quality can kill it.

In order to speak of the “bankruptcy of Christianity” in the world, one must necessarily suppose that the Christian idea, arriving at decay, has been emptied of its substance, that its dogma long ago exceeded its optimum limit, that it can no longer absorb the nourishment which, in the past, produced the conditions of its energy and vitality.

The two most brilliant — or most famous — historians of our time have each given their version. Oswald Spengler, accused of pessimism, concluded that we are in decline, in the pre-mortal stage of our civilization. Even if he had thought otherwise on account of the Christian faith, he had to be consistent with his law of destiny. Besides, to answer the requirements of modern academism, which seeks material evidence rather than Truth, he had to appear rather “objective”, “impartial”, “depersonalized”, to respect its taboos. He had to content himself with appreciating the surface facts, attributing them to the multitude among whom these facts were generated, while ignoring the possibilities of external or parasitic influences.

Arnold Toynbee, catalogued under historical optimism, and whose propaganda will speak to us more and more, goes much faster and further than his German colleague. In a truly sensational *tour de force*, he “demonstrates” that two centuries ago (the French Revolution), the Christian era ended and we have entered a new era. It is too bad he doesn’t propose a revision of the calendar to the Jacobin and ask us at the same time to replace the term “*Anno Domini*” by “*Anno synagogo*”! Optimist Toynbee announces, as the one condition of universal salvation, the necessity of a world super-government, spoken of by the Zionist, Masonic and Marxist propagandists long before him. We were not surprised to see the Rockefeller Foundation, so full of a world religion and a universal government for humanity, hurrying to finance the publication of

Toynbee's optimism. Likewise, the Foundation had thought it must finance the classification of the papers of our late W. L. Mackenzie King, who advocated the development of a new "scientific" religion to unify mankind.



THE ROOT AND TRUNK OF THE TREE

To find out whether Spengler or Toynbee was right, we must ask ourselves whether Christianity has really exhausted its inner substance, whether its dogma has ceased to be young and expansive, whether its moral postulates have degenerated, whether its fundamental idea has sterilized the feasibility of proselytism, of joyful acceptance of renunciation, persecution and martyrdom, whether a stronger religious idea has arisen to compete for the adherence of minds.

When we talk about Christianity in the whole of the twenty centuries it spans, we have especially in mind the image called Roman Catholicism, which forms the root and trunk of the tree, with a continuity impossible to doubt. Many lateral branches have formed on this multiseular trunk; some fell long ago, others withered, others became anemic, producing fewer and fewer leaves, but the primitive trunk still is there, standing and vigorous, conqueror of all the dead storms unleashed against it. Its inner substance is more abundant than in any previous epoch; its dogmatics, whose commentaries have barely touched its surface, are more luxuriant than ever before; its morality has remained constant in its incitement to heroism and personal self-denial; its proselytism is more than ever accentuated by tribulation; its acceptance of torture and martyrdom is more enthusiastic than in its early days. The idea which competes for the loyalty of men is not even a stronger religious idea; it is, on the contrary, a total and unequivocal negation of all religion, of all spirituality, of all divinity, with the resulting moral and social disorder.

Proceeding against Christianity with wild hatred and brutality, communism — which forms the sum and culmination of all the anti-evangelical fury unleashed for twenty centuries — shreds with hysterical sadism all the outward manifestations of Western culture. Physical violence, coercion, terrorism are its only arguments, its only counter-statement.

The personality and dignity of the human being; the natural right to choose and to possess; family bonds, national sentiment, personal or collective self-determination, racial awareness, social identity, moral equality, all this is ruthlessly swept away with ever mounting violence, the louder Christianity proclaims it. This is very consistent with the fundamental negations of communism: negations of God, of a spiritual world, of a human soul, of an after-life. One can even say that the leaders of the communist countries are more logical with their *nil* principle than our Western rulers are with the principles they feel obliged to proclaim to obtain the support of the Christian peoples.

Our modern world is thus placed in an absolutely unprecedented situation, unheard-of in any other past civilization. The situation of a religious idea stronger and more dynamic than at its origin, with a rapidly degenerating culture, a swiftly animalizing morality, and a civilization vast portions of which suddenly collapse into the abyss of an anti-civilization.



EXTERNAL DELAYING FACTOR

Since Christianity has not exhausted its inner substance and has lost none of its dogmatic dynamism, since a stronger religious idea is not competing for the political orientation of the culture, by what exceptional phenomenon can such a state of affairs be explained, the first ever noted in human annals? And when the question is posed, it encompasses not only those countries sunk in the abyss, but all those outside the Iron Curtain, our Western countries which have led the world with their law, their peoples (Christian), their international ethics (Christian), and all of which today, without exception, including our own, are already quite advanced in the stage of pre-communism.

I devoted many years of my life to finding the answer to this question. And I could find only one. Which is that Christian civilization, at the very moment when it attained the summit in all domains, after the intense inner life and prodigious spiritual gestation of the Middle Ages, abruptly underwent an abatement which suddenly braked the momentum of its vertical ascent.

Non-Christians, in the very heart of Christian society, took over the leadership and controls, either by agents won to their projects, or directly by the material power of the non-Christians themselves. And since then, we have seen non-Christianity, or even civil anti-Christianity, preside over the orientation of the religiously and ethically Christian masses. This antinomy, this opposition of two different polarities, is the only explanation for what is happening today: a tormented society, led as it is at one and the same time by the anti-Christian postulates of its external masters and the Chris-

tian truths of its inward beliefs, is distraught from the spiritual conflict in its soul.

The poor human masses cannot be blamed, for they are only sad victims, like the weak and too-loving Madeleine. The anti-Christian enemy, if he must be feared and defended against, is also not the real culprit, since he follows the road to which he committed himself through blindness or some other cause. Is not the great culprit, the great prostitute, the Christian "elites" of the eighteenth century, nobility at their head, who for money or ambition offered the swift rape of their soul to the rationalism presented to them by the old anti-Christian echo from the flanks of Golgotha? And what about the moneyed, ambitious "elites" who have succeeded them to the present day?

It can truly be said that Christian civilization was suddenly put on hold by external agents, foreign to its own body, parasitic and paralyzing agents. None of its power has been lost in the physical constraint made to its momentum and self-expansion; on the contrary, the compression applied to it gives it an explosive force that will manifest, at a certain moment, with a dynamism difficult to imagine.

The march of our Western culture in the modern world has been disoriented by the effect of an outside parasite, foreign to its culture. The result is not degeneration by senility, but a product of distortion, disfigurement, temporary abeyance.

In any conflict where the human masses are at stake, whatever the hazards of the great physical shocks, it is always the strongest idea, the most just, which prevails. The life of peoples, like the life of men, is affirmative: a code of negations will never be able to subjugate it, especially the code that wants to force man to believe that he has no soul and no other status but that of an animal. Physical violence may exert its fury, but a strong idea will be ever

stronger. And the strong idea will always be the idea of Love, essentially creative.

The open struggle undertaken by the forces of hatred two centuries ago against the civilization of Love has now reached global proportions, an ecumenical dimension, and nothing can prevent its supreme and decisive phase. So we perceive from all corners of the world a heavy and overwhelming anguish, like a moral agony, at the same time as an agitation and noises of panic in particular districts. If Love suffers anguish, it is always hatred that experiences panic and attempts to spread it; for Love believes and hopes, while hate is negative and sinks in despair. Striking examples have been given all throughout these two centuries of open warfare.

Our contemporary world is regulated, first and foremost, by advertising and publicity, the most basic stages of influence on the mind before the stage of terrorism arrives commanding the animal instinct of survival. Prior to the Second World War, one could still speak of the more noble word propaganda; before the First World War, it was still possible to speak of public opinion. Today, the manufacturing of opinion is a gigantic industry. This industry revolves around the axiom launched only fifty years ago: "A lie repeated a thousand times ends up being accepted as truth"; and falsehood has become an art of great finesse and flexibility, rid of the gross brutality of the past, embellished by all the seductions of the many modern means of presentation. All the major means of presentation are connected, directly or indirectly, forming a vast network that circles the earth, so that a global campaign to steer minds one way or another is a daily possibility.



THE FACTS, THE MEN

This massive and intensive manufacturing of opinion is always intended to veil something: the reality behind the facts. It sketches only the surface of the story, accenting the superficial, overlooking the true causes of events. It is a basic truth that nothing that happens in the human world comes from any other cause than the action of human beings. But in the manufacturing of opinion, this truth must be carefully muted. As well, the major publicity influential on brains only talks about facts and things, it never mentions the men who are the immediate cause and even less the motives that animate them. The colossal brain-kneading machine will tell us, for example: "The Stock Exchange is collapsing" without revealing who is making it collapse, and why; or "Events are moving fast," while not disclosing the human personalities precipitating them; or "That country decided such-and-such a thing," without identifying those in the wings who have an interest in and who influenced that decision; or "The cost of living is rising," without explaining the human actions that contributed to it; or "The law of supply and demand is causing a market slide", without naming the human agents who maneuvered the slide.

There is one thing that we forget too often and is right at the source of all that happens in our world. And that is to identify men with the ideas they hold and the goals they pursue. In the colossal battle delivered to Christianity by anti-Christianity, nothing is neutral. During the great persecutions, the great schisms, the religious wars, on both sides of the barricade there was a candor and a sense of honor which drove each one to take frank and precise positions.

Today, all is only trickery, perfidy and hypocrisy. The anti-Christians of Moscow avail themselves of Christ to present him as a revolutionary and a socialist. The most furious anti-papists torture the encyclicals to extract deformations they can use to promote their sophisms. For the unlettered masses, this can only exacerbate the awful spiritual confusion of our time.

Nevertheless, we must identify every man with his convictions and with the goal he believes he must pursue. This is why not a single political, financial, economic, or social movement, not a single book, not a single news item of importance, can be judged by its true meaning, its real significance, without first knowing the state of mind of its authors, the purpose they pursue, and on which side of the barricade they are located. Yet this, above all, is hidden from the masses. To such an extent that sometimes, only after twenty or thirty years, when the damage is done, is the spokesman of one side perceived to have been an enemy of the side he had infiltrated.



THE AUTHORITY OF HUMAN LAW

There was a time, when throughout the whole of Christendom, the papacy could make or break emperors, kings and princes because of universal acceptance of the “authority of divine law”. For the Christian West, the Vatican was — on a spiritual plane — what the United Nations Organization is today on a material plane, and in which the “rights of man” have supplanted the rights of God, meaning the rights of Christ the King. The Papacy was recognized as the arbiter of claims to legitimate authority. But since those times, when social conflicts, strikes and lockouts were unknown, much water has flowed through the Tiber. And politics has eliminated the authority “of divine law” to substitute an “authority of human law”. Today, politics is the supreme arbiter, as we see in so many countries. Politics can, at will, deny citizens the right to property, the right to worship, the right to have a religion and temples of their choice, the paternal right over children and their education, the right to private initiative and personal choice, the right to the ordinary gregarious instinct that attracts people of the same rank to one another; in short, the right to be a man.

If, in former days, the moral authority of Christ in Christian society had the majority vote and preserved Order, today, in our era of disorder, a profane authority leads the West; the more it leads, the more numerous are the countries of our Christian world that are engulfed in the chaos of anti-civilization.

There is no question here of denying to civil authority its total sovereignty in its field. But, as Christians, we have the right to ask,

along with so many others, how the civil authorities governing human Christian masses — deemed to guide them in the path of Christian aspirations — impose upon them a direction which inevitably leads to the destruction of the Christian order. The role of civil authority is to exercise the political sovereignty of a particular human group, and it profanes its role when, in the moral or material governance of men, it contravenes their beliefs and spiritual aspirations. This phenomenon — because it is one — can only be explained by the exorbitant influence that Freemasonry, an underground and dynamically active minority, exercises not only in the control of high international politics and national politics, but also on the controls of the financial, economic, bureaucratic and propagandistic world.

Freemasonry is a real global network that can operate simultaneously everywhere. To underestimate its power, especially in the higher political spheres, is to greatly deceive oneself.



THE SAME BASIC FACTS

The vast Masonic network is made up of a host of different sects, some of which seem indifferent if not hostile to others, but they all have points of contact, either by sending delegates to the annual assemblies, or through the *Grand Collège des Rites*, or through the world Masonic organization in Switzerland which serves as a “clearing house” for the different obediences, or through the cryptological correspondence, or through the link of the FF. Visitors.

Among the most important obediences is the United Grand Lodge of England, which proclaims itself a veritable Christian “religion” but does not explain where it derives its authority as such, nor why a “religion” ought to proceed secretly and have only privileged followers. All in all, the stubborn researcher ends up discovering that this “religion” derives its true origin from the English Reformation, the soul of which was Lord Russell, an “Englishman” of Jewish blood. The Russells were from Toulouse where they called themselves Roussel before their expulsion from France, and previously Rosello before their expulsion from Spain by Isabella.

The United Grand Lodge of England, in short, is merely the guardian and guarantor of the English Reformation and its perpetuation. We also note the Grand Orient of France, continuing the Jacobin sect of the French Revolution and the Illuminati of Bavaria (Weisshaupt), initiators of the World Revolution, a quite radical sect to which belong the Grand Orients of several other countries, in particular the Latin and Levantine. Then comes the Freemasonry of the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite (which includes the larg-

est number of followers in the world, especially in the United States: 5-1/2 million). Two Jews established the Scottish Rite at New Amsterdam (now New York) and at Charleston in Virginia. We note again the Grand Lodge of France, with many affiliations in other countries, continuing the Girondins, less radical than the Grand Orient but identical in its goals. We then have the Jewish rites of Misraim and the exclusively Jewish B'nai B'rith; then the mixed rite of the *Droit Humain* (men and women), and a few others of lesser importance, given their numbers and in particular their influence.

In general, following the political parallel (strict minority authority or parliamentarianism), the Masonic obediences are divided into two groups: the radical Latin lodges and the non-radical Saxon lodges. 1.13But this is only a distinction of peoples' temperaments, because the basic Masonic facts are exactly the same. All, without exception, are identified by general facts and particular goals found in each: anti-Catholicism, liberalism and "the rights of man", self-determination (except as concerns the Masonic authority), a human fraternity in a universal republic that will have swallowed up all the national sovereignties, the compulsory disarmament of all peoples to ensure the survival of the universal republic, a relentless push toward a conventional humanist deism, totalitarian and universal secularism.

The origin and history of Freemasonry are veiled with as meticulous care as its action. One must read through interminable falderal from a thousand different sources to finally find the continuity of inspiration and control from the cradle of Masonry to the present day! It is understandable that the history of Masonry is veiled to its followers in the same haze as its ultimate goal, because otherwise 95 percent of its members would leave in horror and indignation.



THE CHOSEN AND THE GOYIM

All Masonic historians date the sect's origins to time immemorial, deducing its full synthesis from the ancient "mysteries" of Chaldea, Egypt, Babylon, and so on. In a sense, they are right. For the substance of Masonry is drawn from these different paganisms by the Jewish race, bearer of the true Revelation of old.

In the time of Christ, Talmudism had already developed its esotericism and had become the main interpretation and orientation for some Jewish sects, especially the sect of the Pharisees, bearer of its oral tradition. A corruption, paganization and materialization of the Torah or biblical revelation, the Talmud was already tainted with superstitions collected in exile; it was responsible for the terrible materialistic disfigurements that followed the return to Jerusalem and all the troubles that ensued. It is a gross mistake to believe that the Talmud arose only after the destruction of Jerusalem. It had long been in existence.

The Talmud — a transposition of the Torah onto the material plane — has a unique peculiarity, impossible to find among all the known religious ideologies: the prospect of a paradise on this earth, for one people only, the Chosen, who alone are loved, who alone are cherished by God; the only humans, whom all the other peoples, the Gentiles or goyim, being mere animals, the seed of cattle, are called to serve, to enrich and to make happy. The very context of the most modern editions of the Talmud in the vulgar languages attests to it as strongly as the old editions of the Middle Ages. Moreover, Jews of good faith, whom I met together with

friends, with the aim of reaching agreement, admitted it with surprising candor. Stubbornly refusing to recognize the validity of the New Covenant, they strictly adhere to the terms of the Old which, they said, involves a single election: that of the Jews, who are “called to multiply like the sands of the desert, to reign over all peoples, to see the races of the Gentiles become the servants of Israel”. And this election, they pointed out ostentatiously, is a purely racial or racist affair; only those who descend from Abraham are the heirs.



A CHANGE OF VISION

I still remember a debate put forward at the Petawawa Concentration Camp by a few Jews who were temporarily there as communist agents (and released from Hull after Soviet Russia entered the war). They proposed this theme as the subject of the debate: "The Gentiles, especially the Christians, must change their vision, and their attitude toward the Jews"; a theme they developed with warmth and skill. They were answered by the development of the opposite theme: "Jews must change their vision and their attitude towards Christians", not wanting more than their legitimate share in the society that accepts them, not wanting to control the financial and economic life of the other peoples, ceasing to want to change the order of things and even to control the minds of other peoples. Jews must assimilate to the peoples of the countries they inhabit, and merge with them in the long run, as do all the others.

The counter-attack was immediate and very clear: the Jews have an election that encompasses the whole Earth and must last forever, so they are everywhere at home; if they assimilate, they cease to be Jews and, in consequence, they lose their election as well as the promises of domination attached to it. It is therefore up to the Gentiles, and not the Jews, to change their vision and their attitude. On the same occasion, it was submitted to us, as proof of the correctness of the Jewish attitude and of the divine favor which consecrates it, that in spite of all the historical opposition of the Gentiles, Jewry progresses in every way and in all fields, rapidly, universally, continually; while Christendom, at the same time, loses vigor and influence.

I apologize for this digression, whose usefulness you will better understand in a moment. For I claim, along with a number of specialists much more competent than myself, that all that is contained in the ultimate conclusions of Free-masonry, Communism, and other similar movements, of which the Jews are always at the source, is pure Talmudism; teachings intended to convince the Gentiles that they have neither human soul nor need of divinity, that they are really cattle with no more rights than animals, and must allow themselves to be guided in consequence; and that Freemasonry, which through its adherents plays so great a role in the political leadership of the Christian masses, draws all its ideology from Talmudic sources.



BACKWASH OF THE CRUSADES

Freemasonry came to us directly from Palestine, in the backwash of the first Crusade. The Templars returned fully steeped in the Talmudism found today in Masonry and Communism. These Knights of the Temple, spread throughout Europe, quickly became the arbiters of national and international finance. They were constantly in touch with an unknown and secret center in Jerusalem that gave them directives. For two centuries, the Templars acted in the same way as today's International High Bank, obtaining heavy claims against kings, princes and nobles. Their initiations, their orgies, their materialism, voluntarily confessed by hundreds of their members and abundantly described by historians, lasted until the day when Philip the Fair of France crushed their organization and Clement V, after several refusals and long hesitations, had to condemn them in light of a superabundance of evidence provided by a few hundred repentant Templars who had not even been charged.³

The Order of the Temple went underground, surviving in the greatest secrecy. When Freemasonry appeared, from country to country, in the 16th, 17th and 18th centuries, it was mainly a question (as it is today) of the Temple and the Templars. Freemasonry, in the totality of its rites and obediences, devotes almost a cult to Hiram, the architect of the Temple of Jerusalem and its builder, Solomon. It vows vengeance against Throne and Altar, which it holds responsible for the condemnation of Jacques de Molay, grand chief of the Knights of the Temple. In general, the sessions of the lodges are called "works of the Temple". Masonic efforts are called

IS CHRISTIANITY BANKRUPT?

“the edification of the Temple”. Its calendar is the Jewish calendar; its ritual, its passwords, the majority of its titles and appellations are Jewish, its symbology is Jewish from beginning to end.



THE ONE SECRET

Moreover, it can be said that in reality there is but one Masonic secret, the secret delivered to followers in symbolic form upon their entry, but which constitutes the one true secret of Freemasonry. That is the “reconstruction of the Temple of Solomon”, i.e. the establishment of the “new Zion”, this resurrection of the defunct Old Covenant over the ruins of the New and whose general plan is found in the so-controversial Zionist “Protocols” and the details in a few hundred Jewish writers. To rebuild Solomon’s Temple, Palestine must first be possessed. Two world wars gave it to the Jews, with the eager zeal of the Communist states of the East and the Masonic states of the West. Next, possession of Jerusalem must be had; then the site of the ancient temple, the mosque of Omar. Plans and models of the temple to be rebuilt were made after thirty years of research and work, and had already been exhibited at the Palestine kiosk at the New York International Fair in 1937-38. Modern Jewish bibliology⁴ does not hide the fact that Jerusalem must become the world capital of a unified world, the capital of the accomplished Revolution, the capital of the “new order of the centuries”. To varying degrees, Freemasonry has its adherents repeat the cumulative oath to be always willing to sacrifice their lives for the deliverance of Palestine and the building of the Temple. As symbolic as this oath may be, it finds a very strange concordance in the unfolding of ever more patent facts!

Just as the International Communist Party has a double physiognomy: the legal section for the general public and the illegal sec-

tion for "trustworthy followers"; International Zionism has its two faces, and Freemasonry in general has its double physiognomy: the ordinary lodge for the non-thinking multitude who adhere to it for the sole purpose of advancement and ambition, and the back-lodge for "true believers".

Up until the First World War, a Freemason of the 32nd degree could be admitted to the 33rd only to replace a deceased Mason of that degree. Today, 33rd's are mass-produced. In the United States alone, between 150 and 175 are appointed each year, mainly among men in high positions in politics, finance, the economy, the armed forces; as a means of attaching them to prevent them from prejudicing the plans of the Masonic organization. For them, there are special explanations and a special oath. This is a little like the mania of our universities for creating "doctors" of all kinds on any pretext of the moment if the doctoree has any influence or fame. But, just as there are real PhDs, there are also real Masons. For them, the language is different, the oaths are not the same. Let us take an example from the Masonic rite, about midway between the radical Grand Orient and the non-radical "blue" Freemasonry: the Scottish Rite. General Garibaldi, famous for his armed struggle against the Pope in 1870, was initiated into the 33rd degree. In his personal papers were found the formula of the instructions and the oath which had been communicated to him. Here is the copy, reproduced recently following several other authors, by Warren Weston, of London, in his book "Father of Lies".



REVOLUTION IN ACTION

“Masonry being nothing else but revolution in action, a permanent conspiracy against political and religious despotism, ... no single degree reveals the whole Truth; the veil is lifted by degrees which hide the Truth from the eyes of the curious. (245-246) ⁵

“For us, invested with the supreme power, for us alone, Truth is fully revealed ... and makes us know, see, and feel that: Man is at once God, Pontiff, and King of himself. That is the sublime secret, the key to all science, the summit of the initiation. (246)

“Freemasonry, the perfect synthesis of all which is human, is therefore the God, Pontiff, and King of Humanity. That is what explains its universality, its vitality, its power. (246)

“We the Grand Chiefs, we form the sacred battalion of the Sublime Patriarch, who is the God, Pontiff, and King of Freemasonry. That is the triple truth (246)

“Our instructions may be summarized thus: Bring about the triumph of our Virtue, of our Morality, and of our Authority in the whole of Humanity (246)

“To oppose their (the adversaries’) abject virtue, we have the degrees”; (here follows enumeration of the first 11 degrees) “which enable us to lead the profane from the unawareness of the Apprentice to the mission of the Knight Elect (11th degree), for the defence of Masonic Virtue, for the crusade of Man, God of himself. (246)

“To oppose their abominable Morality, we have the degrees” (enumeration of degrees 12 to 22, inclusive). (246)

“Finally, to oppose their criminal Authority, we have the degrees” (enumeration of degrees 23 to 33, inclusive). (246)

“... The churchmen oppose our Masonic Virtue and Authority with their Property, their Religion, and their Law” (246)

“Of these three infamous enemies, it is Religion which must be the constant object of your attacks; because no people has ever survived its Religion ... and, by killing it, we shall have both Law and Property at our mercy. (246)

“To-day that you take your place among the Masters in Chief, ... we shall sum up for you clearly the Great Light of the supreme initiation: (246)

“You are your own God, your own Pontiff, and your own King. (246)

“Your reason is the sole rule of Truth, the sole key of science and of politics. (246)

“Your appetites and your instincts are the sole rule of Good, the sole key of progress and of happiness. (247)



THE SACRED MOTTO

“You must understand and interpret as follows our sacred motto: Liberty, Equality, Fraternity. (247)

“Liberty, the all-powerful arm with which we have upset the world, means: unlimited and unrestricted independence, devoid of all authority. (247)

“Independence of spirit, which is never hampered by any revelation, nor limited by any dogma. (247)

“Independence of will, which never submits to any power, which recognizes no King, no Pope, no God. (247)

“Independence of personality, which has broken all moral chains ... It is with Liberty as lever and human passions as fulcrum that we shall overthrow Kings and Priests forever. (247)

“Equality ... means equalization of property, for the rights of man to the common earth, as citizen of one and the same world, ... are more sacred than contracts. (247)

“Equalization of wealth, by a proportionate adjustment of salaries, by complete and radical abolition of the rights of inheritance, by the expropriation of all financial companies, by the nationalization of the banks, the canals, transport, assurances, mines. (247)

“Equalization of individuals by solidarity, by equal enjoyment of the collective production. It is with Equality as lever and (247) human appetites as fulcrum that we shall efface forever the aristocracy of wealth.” (Viz. the Gentile aristocracy.) (247)

“Fraternity, the all-powerful promise with which we have established our power, means: (247)

“Fraternity in Freemasonry, to form a State within a State, with means and functions independent of the State, unknown to the State. (247)

“Fraternity in Freemasonry, to form a State above the State, with a Unity, super-nationality, and universality, which make it superior to, and the power behind, the State. (247)

“Fraternity in Freemasonry, to form a State against the State as long as permanent armies shall exist. ...” (247)

“It is with Fraternity as lever and human hatreds as fulcrum that we shall do away with Parasitism and armed Repression forever ...” (247)

“Repeat now after us our Supreme Vow: (248)

“I swear to have no other country but the Country Universal. I swear to oppose utterly, always, and everywhere, the boundaries of nations, the boundaries of fields, of houses, and of workshops, and the bonds of family. (248)

“I swear to overthrow, at the sacrifice of my life, the boundaries which the humanicide Christians have traced with blood and mud in the name of God. (248)

“I swear to devote my entire existence to the endless triumph of progress and of universal unity, and I declare my adherence to the denial of God and of the soul.” (248)

A number of questions arise with regard to this text.

1 — What difference is there between this supreme Masonic oath and all the Communist teachings? None. It’s the same spirit, the same letter, the same goal.

2 — Are such teachings the result of anemic or decadent Christianity? No. All their content is external to the Christian Creed and, as a result, originates from an extra-Christian and above all anti-Christian source.

3 — Does this text correspond to the aspirations of Western civilization or to the spirit of any people included in this civilization? No, because the traditions and aspirations of all Western peoples unreservedly condemn the entire content of this document.

4 — Where then can justification be found for such a code that makes man akin to an animal without a God, without a soul, without a homeland, without a family, without property? There is only one source and no other can be found anywhere: the vision given by the Jewish Talmud of the goy or Gentile.



TWO MERGED ASPECTS

It will be noted that in the document I have just read, there are two aspects: a religious aspect and a civil aspect. Christianity has always scrupulously distinguished between the two areas of authority, according to the clear injunction: “*Redde Caesari ...*”, the ultimate conclusions of freemasonry confuse the two domains to make them into one. Freemasonry is really a political religion or, if you prefer, a religion of politics. The same is true for Communism. The same is true of Zionism, as proved by the legislation of the new State of Israel. There is nothing surprising about this, considering that the organizer of these three movements, Judaism, is a race-religion; this is due to its original basis, the Talmud, which constitutes civil-religious legislation and which, in the supreme interpretation of the ancient Revelation which animated the Old Covenant, supplanted in authority the very terms of the Torah, a text of the Old Testament.

To give to modern Judaism the character and prestige of a religion is to degrade the word. Even the “Catholic Encyclopedia” refuses to recognize Judaism as a religion since it has none of the Mosaism that was a true religion with its attributes of a priesthood with continuity of legitimate anointing, its consecrated temple, its universal high priest, its council or Sanhedrin, its daily holocausts, its unchangeable ritual, its strict genealogies controlling the levirate.⁶ Judaism, in the opinion of the most illustrious masters of the question, is scarcely regarded as a sect, and this in a very pejorative sense.

The “*non-serviam*” of Lucifer in reply to Michael’s question: “Who is like unto God”; the seductive deception presented to Eve:

“You will become like gods” have been far exceeded. The Masonic document read earlier is not content to elevate man to the level of God. It quickly eliminates God and substitutes in His place the self-deified man. The great Talmudist and Freemason Karl Marx, born of fifteen generations of rabbis, does no less in his laborious elaboration of communism. The Talmud goes so far as to say that “Jehovah stands up out of respect, when the rabbis speak.” Its principal modern interpreters deny the personal identity of the Messiah and claim that the Messiah will be nothing else than the Jewish people taken collectively and arrived at the desired world domination: another substitution of man for God. My only regret, on this question, is not having the time, in these two short evenings, to read to you the doctrinal statements and the Jewish commentaries from all conceivable sources which confirm and explain my assertions.

Prior to its final stage of atheism, Freemasonry passes through a stage of pantheism in which man gradually becomes the center and the superior being. Insightful adepts clearly discern it in the symbolism marked out between the fifteenth and thirty-second degrees. From apprenticeship to the fifteenth degree, the lodge sticks strictly enough to self-determination, to substitution of the authority of human reason for the authority delegated by Christ. Anyone who wishes to respect the laws of logic must admit that, inevitably, self-determination leads to pantheism, then to atheism. Freemasonry is no exception, nor is the Talmud with its Kabbalah, its toxic esotericism and its perverted mysticism.

Protestantism has always adapted well to Judeo-masonry because it found in its first “principles” a semblance of justification and support: especially anti-Romanism and self-determination (*libre-examen*). And this, because of the original kinship of both: Judaism.



JUDAIZATION⁷ THROUGH THE REFORMATION

English researchers — oddly enough, they are Protestants — have expended themselves to find the true origin of the heresies that have afflicted the Church of Christ from its beginnings, from Simonism to the very recent sect of Jehovah's Witnesses, by way of Arianism, Manicheism, Nestorianism, the Catharism of the Albigensians, and countless others. Their statistics reveal that at least 95% of these deviations are the direct result of a Jewish action. These authors present Calvin as a Jew by both his father and his mother; as for Luther, the author of the great Reformation, they refer to him with the old adage: *si Lyrus non lyrasset, Lutherus non saltasset*,⁸ emphasizing that the Nicolas of Lyra in question was a Jew dedicated to the destruction of Christianity.

It was first of all in Spain of the fifteenth century, seat of the then-European empire, that the Jews tried to impose the Reformation. The piety and vigilance of Isabella of Castilla thwarted the plot. The Inquisition that she instituted, by first submitting herself to the competent authorities, quickly discovered the extent of the ravages caused by self-determination (*libre examen*) which was to be found a bit later in Flanders, in Germany, then in England, where the Jews expelled from Spain took refuge. The heresy had gone so far that more than twenty-five Marrano bishops (converted Jews) had to be unfrocked who were openly preaching Talmudic errors in their cathedrals. It is conceivable — as with the crushing of the Order of the Knights Templar — that this is why Jewish, Ma-

sonic and Reformist historians so fiercely disfigure and malign the Spanish Inquisition.

Charles V, Ferdinand, and Philip II of Spain, multiplied their efforts to condemn the Reformation. But the contrary maneuvers of Henry VIII and Elizabeth of England, German princes, crypto-Huguenot kings of France (Francis I and Henry IV) managed to delay this condemnation by a third of a century, just the time needed to consolidate the Jewish work in Christian Europe. When the Council of Trent arrived, it was already too late to repair the formidable rupture. In his book: "Antisemitism, its history and its causes", the brilliant Jewish writer Bernard Lazare writes with good reason, confirmed by historical facts: "With the Reformation, the Judaization of Christianity was begun".

In some of its aspects, the Reformation was somewhat justified, minor aspects concerning men rather than those concerning dogma. About a hundred years before the discovery of printing, Europe had been ravaged by the Black Death. It was the most terrible carnage in human history since the Flood. Half of the total population of Europe was struck to death, while Asia had almost as many victims. As frantic people fled from the dying for fear of being infected, priests were obliged to minister to the dying. If the whole of Europe lost half its population, some historians put the loss of the European priesthood at eighty percent. The countless vanished priests had to be quickly replaced for the administration of the sacraments. The most apt were taken, even inadequately trained, the result being a century of doctrinal impoverishment and often debatable morals. This Black Death, whose consequences opened the doors to the Reformation, was attributed to the Jews.

In all of Europe, from country to country and province to province, simultaneously, without neighboring countries being aware, Jews were everywhere held responsible for the epidemic. There

were countless trials, practically identical everywhere, followed by countless executions. From Spain to the far reaches of Poland, tens of thousands of witnesses, who could not possibly have been in contact with each other, swore in court that they had seen Jews polluting the waters of wells and fountains. Jewish historians, quite naturally, deny any Jewish responsibility for the origin of the terrible epidemic and, as always, accuse the Christians of the time of "prejudice, bad faith, injustice, anti-Semitism". However, it is questionable whether all of fourteenth-century Europe was dreaming, whether tens of thousands of witnesses perjured themselves, whether the jurors and judges of thirty different countries violated their oaths of office, whether all the magistrates were brutes and torturers, especially in this age of deep faith and ardent charity. I don't believe it. Pollute the waters to achieve a large-scale criminal purpose? But Ana Pauker, a Communist dictator from Romania, announced exactly this project as a means of sinking North America into communism, according to her own statements reported in the memoirs⁹ of Princess Ileana, barely two years ago! If the plan suits tomorrow, was it not suitable for yesterday?



EXPANSION OF THE SECT

The public appearance of English Freemasonry in 1717, considered by superficial historians as an original beginning, was nothing but an expression of confidence in “favorable and sure times”. The sect had long existed and had nothing in common with the Corporation of Architects, Builders and Masons vanished together with the era of manuscripts. So-called “speculative” or symbolic Freemasonry as we know it today never had any connection with the professional and Christian masonry of the Middle Ages that we call “operative”. It took the title and a few symbols, to better hide itself in case of danger, but its spirit and its action were always, from its origins, at opposite poles from those which had animated and directed the honest masonry of the cathedral builders of the Middle Ages. There are minutes from Masonic lodges that are truly anti-Christian dating over a century before the establishment of the English Grand Lodge. These texts are from the Netherlands, where many Jews expelled from Spain in 1492 took refuge. There are also texts of undeniable correspondence between these Jews of Holland and Oliver Cromwell, proving that Cromwell was financed by the Jews of Holland in his revolution against the Crown, that Jewish finance was provided to him on condition that he behead Charles I of England and readmit to the Islands the Jews chased out five centuries before by the great King Edward I. Encyclopedists and Jewish historians tell us that when the great King Edward, a devout Catholic and the most English of English kings, signed the order expelling the Jews, conditions were such that, in the ordinary courts, the oath of twelve Christian witnesses was needed to counterbalance the oath of a Jewish witness, that the

Jews possessed over 30 percent of all real estate property in England, that Jewish usurers could mobilize more fine gold in a few hours than the whole of the English aristocracy. Yet Edward, who was very charitable, exempted from expulsion all Jews who would consent to cultivate the land or to exercise an honest profession, lands being made available to them free of charge by the Crown for the purpose. But not a single Jew wanted to avail himself of these generous conditions. Does not the Talmud, the official religious-civil code of the Jews since the destruction of the Temple, proclaim unworthy and shameful any laboring profession exercised outside Palestine?

When Freemasonry openly showed itself in England, Jewry had taken root again in that country for over a half a century, thanks to Oliver Cromwell (and despite the law of Edward I which has never been repealed to this day), and — important to note — the Bank of England, the parent company of today's Jewish International High Bank, was founded with internationalist-Zionist Rothschild as the sole owner, the only capitalist legally authorized to coin money and print paper currencies or bank notes in the whole British kingdom. The same bank was quite recently nationalized by the socialist regime of Clement Attlee, but with no appreciable result or major change in the game of global finance, England having lost its status as the world's leading banker with the second great war, and the Rothschilds having quite some time ago relocated their power base from London to New York.

From 1717 to 1793, the English and Saxon Protestant nobility, like the French nobility, had devoted itself to Free-masonry as an amusing hobby. Barely a tiny minority knew the true spirit and goals of the sect. After the horrors of the French Revolution, that Jews and Masons bragged of having achieved, a real panic coursed through the upper circles of Prussia and England. They tried, with Frederick-William III, and George III, to reform their local Freemasonry

with a massive influx of gentlemen and clergymen as overseers. But this in no way changed the true spirit of Freemasonry, with its dream of a universal republic, and which nonetheless finished by crumbling the Saxon kingdoms and empires to bits and leading them to ruin.

Well established in France, Judeo-Masonic liberalism, with its ferments of internationalism, rapidly spread throughout Europe. The specialized history of this time has authoritatively established that numerous victories of the revolutionary armies and of the Great Imperial-Liberal Army were the result of betrayal and Masonic connivance within the countries attacked.



BONAPARTE AND HITLER

Confronted with the problem of Judeo-Masonic liberalism, one cannot consider the dazzling career of Napoleon Bonaparte without seeing, as his inverse reflection, the no less dazzling career of Adolf Hitler, a hundred years later. These two corporals reigned with truly imperial grandeur, the most majestic since the rule of Charlemagne, over countries which logically were not their own. The Italian Bonaparte became a French subject through the caprice of a political annexation; the Austrian Hitler became a German subject as the automatic favor of military enlistment. France and Germany owe them their best roads, the history of their greatest victories and their most illustrious marshals. One came to power through his military prestige, the other through his eloquence.

Bonaparte, emperor of a liberal republic, and Hitler, president of an anti-liberal empire, both made the same journey: from Spain to Moscow, by way of Egypt.

Financed by the Rothschilds, who increased their growing fortunes tenfold with both his victories and his defeat, Napoleon ravaged the whole of Europe. His purpose was not to dominate the world, as claimed in the nonsense of hostile propagandists, but to replace the old existing Christian constitutions with the constitution of the "New Order"¹⁰ with its Rights of Man and its trilogy Liberty-Equality-Fraternity. Naturally, the emancipation of the Jews went along with the "new order", which is basically what it came down to. Benjamin Disraeli said that for each big loan made to Napoleon to finance a campaign, the Rothschilds required the ap-

pointment of a Jew as a Marshal of France, and Disraeli names six, an indiscretion held against him to this day by the Jewish Encyclopedia. Everywhere Napoleon passed, the ghettos were closed on his order (that of Rome was opened again after his defeat in 1815). The Masonic lodges of the conquered countries joyfully celebrated the victory of the Conqueror.

Alarmed, the sovereigns of the remaining Christian states of Europe formed the Holy Alliance to forestall the peril. World propaganda (even in Canada, in the English-language newspapers) painted Napoleon as an anti-Christ, a monster, a wild beast, a madman, a demon unleashed. In short, exactly the terms attached to Hitler a century later. Through superiority of numbers, the Holy Alliance beat Bonaparte. But though conquered by the force of arms, Napoleon remained the conqueror through the ideas he spread; for, less than twenty years after his death, liberalism had completely overrun Europe.

Hitler was the natural and inevitable product of his era, which marked the beginning of the World Revolution, characterized above all by the assault of international Communism against the countries of Europe. Ten years before him, Benito Mussolini, a former socialist-Marxist, had saved Italy, nearly sunk in Communism, eliminated the terrorism which was everywhere on the rise, completely reorganized the Italian economy, and thrust this country to a stage of order and prosperity it had not known for centuries and has not known since, despite all the efforts of our ultra-modern democracy. This "wicked" fascist was so "reckless" as to not only banish liberalism and Freemasonry from his country, but further, with the Lateran Treaty in 1929, returned to the Vatican its total freedom, its money and some of the territories that had been taken from it by the liberal democracy in 1870.

In addition, Mussolini, between 1936 and 1939, had the impertinence to unite with Hitler to go and help save what remained of Christianity in Spain, while Leon Blum of France supplied 1,200 planes and numerous volunteers to the Spanish Communists, and Canada sent the Mackenzie-Papineau¹¹ Communist brigade, while England sent the Communist Clement Attlee brigade, while the United States sent the Communist Abraham Lincoln brigade (whose return passage was paid for by Bernard Baruch), while Russia, Mexico, and Czechoslovakia sent an assault force of tanks, cannons, machine guns and munitions for the Communist forces. No more was required for Mussolini to be universally condemned by the lodges and the Communist organizations, and sooner or later to be toppled from power and punished.



FANATIC THE OTHER WAY

In the anti-communist axis, Hitler was considered the leader because he had more powerful means at his disposal, a larger population and more ample resources. This man — whose phenomenal ascent in a country that was not his own, has no parallel except Napoleon's ascent in France — was definitely a mystic and a fanatic. He was abstemious and chaste until it was medically advised to lightly partake of alcoholic beverages and, towards his fifties, to find a wife. He never smoked. The great British economist Arthur W. Kitson ("The Banker's Conspiracy"), after a sojourn with him of two weeks in Berchts-gaden, wrote to a Canadian, among other things: "I lived for two weeks in the strictest intimacy with Hitler. He is unquestionably a genius. No need to go into details with him; to sketch the outline is enough. There is one thing I do not like about this man: too many Madonnas and crucifixes in his private apartments, it smells of popery". Kurt-Wilhelm Ludecke, an intimate of the early Hitlerian circle, declared in Montreal in 1932, even before Hitler was in power: "I was close to him and I heard his confidences. I can speak at ease because I am a non-believer.

Like all great men, Hitler has an obsession. His obsession is the Virgin Mary. He even claims that it was she who saved him when he was wounded in the trenches during the war, that he saw her, that she told him his mission was to save Europe. He must be forgiven this weakness, for if it were not that, it might be a weakness of a more serious kind." In 1936 and 1939, returning from visits with Hitler, Winston Churchill was full of praise for Hitler's prodigious talent for reconstruction, "his competency, his disarming

smile, his powerful personality, his strange magnetism," going as far as to say: "If ever England were defeated and fell as low as Germany has fallen, I would hope that Providence would give her an English Hitler to raise her up again as quickly" ("Step by Step" 1939). In September and October 1936, the English newspapers published the even greater praise of Lloyd George for Hitler's "admirable" personality and the work he had accomplished, which earned him the wrath of Jewish, Masonic and Communist newspapers in the United Kingdom.

Hitler was truly a fanatic, but a fanatic in the opposite sense of Napoleon. He said he was "entrusted with a divine mission", to eliminate Jewish high capitalism and Jewish Marxism from Europe. He struck with rigor all that emerged from liberalism, socialism, Communism, atheism, the Jehovah's Witnesses, Freemasonry, Zionism. As soon as he came to power, he had all his cabinet ministers sign the famous declaration of Potsdam, which recognized only the Christian confessions as "the moral and social foundation of Germany", relegating all non-Christian confessions to exclusively private status, to the point of sacrificing his friend from the beginning, Marshal Ludendorf, a wotanist of convenience for his wife. His fanaticism incited German youth to burn on the public square all accessible books of the Communists, socialists, liberals, of Voltaire, Heinrich Heine, Rousseau, Condorcet, etc. He even went so far as to open a "Museum of Horrors", where the works of modernist, abstractionist, savagist, dadaist, cubist, sculptors, painters, engravers, and the like, were piled up the better to stigmatize what he called the "decadent works of the Jewish spirit". Much of the world press was scandalized.

Above all, he remilitarized Germany with extraordinary dynamic intensity, in particular after the start of the Spanish Civil War, which he interpreted as a signal of the immediate aims of Moscow for Europe. All his writings, all his speeches indicate, un-

equivocally, that he believed himself “sent by God” to rid the world of Judeo-Communism; the man predestined to crush Soviet Russia and international Jewish High Capitalism. To strike Red Russia, he had to cross Austria, Czechoslovakia and Poland. He used treachery and cunning with Stalin, signing with him a non-aggression pact which amounted to a dose of chloroform before a bloody operation. Neville Chamberlain was definitely aware of this plan, as was his ambassador to Washington, Lord Lothian. These two Englishmen died prematurely before the end of the “funny war”; this war in which the belligerents did not strike one other, which annoyed to no end International Jewry and its puppet 33rd-degree Mason, F.D. Roosevelt, whose Jewish ancestors were called Rosso-campo (red field) when they were driven out of Spain by the Inquisition, then Rosenfeld (red field) during their sojourn in Holland, then Roosenvelt and finally Roosevelt after their emigration to America (see Carnegie Institute, Washington, DC).

The well-known case of Tyler Kent, a cipher clerk¹² at the US Embassy in London, showed how high-ranking Mason Winston Churchill and President Roosevelt, during this period of the “curious war”, corresponded together by cable, without the knowledge of Neville Chamberlain and behind his back, in order for “both to become masters of the world”, concealing themselves behind the accusation that Hitler himself wanted to become master of the world. This propaganda was as idiotic as that made against Napoleon a century earlier. Just as Napoleon wanted liberalism to become the universally adopted political formula, so Hitler wanted national socialism, freed from all Marxism, from all Jewry, from all liberalism and all masonry, to make its own tour of Europe. For, Hitler was above all a great European, perhaps the last of the great modern Europeans. He wanted to enlarge Europe towards the Ural mountains, to protect it from the growing thrust of the Asian masses and

IS CHRISTIANITY BANKRUPT?

ensure to the white race a prolonged predominance in the management of the world.



THE USELESS WAR

Mussolini, Hitler, Franco, Salazar, Metaxas, and for a time, Cuza of Romania¹³ and Prince Konoye of Japan, asserted the national sovereignty of their countries all the more firmly as the international communist or Masonic advocated the end of national autonomies. When the nationalist powers marched to the frontiers of communism, all that our world knows of leftism, Masonry, Marxism, internationalism, was set in motion not so much to destroy the nationalist powers as to save Soviet Russia and secure its survival. This was the most important thing: to save Communist Russia, the result of a century and a half of conspiracy, and afterward to see to the elimination of nationalisms. It went even further. With Yalta and Tehran, the Masonic powers of the West gave to Moscow the privileges of political hegemony in the Balkans, the Baltic states and China, which in population, assured to it half the human race.

Today, the correctness of the formula backed by the nationalists of the United Kingdom in 1939 is admitted: let Germany go fight to the finish with Soviet Russia without intervening on either side. The winner will come out of it so depleted that he can only seek to cooperate with intact, powerful empires, which will not have suffered any loss of blood or money. But neutrality was impossible for all those caught in the Judeo-Masonic network. In the "Memoirs of James Forrestal", we hear Sir Neville Chamberlain say in 1939, after declaring war on Germany: "The Polish affair was not a cause of war for either France or the United Kingdom. Without the constant harassment of Washington (FDR), this war would not have hap-

pened. England was forced to enter this war by Washington and by World Jewry." France and the United Kingdom, "victors" in the fray, lost colossal parts of their empires and fell several notches on the scale of political powers, both being undermined by the fatal germ with which they had associated. This destruction of the great Western powers was, moreover, one of the main goals of the Second World War. If I, myself, shouted as much, over and over again, long in advance in various Canadian provinces, it was not through caprice or clearer vision than others, but because I had taken the trouble to study diligently the slogans and publications emanating from Zionism, Communism and Masonry. Events have proved totally right not so much my words as the criminal plan that our elites—blinded by concern over the race for money, softened up by deference to human esteem, paralyzed by fear of attack, steeped in the fatuity of academic scientism, oriented by a desire to outwardly appear "super-respectable" — stubbornly refused to see despite all the evidence. Tomorrow's historians, in view of the supreme danger threatening civilization, will have to say of our elites of the Western world what Napoleon said of his Foreign Minister, Talleyrand, that prestigious defrocked sybarite: "It is shit in a silk stocking".

Since Hitler was truly European and quite clearly understood the Judeo-Masonic conspiracy, he cried — before vanishing from the political scene — "If the Western powers do not want to sink into Bolshevism, they will have to resume my fight where I left it, and in the same way that I led it."

It took less than two years for Western leaders to echo that assertion of 1945 and begin to tell us (in 1947) that communism is the greatest danger of all time, while seeking the support of the German and Japanese "enemies" against the Soviet "ally". And this, while Churchill qualified the disturbance of 1939-1945 by the quite belated but quite right term: "the useless war".

Conquered by material superiority, Napoleon remained victorious in his liberalism, which was ingrained wherever his armies had passed. Overwhelmed by numerical superiority, Hitler remains victorious in his nationalist idea, which is bubbling all over Europe, over all Asia, over all of Africa. Unfortunately, Western Freemasonry is so fiercely aggressive against all nationalism, its statesmen so petty and prejudiced, that only the communist power, which adapts to any situation, benefits from Asian and African nationalistic explosions, encourages and finances them as needed, orients them and finally incorporates them into the Soviet empire.



COMMON FRONT OF THE LODGES

In the instructions addressed to Garibaldi at the time of his supreme oath, Freemasonry declares itself a State within the State, against the State and above the State. It is obviously a purely political identification, despite the customary denials of the masonic obediences when reproached for it. And this is the most antidemocratic political game there is, because Freemasonry has neither public mandate nor popular consent to intervene. This intervention, when examined in all its aspects, exceeds the bounds of mere high treason, for more than just the country which tolerates it is the victim of it in its institutions, its historical path, in the healthy aspirations of its people, in its soul and beliefs: it is all of humanity. If the Masonry religion wants to supplant existing religions to reestablish (whether aware of it or not) the Old Covenant interpreted as messianism for one people, Masonic politics wants to tear down all the existing states to dissolve them in a universal republic under a world government, the great dream of International Zionism.

The famous Jewish leader, Benjamin Disraeli, who became Prime Minister of England, wrote quite revealing lines on “secret societies that form provisional governments” and work to overthrow thrones, religions, national borders, family, the right to property, in short to accomplish the 33rd-degree oath sworn by Garibaldi. Disraeli also affirmed that “men of the Jewish race are found at the head of each one of these secret societies,” and what goal do these “men of the Jewish race” pursue? Disraeli categorically replies: “They want to destroy this ungrateful Christianity whose tyranny they can no longer bear.” Never would these “men of the Jewish

race" who are found at the head of "each one of the secret societies" have caused the devastation in the world that Disraeli attributes to them, if they had not found, to accomplish their work, legions of Christians who had allowed themselves to be chained by dreadful oaths and incredibly rash promises demanded by masters they did not even know.

The publications and accounts of Freemasons of all persuasions clearly demonstrate that active politics is a constant concern for what all these obediences call "universal Freemasonry". Moreover, all boast of having contributed to the formation of the defunct League of Nations, and then of the United Nations,¹⁴ which are essentially political bodies. We can read in their publications about the efforts deployed by Freemasonry to instigate a European Parliament, and then a World Parliament, the subordination of national sovereignties, the friendly and cooperative coexistence of the West with the Soviet East, the reorientation of the general economy (production and trade). This gradual enchaining of the human masses is done to the cry of liberty. The persecution of the family, of national and religious rights, is done on the pretext of brotherhood.

All the obediences rightly speak of "universal Freemasonry" because all make common cause on the great questions of world interest, and all exhibit cohesive solidarity. Therefore, since Francoist Spain banished the atheist Grand Orient from its territory, the Saxon lodges who claim to be hostile to the Grand Orient have all supported the United Nations' ostracizing Spain, with the political consequences we are aware of. And, again, it is the Saxon lodges, above all the American, who insist the most upon readmission of the atheistic Grand Orient to Spain. Furthermore, don't we see delegates from the Grand Lodge of England carry their fraternal greetings to annual convents of the Grand Orient of France; and the

IS CHRISTIANITY BANKRUPT?

Scottish Rite actively cooperate with the Grand Orient in most of its campaigns and undertakings?



WHICH OATH PREDOMINATES?

The Masonic action in politics is of exceptional gravity due to the oaths and commitments to which the Freemason must subscribe from one degree to the next, not knowing what the logical development will be in the next commitment. In the event of a conflict between the oath of office and the Masonic oath of a politician or a senior bureaucrat, which should prevail? The oath known to the whole nation or the secret oath known only to initiates? Freemasonry, itself, gave the answer, quite recently, when in certain obediences it was decided to take the necessary measures to “remind defaulting brothers of the parliamentary world and the civil service of their Masonic duty.”¹⁵ This means that once the Mason-in-good-faith has been chained, he is no longer free in his conscience and must obey his unknown masters blindly, even if he believes the interest of his country demands the opposite attitude. This is the perfect mirror of the Zionists’ double allegiance, with allegiance to the Jewish state predominating. If he does not comply with the orders of his unknown dictators, the politician or functionary who is a Freemason will be crushed and dispossessed by the “necessary means” of the sect to which he had gone in search of advancement, mutual aid and an easy ascent purchased at the cost of his freedom.

A very large number of Freemasons — certainly over 90 per cent — are completely unaware of the conspiracy in which they are involved, of who leads them, of what they are being led toward. They imagine that the Lodge is a fraternal society, a charity that truly desires the happiness of mankind, universal brotherhood,

peace in this world, and helps its members attain senior posts more quickly so they can better promote these great ideas. Mostly, they die before achieving the degree of "illumination" of General Garibaldi, to the extent they might have been found fit for it; but they have nonetheless collaborated in weakening the Christianity into which they were born, and in politically weakening their homeland; even in compromising the fortune they may have been able to accumulate for their descendants. The day when honest and good-faith Freemasons open their eyes and see how much they have been hoodwinked about the real goals of the sect, they will want to double back, but will they be able to?

In the Zionist "Protocols", we can read the following sentence: "When Freemasonry has finished its work, we will get rid of it". Masonry, through its Jewish leaders and the Jewishness of its teachings, is the body that has most decried the content of the "Protocols". But, today, it is suffering the truth of the "Protocols" with as much rigor as other institutions, including Roman Catholicism. The Masons, Bénès and Masaryk, so glorified before the war, were liquidated like the Catholic priests by the Judeo-Communists of their country and the lodges were crushed. Since 1945, the Masonic convents have complained in their accounts of anti-Masonic "persecutions" in countries behind the Iron Curtain; but the complaints and protests have not changed the situation. Behind the Iron Curtain, Freemasonry no longer has its *raison d'être*. After cooperating so much to bring about the final regime which perfectly corresponds to the oath sworn by Garibaldi — above all to eliminate the hated "popery": struck down at the same time as property and the head of the "bourgeois" Freemasons. Our Western Masonic sects in Europe and America will meet exactly the same fate, in their organization and in their members, if the Marxism which they prepare with as much zeal as ignorance comes to power.

Before the Freemasons can defend themselves against the con-

spiracy that threatens them as much as it threatens the “popery” they have learned to execrate, they will have to suffer a terrible slaughter. Even if all the Talmudist Jews were expelled from Freemasonry, the sect would nevertheless remain a simple tool for the promotion of international messianic Zionism. The ideas are implanted, the map is drawn, momentum has been given and the goal is fixed. It is the whole ideology that must be abandoned and replaced.



ZIONISM, AN OLD MOVEMENT

Since the French Revolution, for every anti-Roman proposition, there has been a corresponding anti-national proposition, its being nearly impossible to dissociate the two areas, and some purely political propositions have acquired almost as great importance as the anti-religious propositions, and form a body with them. This has caused, through the rampant repetition of Masonic-Zionist propaganda, a dreadful confusion of norms affecting nationalism and internationalism, the civil and the religious. With the final outcome that, in countries where the Revolution has been consummated, it is always realized too late that the imposture called "separation of Church and State" was simply the germ of the destruction or chaining up of the Church by the State by groups and individuals who belong neither to the body nor to the soul of the people.

Freemasonry is, in its origin, inspiration and direction, an instrument in the hands of world Jewry. It is addressed above all to the upper classes, the bourgeoisie, employers, bosses, intellectuals, in short the faction called "Capital" in the artificial division of society. Followers are attracted through ambition, impatience to succeed, a desire for easier and quicker material prosperity than others, for political and social advancement. To attract the humble classes into Communism, the faction called "Labor" proceeds in exactly the same way, stimulating the most powerful spiritual instinct of the human being: to rise as quickly as possible and as far as possible, in other words, ambition. The song is as simple as it is seductive: "So far, you have had the capitalist dictatorship which

has brought you wars, misery, injustice, exploitation. Why not try the dictatorship of the proletariat, the dictatorship of yourselves? It will be your turn to be the masters, to enjoy power and what it entails, and the situation cannot be worse than it is today." Thus, Capital and Labor, harnessed to the same Jewish cart, but believing themselves at war, are in reality only destroying each other on the national level for the benefit of a constant financial, economic and political expansion of International Jewry, as they run simultaneously towards the same final goal. That goal is perfectly defined in the oath taken by Garibaldi and in the Communist Manifesto: the overthrow of Throne and Altar, of the priesthood and social hierarchies of the Christian world, of national sovereignties, of the family, of the right to property.

Above Freemasonry and Communism, there is International Zionism: religious, intellectual and national at the same time. Zionism relies on the World Jewish High Bank, a collection of international Jewish bankers.

Zionism, Communism and Freemasonry have always formed the perfect triangle. Already in the days of the Encyclopedists, 99% of whom were Freemasons, who spoke of world citizenship and a universal republic, of a new world religion based on the science of men, there was talk of Zionism. Voltaire and Diderot wrote to their fellow mason, Frederick II of Prussia, begging him to intervene with the Sultan of Turkey to abandon his colony of Palestine to the Jews. The same Voltaire, who wrote: "If Christ built his work with 12 illiterates, I will destroy it with a few intelligent minds", ended so many of his letters with the slogan: "*Crush the infamous*"¹⁶ (Christ), "*who, at the hour of his death, and despairing of receiving the priest for whom he loudly called, swallowed the contents of his chamberpot before rendering up his soul.*" Voltaire's petition to hand Palestine to the Jews was answered in the affirmative seven years ago by Western Freemason politicians and Eastern Communist leaders.

In their modern form, Zionism and Communism came from the same cradle: "The Union of Jews for Civilization and Science", founded in Berlin in 1819 by Moses ben Mendel alias Mendelssohn, Heinrich Heine and a few others, all masons and revolutionary spirits. Frequently investigated and closely watched by the police, this society was dissolved in 1824 and turned into two sections, one of which would gradually become the body of Messianic Zionism and the other the International Communist Party. Karl Marx was in contact with the members of the "Union" and was aided by them.



GLOBAL PROGRAM

The entire combined program of Zionism, Marxism and Freemasonry is exposed in the “Protocols of the Elders of Zion”, translated and published by Serge Nilus fifty years ago. All that has happened in the last half century is described, often in great detail. Here are the most important points in this plan to conquer the world¹⁷:

- control gold,
- control global finance,
- provoke economic collapses and panics,
- encourage unbridled speculation,
- establish global monopolies,
- conquer control over the world press and news,
- substitute republics¹⁸ for monarchist states,
- promote only imbeciles or compromised politicians to the head of business,
- multiply political scandals to undermine respect for authority among the masses,
- spread Darwinism,
- spread vice, immorality, alcoholism,
- cause the most varied theories to proliferate, provoking doubt, destroying faith, throwing humanity into confusion,
- wildly multiply shows, amusements, tournaments, competitions, depriving the masses of time to reflect, preventing them from having an inner life,
- see that the cost of living rises along with wages so the working masses are in a constant state of insecurity and discon-

tent,

- continually depreciate national currencies and standard of living;
- run governments into debt; and ultimately ruin them with an arms race,
- provoke world wars,
- hamper the return to peace,
- accentuate a war of nerves until humanity, in desperation, surrenders itself to Jewish conditions,
- constitute a world government which must become a world dictatorship under a Jewish pontiff-king, establishing the messianic reign of Israel over all races, all peoples, definitively subjugated.
- Curious passages are also found on international borrowing,
- the use of microbes to create epidemics,
- even a passage where the possibility of an episode like Hitler's and the means to deal with him are foreseen: "It is too late for such a genius to thwart our plans... we will unite the surrounding countries against him ... we will answer him with American and Chinese canons, etc."
- The "Protocols" announce as well that atheism will be imposed on the non-Jewish peoples, but after a generation, Jewish deism will then be imposed."

This book of Zionist "Protocols" has been passionately controversial. No one has ever tried to disprove its content, it has only been ridiculed since a copy was cataloged at the British Museum in 1906.

Jewish experts have only attacked the book's origin. They call it "a forgery" because it contains a few pages similar to some found in Maurice Joly's "Dialogues in Hell between Machiavelli and Montesquieu". A "forgery" is always a copy of an authentic document that already exists. The same may be true of the "Protocols," which

would then reproduce an authentic plan. In fact, Maurice Joly was a Jew born Moses Joel, a Mason and loyal insider in revolutionary Marxist circles; and Joly's book is a virtual copy of another dialogue book by the Jew Jacob Vénédey, a great friend of the Mendelssohns, of Marx, Heine, and Engels, etc., and a member of Zionist, Communist and Masonic cliques. How can detractors of the "Protocols" logically call the book an anti-Semitic work in bad faith if it reproduces what Jews from upper Marxist and Zionist circles wrote about? Be that as it may, what the "Protocols" announced fifty years ago has come true so accurately that the author(s) were either prophets of genius or skillful conspirators with all the means to execute their plot.

In Soviet Russia, the mere possession of a copy of the "Protocols" is a criminal offense punishable by death. Denouncing the existence of a Jewish conspiracy in a Masonic lodge constitutes such a serious offense against "fraternity", "progress" and "free thought" that its author may incur the ultimate anathema of the sect.

The same Masonic-Marxist spirit has everywhere invaded the Judeo-Christian Brotherhood, Zionist in origin. There, Christian doctoral students, used as respectable fronts, rant their personal excommunications against the militant charity of other Christians who, relying on Christian authorities, not those of Jewish Masons or Marxists, happily accept their truly Christian duty to defend the last intact bastions, despite the tribulations.

This is all so sad that one can only pray for the recovery of their sight by these blinded little doctoral candidates, converted by the Synagogue to the problem of uniting water with fire, shadow with reality, and the Lie with Error.

For authentic Christians, to be attacked or defamed by the Judeo-Christian Brotherhood is an honor as great as though it had been by all shades of redness, by Zionism, by Masonry. For, we recognize

IS CHRISTIANITY BANKRUPT?

deviations as coming from the same school, from birds of a feather, by the identicalness of the enmities, the hostilities.



ELIMINATE CHRIST FROM THE GLOBE

In North America, there is no real struggle against Communism, the final outcome of nineteen centuries of heresies and three centuries of political revolutions aimed at changing the order willed by God for this world. For the entire continent, we can only recognize one exception: that of the government of Quebec, which confiscates all communist literature simply because it is communist and padlocks communist meeting rooms.¹⁹ Everywhere, elsewhere, there is voluntary tolerance of communist propaganda in the name of “freedom of speech”.

In the United States, Senator McCarthy's campaigns and investigations are aimed solely at eliminating from American public services those who may be agents of a foreign power, namely Russia, either through participation in political and military agencies of the Russian government or by participation in the Communist International which the same government controls. The law punishes only those who preach the overthrow of the government by force and violence, which signifies much, because the US government recognizes, in South America, political regimes that have come to power by force and violence. Moreover, by just this means, George Washington and his friends overthrew the legitimate government of their time, a method exalted and glorified²⁰ in all American history textbooks. As for the reality of Communism, its doctrine and its “principles” which attack without letup the existence of God, the human soul, religious morality, national sovereignty, private property, the

family as an institution, the foundations of the order which governs us—the public authorities are not at all concerned. They allow these perverse negations to be preached, they permit these poisons to circulate in the national organism, always in the name of “freedom of speech”, and according to the old liberal axiom that “the same latitude must be given to error as to truth”.

The Canadian federal government is doing exactly the same. For it, attempting to change the Physical Order by violence is the greatest crime; but violating the Order of the Spirit is so little wrong that it must be tolerated. As if bad ideas, tolerated with complacency, should not end up overthrowing the Physical Order itself or leading it to the most painful catastrophes, since the Physical Order itself depends on opinion. Does not such willful ignorance amount to a total negation of the spiritual, both in liberalism and in communism? We can already see the result in the multitude left defenseless. Indeed, when we ask people who claim to be anti-Communists what it would take to achieve a society of justice and peace, the percentage is considerable of those who unknowingly give answers of the purest Marxism. Where will these multitudes go when political movements offer them these answers, especially in times of acute crisis or panic?

Zionism, Freemasonry, Marxism, all three from the same source, nourished by the same sap, the Talmud, are foreign bodies infiltrated into the great Christian framework, acting dynamically and quite harmfully. Both in essence and in spirit, they are the radical opposite of the Christian idea. They seek not only to eliminate the name of Christ from our planet, to destroy His work, His Church, but also to destroy the Order willed by God in this world and to replace it with a materialistic and Godless “New Order”.



POWER OF THE HIGH BANK

If these three great movements, of which Zionism is the leader, are powerful and formidable, the force on which they are based is even more formidable. This force, also under Jewish control, is the International Jewish High Bank, the mistress of gold, international credit, and the currency game. She has imposed her cult of the Golden Calf in a world that is increasingly a desert of faith, a desert of morals, a desert of patriotism. This force acts every-where, it influences all the stock exchanges, it has a determining word to say in the fixing of the prices of all products, it governs the conditions of international trade, it commands the governments of nations, it organizes and supports global monopolies.

If prominent Jewish writers are to be believed, what is taught today as "economics" in our schools, colleges and universities is a gigantic fiction based on the fallacies that serve as justification for financial internationalism. Further-more, what is commonly called the law or game of "supply and demand" is only the result of the self-serving whims of the "Church of the Golden Calf" and benefits only the selfish pontiffs, to the detriment of the wretchedly plundered human masses.

Are the "non-Jewish" cattle of which the Talmud speaks with so much contempt not made to be used by their master, the Chosen People? From the time of the first Rothschild Bank in Frankfurt, world Jewish High Finance has presided at the creation of global cartels of all the world's combines of foodstuffs, commodities, precious stones, precious metals, semi-precious metals, industrial metals, innovations, news distribution, theater, music, cinema and tv

monopolies, etc. The great non-Jewish combines have not been able to battle with definitive success in these areas, at least not at the global level.

This undisputed power of the Jewish High Bank, which the Jewish Encyclopedia proudly proclaims, also has been responsible, according to countless Christian and Jewish authors, diplomats, financiers, economists, sociologists, politicians and others, for ALL revolutions in the modern world, for all local or general wars, for all economic collapses, for all social disturbances. I will content myself with summarizing the action of the World Jewish High Bank in these few words, because this one subject, to be clearly explained, would require two evenings like this, one devoted exclusively to reading texts from Jewish books and newspapers boasting of the power of the world Sanhedrin and explaining in detail what I have just told you in summary.

The great organizations discussed so far are not the only ones pushing humanity toward this disastrous deviation from its ultimate goal, which can only be called "materialist Jewish messianism." They are served, in their action which knows neither rest nor respite, by innumerable movements whose general characteristic is to be, not supra-national, but fully international.

There are movements for all tastes, all weaknesses, all inclinations, all "specialties", all interests, all "hobbies". There are some for timid spirits, for the lukewarm, for the neutral, for the hesitant, for skeptics, for the tangled up, for sociology buffs, the finance buffs, the economics buffs, pure-science buffs, for history buffs, theology buffs, philosophy buffs, moral buffs, psychology buffs, and even fine-arts buffs. This is expected, because if there is a "mystical body of Christ", there is of necessity a "mystical body of Satan", if the Saviour's affirmation, "He who is not with me is against me", is pushed to its logical conclusion.

There are international societies and clubs, philanthropic or fraternal organizations in rivalry with organizations of "charity for sanctifying grace"; movements for a paradise on earth in opposition to the movement for a paradise in the afterlife, movements of the occult or of spiritualism, atheism or free thought, of theosophy or mysticism, Gnosticism or magic.

For every known Christian thesis, there is an antichristian antithesis in every imaginable field of human activity. These antitheses are richly supported, disseminated with patience and obstinacy, assuming the appearance and form desired for the environment they must penetrate. And when we examine the human race in all its aspects, above all institutional and social, we wonder what environment has not been penetrated by the terrible virus.



PAGAN SEXOLOGY

Among all these antitheses, these deviations or distortions, of which not a single one finds its origin in Christian doctrine, some are extremely devastating and particularly noteworthy, especially because a considerable segment of Christendom allows itself to be taken in, or refuses to fight them, or spurns them only feebly. These, to cite the main ones, are Freudianism, Darwinism and Scientism.

Freudianism, or psychoanalysis, constitutes the integral materialization of psychology as taught by Scholasticism.²¹ Its initiator and prophet is the Jew Sigmund Freud, who sought to reduce all of man's conscious or unconscious activities to the sexual instinct.

For Freud, it is no longer the brain or the heart that is responsible for our thoughts or actions, it is sex. Intelligence and feeling are subordinate to an animal function, head and chest are subject to the belly! In our modern times, when Error is swallowed more quickly the cruder it is, it didn't take much for Freud to be proclaimed a demigod and for his fallacy to be erected into a "scientific" system.

The main source of the paganism, immorality and criminality sweeping our world today is traceable to this system. Because, before reverberating down the social ladder, through popular publications, popular books, comics, etc., Freudianism had been swallowed up by the very head of the social body: universities, academies, moralists, sociologists and jurists.

What distinguishes Christianity the most from all religious theories of all times, and this most strikingly, is its sexual morality. Of

all the religions mentioned in history, none in its ethics has more extolled virginity nor attached a higher value to chastity, regarded as an heroic virtue of victory over oneself, and renunciation. At the same time, never has a religious institution accorded to marriage and to spousal love more moving respect and greater veneration than the Church, which compares them to its own union with Christ.

This, more than anything else, differentiates Christian civilization from the Olympian or Greco-Latin civilization which preceded it, bearer of the aberrations and immoralities that all previous paganism had bequeathed to it. This is why it is not possible to find, as materialist historians stubbornly do, an ethical or moral link between the civilization of pagan Rome and that of Christian Rome. For, between all the moral-theological data of antiquity, of Rome as of elsewhere, and the Sermon on the Mount, there is an abyss over which it is not possible to establish a connecting bridge. These religious data are extremely important since they provide the foundation of public morality and its legislation in every civilization.

In the two most advanced eras of Olympian civilization, the classical eras of Athens and Rome, a morality flourished which Christianity could only qualify as depravity. Didn't the great "sage" Solon elevate prostitution to the height of religious worship and a government service?

Using public funds, he built the *dicteria*, temples of prostitution, and bought slave girls (*dicterias*) who, having become civil servants, had to satisfy the whims of all comers. And all this in honor of the "divinity"! He made adultery a public service and a source of income. He encouraged Bacchanalian orgies, the reflux of ultra-Mediterranean Babylon, where, at least once a year, every woman in the country had to prostitute herself to whatever man.

In that same Babylon, the bearers of Mosaicism were perverted,

the Talmud was born with its Kabbalah, its spells, its necromancy, its demonic evocations, its pre-Manichaeism, so deeply anchored in the Jewish elites that the Savior of men, when he had to appraise and judge them forever (Pharisees, Sadducees, scribes, etc.), damned them in terms so violent and harsh that no one since has dared to call human beings.

Above the *dicteria*, mere slave-functionaries, the Olympian civilization produced the *auletrides*,²² flute players, and nudists whose perversion — including dances of indescribable immorality (timidly back today as the “striptease” or “Specialty night show”) — would make a fortune in our modern times with no need for the auspices of Venus Perbasia; nor the horrors perpetrated under the patronage of Isis in Egypt or of Venus Mylitta in Assyria, of which Herodotus, if he still lived, would find as many reflections in our contemporary West as he had found in the Greco-Latin world. Above the *auletrides* were the *hetaeras*, deluxe prostitutes who prided themselves on intellectualism, served as propagandists for famous writers and artists, and rolled enthroned in sculpted chariots in the porticoes and academies. Each great “sage” had his own or shared the same one among three or four, such as Plato, Socrates, Aristotle, Alcibiades, Pericles, Themistocles, the degenerate tyrant Pythagoras, the great tragedians, actors-sculptors and political leaders. When Aristophanes wrote “The Clouds”, it was like a pamphlet to provoke the trial and death sentence of Socrates, who had not wanted to share with him, as with so many others, the favors of his own *hetaera*, Aspasia. Thus, from a quarrel over a prostitute of uncommon lustfulness, legend disguised as History has raised up the immoral sodomite Socrates on a sham Golgotha. All these great “sages” had wives, but they were only considered incubators, domestic servants, even lowly servants to prepare the feasts offered to *hetaeras*, and to *insertees* and pederasts whose favors the great “sages” set even above feminine indulgence.

The same state of affairs with respect to “morality” was found to a more degraded degree of vulgarity in the great classical Roman period of Virgil, Tacitus, Livy, Petronius; the Caesars: Julius, Augustus, Tiberius; and the poet Horace who wrote all his odes in the aftermath of orgies in the *lupanars*;²³ and Cicero, Marcus-Antonius and their illustrious contemporaries. That is why the message of Christianity — of purity and renouncement — arrived like a refreshing dew among the careworn throngs devoured by the bitterness of disgust and shame in conquered Greece and Latinity at their pinnacle: it was a message of beauty, of consolation, renewal and hope.

Above all, girls and women, till then mere animals for amusement, felt the truth and reality of their souls, the nobility of their new importance. It was they who, by their numbers and influence, through their new ideal and their sacrifices, produced the rising force and finally the triumph of Christianity. Which in return, to a degree never known, exalted the beauty of pure youth, the sublime grandeur of maternity and emancipated woman with a righteous emancipation as the Marian cult developed. The dignity conquered by Western woman is owed to Christianity, and to it alone.



THE PUTREFIERS AT WORK

The Renaissance, which tried to restore the old Olympian paganism and its “morality” in the wake of the Reformation, was conquered only by the “hard-won assets” bequeathed by the Middle Ages, the most striking era of sanctity in all human history. Then came the Judeo-Masonic and liberal French Revolution, whose first act was to place a naked prostitute on the high altar* of Notre-Dame de Paris (to replace the toppled statue of the Madonna), similar to the ancient *dicteria* chieftain on the high altar in the *lupanar* temple of Venus Erotica. The influences of a race — always identically materialistic and apostate — restored, within too-tolerant Christianity, the same struggle of animality over animity²⁴ on a social and public level!

The two Jews who, in the last century, directed the Masonic *Haute Vente* under the names of Nubius and Piccolo Tigre, had circulated in all the lodges detailed instructions on the manner of destroying Christendom. These instructions were summed up in three main ways: “make the Christians impure, which will make them no longer Christians”, since it is purity above all that must distinguish the true Christian from the pagan; “Corrupt woman by every means imaginable, which will at the same time corrupt Christianity and cause the Church to stagger”, “interest the priest in all sorts of occupations to take him from the sanctuary.” Nubius, in the spirit of a true Babylonian Jew, insisted above all on the following directive: “See to it that the Christian takes a liking to vice, see that he always returns to it, absorbs it through his five senses, breathes it in through all the pores of his skin; so that he is satu-

rated with it". A decadent and vicious literature, orchestrated by an invisible hand and covering all the countries of the West, salacious, with ever more daring, underscored by Jewish theater and then by cinema, by a progressive orientation of the garment towards nudism, swept over the Christian masses shortly afterwards.

At the same time, public amusement in places controlled by devotees or copyists of the Talmudic-Babylonian idea took on the appearance of the entertainments and rites of Venus of the old pagan eras. In spite of all we can condemn in the province of Quebec, on this subject of great importance for the survival of our culture, our little homeland still seems like a cloister in comparison with the moral degeneracy found in some countries. This is to say to what extent the neo-paganism wanted and planned by the anti-Christian enemy has done its work.

The human being, already overwhelmed by the inclinations and lusts consecutive to the original fall, was unable to rise by himself. But to these powerful natural inclinations, though intimate and personal in nature, a bold conspiracy has added public, social, institutional, massive, and universal incitement to counterbalance the public and institutional effects of the Redemption.

If, in the Middle Ages, Christian kings and princes would have hanged the subverters of their peoples without hesitation, modern heads of state — especially because they are Freemasons, sometimes Jews or Marxists subject to the subversive orders of their unknown masters — have tolerated, in the name of "freedom of speech", the ocean of muck in which their masters wanted to submerge their victim-peoples. If, at Fatima, the weeping Virgin revealed to her young protégés a vision of hell in which the lost souls fell more heavily than the most torrential rain they had ever seen, can we not conclude that these heads of state, at the service of "equal latitude for error and evil", bear great responsibility for it?

In the most decadent era of the pre-war period, in 1937, when the Spanish Civil War was raging, the Zionist Jew, Freemason and Marxist, Leon Blum, was Prime Minister of France. While encouraging "sit-down strikes" by workers which were felt on our continent, he published hundreds of copies of editions of his famous book, "On Marriage", in almost every language. This book surpassed in vileness all that pagan antiquity had ever dared to say, all that the Babylonian Talmud had within it of unspeakable filth. In its pages, he established the code of sexual morality that would prevail in the Modern City. Leon Blum, who had been expelled from the *École Normale de Paris* for pederasty; who had been stripped of his Sorbonne doctorate for plagiarism discovered afterwards, had as mentor and chief of staff the mysterious Jew Georges Boris, who it seems was preparing for the arrival of another equally theatrical Jew, Pierre Mendès-France, as political leader of our old motherland. Blum's book is a passionate apologia for adultery. He recommends that the young bride have several lovers. He demands that the schoolgirl, from puberty, "quickly and gaily reject virginity" and be initiated into the secrets of lustfulness by a reveler in his fifties. That between childhood and girlhood, the Westerner make frequent rehearsals for the marriage bed, "coming back from her lover with as much ease as she returns from taking tea". He exalts and glorifies incest, which he finds natural and non-repulsive, stating: "I find it perfectly normal for a young brother to make love to his young sister". At that time, numerous of our Christian elites went to kiss the feet of this bottom-feeder, this traveling sepulcher filled with rot, because he was, so it was said, "the official head of France". Saint Ambrose, who barred the Emperor of the West at the forecourt of his cathedral because he found it shameful that such a sinner²⁵ should cross the gate, had another conception of public morality — that of St. John the Baptist! We are told today, in a tone of victorious sufficiency, that these times have become fabled and obsolete, as if evil could improve with age and

virtue be antiquated.

All that Jewish scavenger Leon Blum poured into the hearts and minds of the young Christians of his generation, through the millions of copies of his book, still on sale, was in perfect accord with the school of Sigmund Freud, his fellow Zionist. Through its fraud of psychoanalysis, Freudianism has become the “confessional of materialism”. To its practitioners come unbelieving souls, who feeling the need for relief, will unburden their consciences. Curious practitioners! Indeed, the American ambassador Clare Boothe Luce, before her conversion to Catholicism, went to consult one psychoanalyst after another; and, she says, in her memoirs on her conversion, each of these reputable psychoanalysts, after hearing her intimate problems, wanted to “restore her psychic balance” with a proposal of adultery, to the benefit, of course, of the psychoanalyst!



IMPLACABLE LOGIC

Freudianism, an unproven theory that almost every university on Earth has swallowed and erected into an academic science in order to sign itself onto the payroll of generous American materialist foundations, reduces all human problems to a sexual origin. To this end, it has devised an impressive lexicon. Whoever wants to remain chaste becomes a victim of repression, frustration, complexes, inhibitions, sublimation, etc., because he violates Nature and her necessities. If he sets a fire, commits a robbery, assaults or kills, it is only because his “repressed natural functions” suddenly explode in spite of himself and, in a manifestation of disorder or violence for which he is by no means responsible, they find an outlet, an escape valve which must restore the normal balance of the individual. Just a few weeks ago, an Ontario magistrate, following other American judges, claimed that lawbreakers are not criminals, but repressed, frustrated, neurotics. It therefore is unsurprising that the materialist Dr. Brock Chisholm, Federal Deputy Minister of Hygiene and then a member of the World Health Commission at the United Nations, has been heard teaching that “the notion of good and evil, and Christian legends, are myths which disrupt the brains of children.” Therefore, purity, chastity, victory over temptation are evil, an attack against Nature; while lust, vice, and the “satisfaction of natural functions” are good! Just as in the days of the decaying Assyrians, when the prophet Isaiah wrote of his fellow creatures: “... that which is good they call evil, that which is evil they call good”.²⁶

If these moralists of immorality are to be believed, paganism is

right and Christianity is wrong, personal responsibility or ideas of good and evil do not exist, virtue is a disorder and evil is a good, subjugation of the senses is an error that disrupts nervous equilibrium. It must also be concluded, logically, that the human soul and free will, divinity and revelation are purposeless myths; that the Jewish Krupskaya, wife of the half-Jew Nikolai Lenin, was right when she stated in her diary that even rape, punishable here by the death penalty, must be ignored by the law because it is not a crime but simply a normal fulfillment of natural law. It is a pity that in our Christian monasteries where “repression” and “frustration” are practiced, even more inner peace, nervous equilibrium, order and wisdom are found than in any other place in the world! Probably because this state of affairs factually contradicts the teaching of bestialism, the “liquidation” of monasteries and their occupants rages wherever Judeo-communism manages to seize power. The demonic conspiracy at least has the implacable logic — superior to liberal indifference — of destroying without hesitation all that can prove the falseness of its teachings.



VICE, PRODUCT OF VIRTUE!

The more Freudianism spread, first in the head of the social body, then in its members through literary adaptation and popularization, the more widespread were the depravity of youth, early criminality, nervous diseases, venereal diseases, exhibitions of violence, the propagation of hospitals, asylums and jails. Christian elites were gripped by panic and could find no other solution than to practice “good Freudianism”.

A solution quite as idiotic as the other recent one of practicing “good communism”, starting with admitting the existence of some good in the quintessence of evil!

PhDs, moralists, sociologists, physicians, began furiously writing textbooks, treatises and manuals on “good sexuality”. Like the forces of evil, ought we not to be modern and keep up with “progress”? This literature instantly became quite profitable, for the anti-Christian enemy was the first to promote and spread it. For him, all that mattered was to create a permanent, sex-conscious new world. Book stores and libraries were filled with mountains of these books eagerly devoured by the youth of all Christian countries. What harm was there in that? Were they not books by a Catholic doctor, a good father, a great Christian scholar who all had written solely for the “good”?

What for nineteen centuries had belonged to the conscience, the confessional, or the doctor’s office, was thrown into the open as fodder for young people. We saw candor, modesty and innocence vanish five years sooner from the beautiful faces of our youth. Life and everything connected with it was no longer a mystery, it was

now a science explicable by human reason, and reason must learn it from the most tender age. This first and real mystery of life being no longer a mystery, shouldn't the other mysteries be equally explainable or consign them to the past?

The immense financial success of this Christian literature on "good sexuality" will generate competition among the "eminent" authors. Which doctor will expose the most clinical cases, which physiologist will best describe the sites of organic excitation, which pathologist will best detail the psychic effect of the physical maneuvers, which would most minutely describe the thousand carnal sensitivities and their relationship with the spirit. So many things the youth of old, with a minimum of moral education, knew perfectly well and morally understood solely by the presence or absence of remorse. But, this former youth, still pure, or at least modest, impressed as it was by the mystery, was very backwards compared to the one that must be modern and guided by "science", and rid itself of reactionary terms like "gentleman" and "great lady". It had not devoured, even before the critical age, without parents' knowledge, the immense mass of prose on "good sexuality" guzzled by modern youth who, to better exercise reason, will delve into "other" literature of the same kind in order to see the difference!

Freudianism triumphs all down the line, Nubius and his lodges are victorious! Whether by "good" or by bad literature, through rivalry between the two schools, so alike in their languid complacency as to describe the same details and the same sensations — in the solemn language of the dogmatist, Diafoirius, the goal is achieved. Sexuality is flaunted in broad daylight in the Modern City, erected into a compulsory science, with powerful effects, supporting divorce and nudity, oxidizing the sixth and ninth commandments.²⁷ Nineteen centuries of living experience and applying Revelation had been wrong, fifty years of "modernism" and "scientific" progress have put things back where they belong! And tales

of demonic possession? It's only legends! The "science" of men knows better: libido is a purely pathological condition, exhibitionism is just an effect of frustration; indecent assault a consequence of repression, vice but a product of virtue!

What Freud and his fellow-Jew Masons taught is taught to the letter today by Communism. Read what disgusted communist party leaders have written about the unbelievable corruption propagated among Communist Youth, the mandatory depravity of girls, not only behind the Iron Curtain, but even in the primary schools of Italian and French communes under Communist control. As with Sigmund Freud, Marxist school teaches that everything related to sex in imagination, desire or act, is only a natural, normal, and necessary function at any age and in any condition. The notion of sin must be completely discarded, as well as any idea of scruples before acceptance and any feeling of remorse after commission. Here, again, is the negation of the human soul among victims of Jewish Freudianism or Jewish communism. An expected outcome, since the non-Jew, or goy, is cattle, an animal created to be led by the Chosen People (the only humans with a soul), and to enrich them. The goy must rid his brain of his Christian "prejudices", and submit to the Talmud!



CONTRADICTING REVELATION

Darwin's evolution or transformism is another theory with which the brains of Christian elites have been plagued and which infallibly leads to the same appreciation of the goy as The Babylonian Talmud defines it: an animal without a soul.

Universities all over the West do this stupidity the honor of dealing with it pompously, and the great American foundations are paying large sums for its dissemination. Darwin was the only non-Jewish character to receive special mention in the "Protocols", emphasizing that his teaching must be propagated; which is quite significant.

It is difficult to understand, in our age of scientific rigor which admits only conclusive proofs, irrefutable facts, and unyieldingly precise mathematics, that one can raise to the rank of real sciences mere theories based only on assumptions, like psychoanalysis and Darwinian transformism. Whether it be mental juggling on the interpretation of dreams or certain psychic disorders, whether it be pompous rantings on the mystery of life and its origins, we hasten to erect them into deities. in the church of materialism, under pressure from sophistic propaganda and the fat stipends of the billionaire Foundations of Judeo-American materialism.

Even certain institutions availed of unshakeable Scholasticism have yielded to the temptation of gratuities — with timid prudishness, true — but they have nevertheless sown these harmful germs in the young brains placed under their protection. The Church of

the Golden Calf, here again, has amply proved the correctness of the main axiom of its creed: "The moment you put a price on something, you can buy men and impose ideas, with money". A little prostitution that the Lord will forgive, no doubt, even if the traffic mainly involves the domain of the Spirit. There have been instances of robust and comforting opposition to this materialist nonsense, but their rarity is rather more striking.

Like the soul and its origin, life and its origin, independent of man's reason, have been, are, and will always remain mysteries. When man has managed to create quite simply — and out of nothing — a blade of living grass able to reproduce, then he will understand life and be its master. Likewise for the soul and its secrets. But until this impossible moment arrives, man and his poor science will be entangled in ever more complicated labyrinths if he wants to try to understand by reason alone that which is the jealous prerogative of Him who, alone on this Earth, could say to him: "I am the Life" because it could not have arisen without Him.

The theory of transformism has undergone several mutations and changes since its originators Lamarck and Darwin, but it has not yet been able to prove a single species mutation in nature. While we have observed the fortuitous disappearance and gradual decline of certain living species, we have not yet been able to prove a single case of evolution from one species to another of a higher category. The modest earthworm or the humble infusoria have always remained more constantly identical to themselves than the theory of the transformists.

The sole purpose of transformism is to contradict Revelation. This teaches us that the Creator, after having created all marine, aerial and land animals (even apes), crowned His work with the particular and intentional creation of man, whom He made in His image, giving him a soul endowed with conscious intelligence and

free will. This definition of man by Revelation is the only one — to the exclusion of all others — on which the dignity and brotherhood of human beings can be based. But we must no longer believe that man came directly from the hands of God nor that he was animated by His breath. Rather, we must believe that man and his brother the monkey are the offspring of a common ancestor who was neither exactly a man nor exactly an ape. And where did this charming grandfather come from, against whose kinship the monkey seems keenly to protest when observing the behavior of certain men? He, himself, goes back to a primitive cell spontaneously generated in the mud and which has now become the mother of all that has ever lived on our planet.

And this teaching is based only on assumptions, presumptions, approximations, speculations. Paleontology and ethnology play with thousands and hundreds of centuries like a child with his toys. Their ever-changing theories add 25,000 years or take away 40,000 from the discovery of even the smallest bones. Find an isolated skull somewhere, and immediately we must conclude as to a race, a species, an era, even if we are in the presence of what could have been an exceptional monster.

Nothing disheartens the “scientists” of these “sciences”. Be they plunged into ridicule, like the former professor Jean Béringer, or our great modern “specialists”, by the hoax of the Piltdown skull, they nonetheless continue, with truly edifying determination, to seek an origin for man different from that which Revelation teaches us. Clearly, the Holy Spirit amused himself by revealing only puzzles and riddles; our scientists will be able to enlighten us better!



“GOOD TRANSFORMISM”

Just as others had envisioned practicing “good communism” and “good Freudianism” to save the Christian order — decidedly easier than destroying error — valiant crusaders have imagined practicing “good transformism”. The formula is simple and allows one to be on good terms with everyone, to satisfy both Error and Truth at once! Our Quebec universities, Catholic and Protestant, therefore presented to us on television, last winter, impressive graphics showing that monkey and man descend from a common ancestor. To make our children more receptive to these learned fancies (for, young Christians must believe in them as firmly as do young atheists in the Soviet Union), a new, quite “modern” superior catechism was imposed upon them, imported from Belgium, which admits “the slow evolution of animals toward their current state”. It is true that this “superior” catechism speaks of theories, hypotheses, etc., but it overlays them with terms like “scholar, science, scientist”. It admits that “Neanderthal man (nearer to an animal)” was the predecessor of “*homo sapiens*”. It juggles prehistory and all historical fantasies aroused by the imagination. Direct, immediate and intentional creation of a first man, the extraction of woman from this man, the prohibition of disobedience by the Creator, an original Fall which alone can justify a subsequent redemption, are no longer even remotely conceivable. Truly, this is modern progress in the training of Christian youth! It’s “scientific”! Jesus Christ, the All-Science, verily said “*righteous Abel*,”²⁸ son of the first man, but the progressive catechism — with the Neanderthal and its by-products — corrects this sad mistake of the Preacher of Galilee!

The consequences of a transformism that would have produced the human being are incalculable. To admit the point of departure, as for Freudianism and Marxism, is to arrive at the same final conclusions, to sink into materialism after a step-by-step negation of all the elements of spirituality, whatever quibbles or contrivances one wants to inject into the debate. Nothing in all the known positive sciences has yet been able to contradict Revelation. Only speculative theories, falsely and foolishly accepted as certainties, have attempted the contradiction by polemically producing a man scarcely more dignified, free and responsible than an animal. How, then, explain the compromises made concerning them, the concessions and capitulations?

Saint Pius X wrote: "the chief strength of the wicked lies in the cowardice and weakness of good men"²⁹, but this may not yet be the answer. Until we find it, let us be content to note that transformism has wreaked enormous havoc in the world and we hope that its propagation in our Christian circles does not do as much here at home.

Scientism, more brutal than evolutionary theory, is less dangerous for us here, although it has supplanted Revelation in much of the world and has become the religion of the twentieth century in schools behind the Iron Curtain. It is the claim that Science can explain everything, can do anything, can alone suffice for man, without the need for a spiritual God who, moreover, cannot be scientifically demonstrated.

So, what is this Science that must determine the fate of mankind? It is the set of observations made by man in nature, the discovery of part of the permanent laws that govern it, of the forces that reign there. To this science of observation which may be called superior, is added that of technology, which consists in applying the laws and using the forces discovered for certain ends. Today, science

and technology progress hand in hand, at the same rapid pace, the development of one calling for the development of the other. They complement each other, sometimes interpenetrate, to the point that under certain circumstances it is almost impossible to tell them apart.

Science is an essentially human affair; it only exists through man, by man, for man. If man observes, discovers and applies, he has nothing to do with the reality of laws that he has not put into operation, or with forces he has not created and to which he himself is subject. Science, a human production, is below man, whom it must serve. To make it an object of worship is simply to replace a spiritual divinity with a material divinity, which demonstrates again that a religion, whatever it be, is necessary to the human being, even if it be an idol made with its own hands.



ONLY TWO STAGES

Scientism, the product of observation and human reason, only really became a school with the encyclopedists or false philosophers. Or again, if we prefer the sophists Rousseau, Diderot, Condorcet, Voltaire and the fine minds who gravitated around them, almost all Christians at the beginning, they were gradually deformed in the Judeo-Masonic lodges. Scientism, faced with the sudden, surprising and ever more numerous discoveries of the laws of nature, believed that it could explain everything without Revelation and even do without Revelation completely. Even if it had taken poor human reason thousands of years to find and apply the principles of gravitation, steam, electricity, magnetism, etc., principles as old as the world, was this not sufficient for man to need nothing else: no light but his own? However, when one contemplates in brief the History of the human race — with or without paleontology, one can only divide it into two very distinct parts: two scientific phases which, in sum, are but the physical reflections of two metaphysical and theological phases.

All the cultures and all the civilizations which preceded Christianity are distinguished (but for extremely rare individual exceptions contradicting their time) by a theological constancy which contrasts with the luxuriant theological explosion of Christianity. Indeed, from all known antiquity until the arrival of Christ, philosophical and theological contemplation has dealt with only one domain: that of the substance and the essence of the divinity and of created things. This is the static period of the human mind, the era of still contemplation, of purely analytical mysticism. Sub-

stance and essence seem to be the only final fields of exploration.

Also, all other speculations of the human mind are affected, both scientifically and artistically. Mathematics, geometry, astronomy, physics, "chemistry", physiology, are like inert things. Architecture is motionless. The plastic and pictorial arts, if they achieve extreme fidelity, are without inner expression. History and tragedy appear like frozen images from a stereoscope. All antiquity lived only its present, rarely emanating faint glimmers of the possibilities of the future, not even concerned with it.

Like a thunderclap on the human spirit, Christianity launches souls and hearts into an awakening and onto a path of true revolution. If St. John the Evangelist,³⁰ the Mild, gives a definitive solution to the problem of substance and essence with his "*In principio erat Verbum*",³¹ St. Paul the violent³² penetrates beyond substance and catapults the human mind into the problem of the movement of energy, of divine dynamics. He puts an end to the long pre-Christian era of spiritual stasis, of this "inertia of the present", of "passive waiting", of the "contemplation of the promise realizable at any time", to plunge into the torrential waters of the "realization begun that must be proclaimed".³³

The essentially Christian notion of sanctifying grace, or sharing in divine life, creates an entirely new spiritual vision while producing a sensation of inner life never before experienced. The human soul is no longer contained, fixed and immobile, in a simple vision of bliss or nirvana, but propelled to impetuous movement of hectic life whose dynamism has no bounds.

Fifteen centuries of this spiritual activity, which eventually permeated the whole of the West, was bound to produce a parallel action in the observation of the material world. While it is true that man is in the image of God, it could also be true that the laws of nature are an image of other laws operating in the supernatural world.

This assumption, conscious or subconscious, emanating from Christianity, is the foundation of the whole scientific current, the movement-energy-dynamic of the modern world, which would never have come about without Christianity. Again, the notion of the extraordinary energies of grace, the phenomena of spiritual telepathy, telecommunications and television, were they not experienced by man before the invention³⁴ of their physical counterparts?



THE DYNAMISM PROPELLING ALL FIELDS

With Christianity, everything becomes alive, mobile and dynamic. Old mathematics awakes from its sleep and comes to life in formulas antiquity could never have suspected. The primitivity of astronomy is propelled into complex and dazzling developments; geometry is set in motion, mechanics captures and controls living forces; chemistry runs from secret to secret. The science of man, become almost alive through man who at last can have true life, generates other sciences, techniques give birth to new techniques, until we arrive at the vision of pure energy behind whose Seal only God can be found. In the arts, the same intensity, the same effervescence of the soul set free. The long nose cone, tapering toward the horizon, imitates momentum to infinity, imparting to the masses of stone a movement hitherto unknown.

Music soars to unheard-of heights and, with the uniquely Christian fugue, accesses an elusive fluidity. The Christian conception of justice creates a common law and a jurisprudence of incomparable beauty. Painting and sculpture are no longer content simply to reproduce the exterior aspect with fidelity; the soul, and interior pathos, are torn open and revealed. All the splendor of living philosophy takes shape in the unshakeable Scholasticism that all subsequent oppositions will never be able to even chip away at. Then, as an apotheosis of human genius bathing with joyful exaltation in the light of God, the sum of Catholic theology and its sumptuous commentaries answers all questions, calms all doubts, annihilates

all objections. And all this because the Western world, thanks to Christianity, can live the new life of humanity by sharing in the life of Him who conquered death.

If there are therefore only two phases in the whole spiritual history of men: the phase of death or the fixity of unredeemed humanity, and then the phase of life and movement of redeemed humanity, likewise, the history of scientific and artistic development demonstrates the same two parallel phases. These are the phase of static and inertia of the pre-Christian era, and the phase of movement and dynamism of the Christian era. This is a matter of real facts, far above personal opinions or prejudices.

Never has man climbed higher nor done greater things. When the long gestation of Christian contemplation imposes its commanding reflection in the material world, with its data of movement and dynamics, Nature, forced by the Spirit, can only deliver up its secrets, one after the other. Exactly at the moment of this manifestation, Naturalism, with a thousand tentacles, is unleashed within the very heart of the Christian world, against Spiritualism with a thousand activities. Father Joseph Lemann, a Jew who converted to Catholicism, attributes to the emancipation of the Jews of Europe this sudden deviation from the course pursued by Western-Christian or Gothic civilization. Oscar Lévy, Marcus Elie Ravage, Bernard Lazare, Benjamin Disraeli, Adolphe Crémieux, Maurice Samuels and a hundred other eminent Jews write in the same vein at different dates.

The Christian culture-civilization immerses Europe, the Americas, influences Islam, penetrates Africa and has its conception of *jus gentium* adopted by Asia. Because, make no mistake, since there is only one cult in the West: Christian worship, there is only one culture, Christian culture. To speak of French culture, English culture, German culture, Italian culture, Spanish culture, etc., is to gorge on

words or on chauvinism. There has been and still is, for the whole of the West, one and only one culture: the Christian culture, which takes on variants or different aspects according to the temperament of the people and the old traditions of the milieu. For, it is the spirit that makes culture, and not the external manifestations. And, despite the formidable opposition to this culture aroused by the immense material means of the anti-Christian enemy tolerated in the great Christian body, it is only through this culture that there still can be order in our modern world. Parasitic forces seeking to deflect humanity from its real purpose have misaligned it, still cling to it, and could do nothing without it.



WE HAVEN'T SEEN ANYTHING YET

The other evening I asked the question: "*Are we right to say that Christianity has had its day and must die its natural death like all the civilizations which preceded it?*" I think I can say that if Christianity is on the decline in a large part of the world, it is not because of the weakness of its doctrine, the inadequacy of its principles or the outdated nature of its creed, but rather through the violent intervention of tyrannical forces, the conspiracy of underground forces, the blissful tolerance of the political and social control of the Christian masses by anti-Christian or extra-Christian elements.

If it were true that this Christian civilization must disappear, we must admit that it will be the last in all human history, that there will be no more since it is not possible to imagine another, and that the human race will sink into a chaos that will totally destroy it.

The discomfort anguishing the world today is undoubtedly willed. It is willed by a conscious will which aims for a specific goal: the overthrow of the Christian order, whose whole body it has infiltrated like a harmful germ which has unity of direction and action, and which eats away and weakens all the organs of the great body before it can completely subjugate it. All that we have seen so far is little compared to what the third and last phase of the World Revolution, so successful in its first two phases, will bring through the deliberate betrayal or the soft connivance of the heads of the Christian states. Let's not delude ourselves. The enemy will not give up his colossal power acquired in the Christian body and will not cease the battle which he has undertaken solely to please us. He

himself is carried along by the dizzying currents he has unleashed on the world. The apprentice-demon is no more the master of his experiment than the Sorcerer's Apprentice. If, for the Christian, Christ is everything, has everything, must be everywhere and has the right to have everything subject to Him, spirits and things, worlds or men, individuals or communities, the anti-Christian germ tolerated in the Christian organism has the unique task of eliminating Christ from everything and everywhere. This is the reality of the great final battle which began in 1914 and was conducted under diplomatic, military and economic guise. With devilish seduction, the anti-Christian enemy is winning even legions of Christians to his cause, through the skill and power of his propaganda, convincing them that his hateful battle against the Cross is a crusade. Only by lying, can he seduce them.

A considerable figure in the Christian world, H.E. Mgr Antonio Garcia, Bishop of Tuy, has studied this vast question at length; he even experienced it during the Spanish Civil War, from which he miraculously escaped. Here is how, just three years ago, he summarized his studies and research: "It is evident that the current conflict is one of the most terrible wars unleashed by the Antichrist, that is to say by Judaism, against the Catholic Church and against Christ.

And in this crisis in the history of the world, Jewry uses two formidable armies: a secret one, namely Freemasonry; and the other, open and avowed, hands dripping with blood, that of the Communists and all associated groups: anarchists, anarcho-syndicalists, socialists, as well as the auxiliary forces: Rotary, Charity Leagues, etc., where the exclusion of Christ, His morality, His doctrine is preached, or where the intention at least is to disregard them, as though Jesus Christ had not clearly said: He who is not with Me is against Me".

In a battle so clearly defined, on which side will the Christian be, if he does not want to rot from inertia and cowardice in the no-man's-land of the new strategy worked out to paralyze the masses of the people? : Judeo-Christian "brotherhood"?



EVIDENCE AND TRUTH

Scientism, a pompous form of naturalism, is the new religion that leftism wants to impose upon the world, from advanced liberals like the late W. L. Mackenzie King to communists like Stalin and Chou-En-Lai. This gross error arises from the materialization of the Truth, when it is not a question of its total omission. Because the evidence, the demonstration that science can provide, is by no means the Truth. The evidence of Nature and its phenomena is accessible even to animals: light, darkness, pain, color, heat, cold, height, distance, consistency, scent, taste, lightning, wind, smoke, vapor, vibrations, etc. All that man can do, more than the animals can, is to analyze and measure phenomena, to observe the laws which govern them; but neither Nature nor human reason can explain their origin, the beginning of these laws which imagined and imposed them.

On the other hand, the Truth, inaccessible to any being devoid of a soul, has the same superiority over the evidence as the Spirit has over matter. Truth concerns only the spiritual world and the functions of the human mind, even when, for the latter, it comes to appreciating the evidence. Truth identifies with God just as evidence identifies with the material world. This is why materialism, which denies God, cannot know, much less possess the Truth. This explains how, in our era of the most prodigious material developments, man is moving away from the vision of God to the extent he is ecstatic before human discoveries. He sinks into spiritual and moral deficit the more he puts faith in the deployment and transformation of matter. He wanders in confusion and disorder when

he imagines that his science and its achievements are the foundation of Order on earth.

Scientific evidence can only cast a vague glimmer of spiritual realities by the feeble means of induction, whereas Truth, known by Revelation and accepted by Faith, illuminates with dazzling light all the faculties of the soul, bringing to it the knowledge of realities more riveting, more alive than all those of the material world, even giving to the latter its real proportions and meaning.

Modern physics has reached the point of asserting that matter is nothing more than vibrational energy in various forms; and this pluriform and polymanifest vibratory energy is essentially unitary at the same time as being of Trinitarian "composition", whether in the atomic, electric, magnetic, molecular domains, etc., or in the play of the laws of attraction, cohesion, gravitation, reproduction, etc.

It seems that there is only one law governing the world, the law of "trinity in unity" which appears in hundreds, in thousands of variations and different aspects. Could it be because the Author of this unique law with multiple manifestations has engraved His signature upon it? To answer this question, I believe the Truth is more competent than the evidence.

The Truth is one, unchanging, eternal, universal. That is why it is infallible and remains the only source of certainty. If it only applied in one place, one particular time or circumstance, it would not be the Truth. In the human world, endowed with spirit, the one and infallible Truth can only reside in one Man, charged with an authority conferred upon Him by infallibility, authority that only He could confer who was able to say: *"I am the Truth"*.



UNIVERSAL MATERIALIZATION

The materialization of the Truth in metaphysics, substituting for it "scientific evidence" as the sole source of certainty, has undermined its authority. This is what the enemy infiltrating the Christian body wanted. Again, this was not the only materialization produced. All the other authorities which depend upon Truth in the physical domain have suffered the same fate, to be gradually replaced by usurpations propped up on terrorism, prejudices, hatreds, pride in revolt, on the trafficking of consciences.

Charity has been emptied of its substance, Love. Into its hollow shell has been injected the inert ersatz of "humanism" the adéist and liberal "brotherhood" which is in no way superior to the simple, instinctive and fragile solidarity of animals of the same species. Love being no longer there, its absence vacates the field to indifference, hard-heartedness, cruelty. Modern wars give frightening images of this.

On the stage of the world theater, Justice has given way to arbitrariness, as demonstrated by the sad state of the many chained peoples, the sordid burlesque of so-called "international trials" and the aberrations decreed at the United Nations. Law has come to mean unilateralism, as in the violent usurpation of Palestine, the glorified Wrong. Two opposing conceptions of life, society and everything that goes with it are engaged in a fight to the finish. To the solemn affirmation of their God-Man: "*You can do nothing without me*", the Western Christian peoples, through their political leaders, respond pretentiously with an anti-Christian humanism, which is

but the formula of their own enemies. And why so much blindness? Because the Christian masses are blissfully guided by slave leaders of the opposing camp: Freemasonry, Zionism, Marxism, a trinity of means united toward an identical final goal.

Words have changed their meanings and terminologies have taken on reverse meanings, which constantly propagates the confusion that already exists in the great Christian body through the presence of a distorting and delaying parasitism. Take the word "democracy", which in human society (on both sides of the Iron Curtain) has become the supreme imperative and has replaced all divine authority with one and the same propaganda. What does this global password, this all-powerful *verbum*, mean? Anything, as long as it is against the True, the Just and the Beautiful.

In Russia, "democracy" justifies the slavery of twenty million men in concentration camps, the destruction of religion, the family, the right to property. In Palestine, it beatifies the most virulent and savage racism. In France, it glorifies disunity and instability. In England, it confirms the abandonment and abdication of an historical role called "vital, capital" not a decade ago. In China, it sanctions usurpation, tyranny and despotism. In America, it canonizes plutocracy, socialism, miscegenation, degradation of morals. When a monarch eats a hot dog at the White House, that is proclaimed "democratic." For a prince or a princess to ridicule the sacred laws of marriage and identify with the most scandalous characters of an era is to be "a good democrat." The anti-Christian propaganda which sets the tone for modern life, on the note of "democracy", would believe itself guilty of anti-democracy if it tried to raise the moral or intellectual level of peoples. Its task is to "clean up the brains" of any culture, on both sides of the Iron Curtain. On our continent, the mass production of robots in the name of jazz and sports vertigo, the ennobling of gorilla attitudes and the propaganda of vulgarity, are fashionable detergents, along with the wor-

ship of incantational noise and ever-more-stupid stream-of-consciousness novels.

In the short moments of respite allowed to it, the multitude, because nothing can kill its spirit, feels this profanation of its dignity and realizes the omnipresence of the lie. It despises the authorities who allow such a state of affairs and secretly wishes for their overthrow.



TWO CREEDS IN CONFLICT

Tolerance, which once applied only to evil and error (since the Good and the True have no need to be tolerated), has been put on the same footing as law. The interior and essentially spiritual free will, a conscious and voluntary option for evil or good, increasingly loses its function in the face of a liberalism which grants equal public respect to evil and to good, to lies and to truth.

Not only have words lost their value, but in many cases they must be given a meaning totally opposite to their original meaning. Thus, we qualify as “abstract”, or “abstraction” what most violently contradicts the field of abstraction, a case in point these new revolutionary “arts” devoid of inspiration, taste, spiritual effort, intention, thought, reason, and boil down to incoherent forms, daubings or garish dissonances that very simply would be laughable if they were the products of wild monkeys or broken machines.³⁵ This “abstraction” goes so far as to invade our temples with its blasphemous caricatures to supplant the true inspiration of the Fra Angelicos and the Raphaels.

When we know where so much perversion and confusion come from, and for what desired purpose, we can feel no surprise. But what is disconcerting and perplexing is how incredibly naive the Christian elites are. These contingents trained in doctrinal orthodoxy, prepared to “defend the besieged fortress”, are falling faster than the uneducated masses into the traps of enemy propaganda.

Is it from a desire for originality, or a taste for novelty, that an

eternally true doctrine is sacrificed to the fallacies of the anti-Christian conspiracy? There is no point in having principles and proclaiming metaphysical truths if, in their practical application to the problems of human society, they are made to serve the game of the forces of evil, to debase rather than elevate the human being.

Two creeds vie for adherents in the world: the materialist creed and the spiritualist creed. Both have their dogmas which complement each other and form a logical whole, like links in a chain. In one, and the other, it is impossible to break or subtract a link without breaking the chain itself. In both creeds, the final outcome is the same: bliss for the human being, a paradise of justice and brotherhood, happiness and equality, peace and fairness.

The Christian creed proclaims that man is born with evil inclinations, that the Earth is "*a vale of tears*", that the paradise of bliss exists only in the hereafter and can only be attained through redemption in Christ. The materialist creed proclaims that man is born good and is only corrupted by those around him³⁶, that the Earth can become an abode of pleasure, that the paradise of bliss is only accessible in this world (since there is no beyond).

Each of the two creeds defines the conditions for admission to its paradise. The materialist creed enumerates its own in Marxism, Freemasonry and Zionism: elimination of the idea of God, of a spiritual world, of the human soul, of Throne and Altar, of all religion, of the right to private property, of all personal initiative, of the family as a fundamental unit of society, of any idea of a homeland, of racial differences and national borders. Paradise will come, after all this destruction, only by way of a world government over a universal republic, which will be unopposed and cause justice, peace, fraternity, equality, healthful living, non-wealth, non-poverty, the same comfort for each and every one, to reign over the world. The word of Christ, *verbum Verbi*: "*For ye have the poor always with you*"³⁷

is an error that materialism plans to correct!

The long-term goal is therefore a super-government ³⁸ over a world republic, as proposed by the Marxism of Moscow, the Masonic-communism of the United Nations, Zionism as defined in the "Protocols" and proven by all contemporary events. One wonders how it is possible that Christians not subservient to the three aforementioned organizations can preach the necessity, opportunity or possibility of the same world government over a universal republic. How can the disciples of Christ advocate the same solution as the disciples of Lucifer? How can Christian doctrinal orthodoxy come to the same conclusion as anti-Christian "doctrine"? It makes you wonder which section of Christianity, however minor, has not been corroded by liberal-socialist-communist acid.



CONDITIONS OF UNITY

The conditions for world unity, the unity of humanity, already exist in Christianity. This is the only blueprint for achieving the true internationalism of justice and peace in all fields and all activities. What Catholic will not recognize the powers of a Negro, yellow, brown, white, red, or Semitic priest; will not bow to a bishop of any race or place; will not admit as his neighbor any human being who is, or is called to become, a member of the mystical body of Christ? It is spiritually, and only in this way, that world brotherhood is possible, in true catholicity and ecumenism. Internationalism cannot be imposed. It can only be founded on spiritual bases: a common ideal, common doctrine, common ethics, a common conception of justice, law, equity, peace. All freely accepted by all men; or be it through a worldwide code for the exchange of human spiritual activities, religious, philosophical, scientific, artistic, moral, legal and social discoveries or achievements. This is what Christianity has understood and done for the fifteen centuries it has ruled the world.

If, through the civil power of the peoples subject to its culture, it colonized, this colonialism taught colonial peoples the art of institutions and organization, advanced criminal and civil law, curative and preventive hygiene, the application of Western science in communications, transport, commerce, industry, agriculture, fish farming and other important activities. Without the expansion of the Western-Christian idea, these colonial peoples would not have emerged from their savagery and would still be plunged in the barbarism that characterized them a few millennia ago. Was there

exploitation of the natives? Obviously, no one disputes this. There are two reasons for this exploitation. The first, very reasonable and acceptable: to make these natives pay a minor share for what they were given. The second: Jewish parasitism, unfortunately tolerated by Christians, which sucked the natives and settlers of Europe not only of their money but also of their blood, in EVERY colony established by whites throughout the world.

The histories of North, Central and South America, of Arab and African countries, of India, Indonesia and China provide striking examples. One need only read the book "Jews in Canada"³⁹ published in a fit of pride by the Canadian Jewish Congress, to know that our Canadian grandfathers were victims of the most vile exploitation by the Jews David and Abraham Gradis of Bordeaux, who had wrested from the King of France the exclusive privilege of commerce and navigation between France and Canada; whose sinister steward Bigot was their commercial partner and representative here; who communicated freely and directly with London during the war between France and England; who, responsible for supplying the French regiments and Canadian militias with arms and ammunition for the campaigns of Montcalm and Lévis, ensured that their numerous relief ships were intercepted on the high seas by the English navy. The King of France paid handsomely in ringing millions of coinage for these goods that were never delivered. This page of Canadian history, never mentioned by our official historians, is so dirty and treacherous that if it had been properly developed according to the facts and truth, French Canadians would never have accepted that a single Jew be admitted to our country, because these Jewish traffickers and their ignoble valet Bigot alone were responsible for the treason perpetrated against our ancestors. On this, I am not giving you my personal opinion, but only the official boasting of Canadian Jewry to gain the good graces of English

IS CHRISTIANITY BANKRUPT?

Protestantism (which trusts it no more than does French Catholicism, although it too feels compelled to endure its moral terrorism).



CONTRARY BEATITUDES

The antithesis of colonialism has always been auton-omism, sometimes falsely called nationalism. For, nationalism is a positive affirmation made by an autonomous and already complete living cell, like the assertion of sovereignty made by a new family unit. The antithesis of nationalism is not imperialism, but internationalism. Imperialism can be justified by a necessary guardianship over minor and undeveloped peoples; internationalism wrongly wants to subjugate and rule over major and more advanced peoples than the internationalist conspiracy itself.

In human history, we have seen localized peoples of superior culture wanting to establish and then expand their imperialism; but political internationalism could only have come from a non-localized, internationalist and well internationalized people. This is the Jewish people, there has never been another in any known era. Its internationalism is the only one which is materialistic, in opposition to the only spiritual internationalism of Christianity; it alone could provide the prophets, propaganda and financial means of international communism which proclaims the possibility of a paradise on earth; it alone of all peoples and religions has imagined an earthly Eden in opposition to the heavenly Eden.

Because of its international dispersal and its Talmudist principles (a grotesque distortion of the Torah), it was able to establish first an internationalism of gold, of financial capital which operates through the High International Jewish Bank. This then created the internationals of propaganda (press, cinema, books, magazines, radio, television), international trade, world monopolies of essential

commodities and products, Freemasonry for the upper class, Marxism for the humble classes. As all these internationalisms can easily collapse in the face of a national reaction, the essential and final internationalism was imposed: political internationalism which must absorb the national sovereignties.

This is how world Jewry (as it boasts in its most official publications) used its three powerful means of Masonry, Marxism and Zionism to impose on all national sovereignties its own international sovereignty through the League of Nations, then the United Nations.

Internationalism on the material plane (financial, economic and political controls), or world government, or "paradise on Earth", is the practical crowning of all the errors of materialism. As likewise nationalism on the spiritual plane is the supreme error of false spiritualism: a religion for just one people or just one race; localized truth; regional ethics and morals; geographic justice and equity. On the confusion of these two domains, cleverly exploited, world Jewry (religiously nationalist) pushes forward its destructive internationalism of the non-Jewish peoples. Not only is Jewry powerfully aided in spreading this confusion by its instruments of Zionism, Freemasonry and Marxism, but it also relies on the active help of a large part of the Christendom that this confusion must liquidate. Everything that must be affirmed and protected in order to achieve the heavenly beatitude which crowns the Christian creed must be destroyed in order to obtain the earthly beatitude which crowns the anti-Christian creed.

This is what many Christian elites, always 50 years behind the enemy maneuver, do not seem to have understood. Ignoring or rejecting the powerful doctrinal virility of the giant Fathers of the Church, they speculate with a disconcerting and spiritually effeminate beating around the bush on the paradoxes of the adversary,

swallow some of them, compromise, haggle, mishmash and end up capitulating. We find another demonstration of this in the ultra-modern catechism imposed in our primary schools, a “novelty” of Belgian importation, which condemns nationalism decisively on the faith of Lies, by giving it an erroneous definition that it never had, which at the same time teaches our children “world citizenship”: the dreamed ideal of Zionism, Masonry and Marxism.

The “modern” catechism imposed on our children has a striking peculiarity on this topic of nationalism: it uses the terms of rabbis, of the venerables of the lodges, of the atheists of UNESCO. It skews, scuttles sideways, spitting, and can't help but flaunt its bad faith by calling nationalism “narrow”: a pronouncement like the Grand Orient, or the Sanhedrin! Oddly enough, this same catechism does not so qualify internationalism, nor even Virtue, Justice, Beauty, Purity, Faith, Charity, etc., all of which could also be “narrow”. In the harem of Judaic subversities, the Belgian catechizing eunuchs are doing their duty extremely well. If they receive the B'nai B'rith Freemasonry Gold Medal, they should be congratulated.



WHAT NATIONALISM IS

Since we are less “modern” and prefer to stick to the old “backward” orthodoxy, such as that of the late Bishop J.-A. Paquet, we dare to be satisfied with the old definitions. Nationalism, in its political expression, is the material and physical body of a state of mind and ardent feeling called patriotism. This patriotism encompasses all the traditions and aspirations of a people. Nationalism is the exercise of the right of one people to direct its destiny, to conduct itself, to make its own decisions, to enjoy physically and morally all the heritage comprised on and under the soil, to choose its allies, to refuse to submit to external pressures, to assert its financial and economic rights within its borders, to proclaim Christ as the Sovereign and Legislator if it likes, to see that its legacy benefits first and foremost its own children and institutions, to repel all parasitism from the outside, to impose its king and its Hope as rules of Order on its own territory.

The Jews fully understood this in the establishment of their new State of Israel, imposed it as a binding rule — and with perfect justification — even if we deny them all historical, legal, and moral right to territory they have usurped by violence and terrorism, with the swift blessing of Moscow, the assent of 33rd-Masonic Truman, Canadian authorities and curious international “fraternities”.

What is narrow about nationalism is that it can ask citizens to make sacrifices, up to that of dying for the country. A “narrowness” that our one-worlders want to expand to the need to die for revolutionary, anti-Christian and anti-national whims. Nationalism demands, as a condition of its dignity and authority, full sovereignty,

like that of the head of a household that is not in guardianship. This in no way prevents nationalism from using this sovereignty to contract supra-national alliances, agreements, commitments, from freely binding itself to limitations demanded by the general interest, just as families from the same village bind themselves to common requirements without losing any of their internal sovereignty.

Patriotism and nationalism are the cursed words of our time. See how we dirty them, scoff at them. All the UNESCO textbooks call for their elimination from the brains of children everywhere. From the first two German syllables of the national word, "nazi", massive propaganda has raised up a flapping scarecrow, the universal bogeyman, a vision of hell, which allows anti-Christian internationalism to appear more sympathetic and to forge its path into the skulls of naive doctoral candidates who, if they are daft as white geese, fail to be "cunning as a fox"⁴⁰ and "wise as a serpent"⁴¹, thus violating one of the Savior's most imperative commandments, precisely the commandment which was to save us from communism.⁴²

All that atheism, the Lodge, the Sanhedrin, Rougism produced of evil geniuses: Lenin, Trotsky, G.B. Shaw, Jean Zay, Leon Blum, Bernard Baruch, Wells, Morrison, Russell, Huxley and their many phalanges, all either Jews or Masons, vomited and vomited again on patriotism and nationalism. All swore to bring down Throne and Altar: Throne (or national sovereignty) first, because all over Europe for thirty years, we saw how easy it is to overturn the Altar when the Throne, its natural support, has first been toppled.

In its struggle against private property, the conspiracy always attacks, first and foremost, large local property, preferably noble or hereditary; first land, then industrial and commercial. It knows that when the bigger ones are stripped and helpless, it will be easier to eliminate the middle and small owners.⁴³

The same is true for sovereignties and autonomies. When the na-

tional sovereignty of a country, which is the highest civil sovereignty, will have been annihilated, it will be extremely easy to destroy among the defenseless nationals, the institutional, corporate, social, professional, family, diocesan or parish sovereignties that it will please the new international authority to do away with it.



THE COLLAPSE OF LIBERALISM

Christian messianism involves a supernatural para-dise in which the distinctions of sex, family, language, race, nationality, social rank, fortune, liturgy, origin or earthly prestige will disappear. Judaic messianism, which hasn't the merit of an original idea, is but a crude materialization of the Christian spiritual ideal; a distorted, grotesque application of this ideal in the physical world. Utopian and lying, it seeks the disappearance of the distinction between the sexes, of families, languages, races, nationalities, classes and hierarchies, in a world that cannot do without them and where these distinctions constitute realities, not born of man's reason, but of the harmonious combination of the natural order with the divine order: the supreme achievement of Christianity. The builders of the Tower of Babel, before collapsing in confusion, were not quite as advanced in the anti-natural aberration as this Talmudic messianism of our time.

However, the parallel overlap of the two messianisms, one spiritualist, the other materialist, one of Truth and the other of sophism; the one divine, the other diabolical; each requiring, in its own world, the disappearance these same distinctions, created this confusion that has seduced many Christians. We have even seen doctors, with untimely zeal, eager to anticipate the spiritual order, preach for this world the disappearance of the capital distinctions, as necessary in the human order as they are superfluous in the spiritual order; in particular, the collective distinction of the greatest number incorporated in what is called national sovereignty.

When His Holiness Pius XII said, quite recently, that "doctrinal

training is the most urgent present need",⁴⁴ one can understand, among other things, that it means doctrinal training to be capable of instantly detecting the seductive fallacies of false messianism, the inviting pitfalls of the anti-Christian creed; doctrinal training to protect the authentic Christian from false tolerance, from softening in zeal, from the flagging of the "gift of strength", from the indifference⁴⁵ and from the quietism⁴⁶ that liberalism spreads everywhere, unable in any way to weaken Christian dogma, so playing on the natural weakness of human beings, that old echo of original sin which consisted in imposing on man, with equal consideration, the knowledge of good and the knowledge of evil; doctrinal training which ought to allow the Christian, a militant and soldier by his august qualification, not only to resist the assaults of the enemy, but above all to take the offensive and, by the powerful weapons of his doctrine, to sweep away fallacies as light dispels the darkness.

In taking stock of the last two centuries, it must be admitted that the present World Revolution does not mark the collapse of Christian civilization. On the contrary, we are witnessing the collapse of the system that has taken over the leadership of the Western peoples, the Judeo-Masonic system that could only be exteriorized in one political formula: liberalism, with its inevitable successors of socialism and communism. For its originators and directors of the High World Bank, the system succeeded by eliminating many religious, national, ethical and social barriers that blocked their way, by ensuring them an incredible centralization of wealth through the systematic financial exploitation of peoples, a system of villainous usury of unparalleled scope and dimension on a global scale, the levers of global propaganda and the abject submission of prostituted national leaders to money.

But for peoples reduced to serfdom, liberalism has gone bankrupt, bringing nothing but minor, major and universal wars (for the sole benefit of a conspiratorial minority), revolutions of all kinds;

misalignment of natural life, decadence and demoralization, the sudden standstill of the prodigious Christian cultural rise. With Judeo-liberalism, economic collapses and growing pauperism in the face of constant centralization of super-wealth, are no longer the effects of natural cyclical phenomena. They are the consequence of human maneuvers incessantly and greedily repeated. Judeo-liberalism, Talmudic in origin, has ruled the world since those Jewish sell-outs, Oliver Cromwell, Voltaire, Rousseau, Maribeau and their illegitimate offspring, to the present day, combined their efforts with the Reformation and the Renaissance to dislodge Christian orthodoxy from public leadership.

Uninterrupted success has firmly convinced the global high priests of the Golden Calf of their definitive triumph. A passive, tolerant humanity has taken no major step to truly defend itself from parasitism — in spite of all the big melodrama written on the Hitler episode. The conspirators are therefore adamant in their own proud “wisdom” based on confidence in the congenital folly of the Gentiles (as the Talmud so often asserts). They already believe, given their premature outbursts of exuberance, that their reason and money have conquered human nature and subjugated Nature itself.

Very sad will be the last day after the great conspiracy, when a dying world overthrows the false golden idol, crushes its temples, crushes terror and its terrorists. Very few survivors will remain to consent to make common cause — in desperation — with the rest of humanity; perhaps just enough to complete the “quota” of the 144,000 so diligently inventoried in Revelation. When they have seen with their own eyes that everything can collapse, institutions or human secret plans, they will believe that even worlds can pass away and disappear whereas “His Word will never pass away”.⁴⁷ The fullness of disillusionment and pain will offer them only this Word as a last refuge and a final hope.

The last, supreme, catastrophic assault of the Beast cannot be averted, intoxicated as it is with its triumphs due to the inertia of the Christians. But its blows can be mitigated, the horror of their consequences diminished, and its final defeat ensured in the brief time that remains. For, as the expression sometimes goes, it is already five minutes to midnight⁴⁸ on the clock⁴⁹ of History.



DISUNITY OF THE CHRISTIANS

The exclusive aim of the World Revolution is to eliminate the Christian culture-civilization from this world. If the confusion in the propaganda is too great to be seen, at least the intentions of the leaders of the Revolution, repeated a thousand times, can be believed. It is a fight to the death, a fight to the finish, between a spiritualist culture which drew all of its sap and substance from Christ Himself, and a materialist anti-culture which wants to eliminate from the human sphere all consciousness of the spiritual. The fight is on the scale of our planet. It continues with relentless dedication wherever there are men. Where the Revolution has not yet overthrown and trampled with fury the betrayed and badly defended organism of our civilization, it has infiltrated its agents in all circles, diffused its poison in every group, set its traps at all crossroads. As the snake digests its most recent prey, it rivets a steel gaze upon the western dove. Terrified, confused even in its instinct of self-preservation, it no longer even knows if it should react.

To die a martyr for a cause that we did not want to defend when the time was right, for which we did not want to make sacrifices when victory was possible, is an ignominious death, a richly deserved punishment.

If all the world's Christians wanted to stand together for a single month to make the power of their opinion and their means felt, the World Revolution would collapse on its own. But the division is too great and the enemy infiltration too deep. If only the Catholics of the world just wanted to speak and act as a united single block,

without fear, or concern for reputation, the Beast would withdraw and vanish for at least a century.

But when we see so much disunity among them in spite of the same doctrine, the same catechism, the same apologetics; in some circles, so much indifference, so much willingness to compromise, a lack of understanding of their sublime heritage; so much spiritual insubordination and stubbornness in personal opinions, when there is however but one Shepherd of the same flock, but one Authority with true power to legislate, to bind and to loose⁵⁰, one wonders if our modern Jansenism⁵¹ deserves the salvation of the miracle which depends on the conviction and the fight of men, but which they hope for as a gift from Providence as a reward for inaction.

Eight crusades, whose zeal and sacrifices have been extolled, were undertaken eight centuries ago against a distant enemy who was, however, only an “infidel”. If ever a crusade was necessary, it is today in our West — no need to run so far — against a theoclasy⁵² which operates night and day everywhere, wreaking havoc, as clear from the moral pathology of our society. What should be done about the discomfort anguishing the world today? A crusade: the largest, most total, most urgent crusade of ideas and actions, as intense and omnipresent as the anticrusade of the enemy.

Since it all comes down — by the enemy’s own admission, and according to the blinding evidence of contemporary facts — to a global, relentless, merciless struggle against Christ and His work, the first thing to do in this crusade is to take a categorical stand: for or against Him. No one can escape this choice in the Christian West. As to those who are sleeping, indifferent or spineless, may as well assign them now to the enemy camp, for they are only a dead weight, their passivity harmful to those who are besieged but very useful to the attackers.



THE TRUE “CRUSADE”

But the choice can hardly be made without Faith, the foundation of all in the Christian world. Why all? Because, unlike Charity and Hope, which are meritorious, requiring personal acts, Faith is meritorious only to the One who gave it as a pure and free gift, like life itself. Who can glory in having life, who does not owe it to Him? But, should he who has received Faith not sacrifice even his life for it? It has been said, "He who believes in me and keeps my commandments ..."⁵³ One must first believe.

If Charity is the source of personal merit, it is nothing without Faith. Can we love what we do not know? Charity without Faith becomes inert philanthropy, lifeless humanism, solidarity that the least incident can transform into savage cruelty.

Awakening, propagating and affirming the Christian Faith is the first defensive and counter-offensive measure to be taken in the indispensable crusade of our time. Faced with Lies and Evil, Faith must be as uncompromising as the One who gives it; it should know no compromise, since it precedes life itself. It must be restored to its original purity and light, stripped of all the layers with which modern false teachers have covered it. It must be freed of the clutter of semi-doctrines and quasi-capitulation with which the timid and the weak have overloaded it, who imagined “neutralizing” Evil by its nuptial coexistence with Good. There are not a thousand sources of doctrine to guide the Faith; there is only one.

For nearly two centuries since the Revolution began, the Roman pontificate, which in this case provided the best informed and most

enlightened leaders in the history of the world, forewarned humanity of all the misfortunes which have since overwhelmed it; in a vast monument to wisdom and ardent charity, indicating the tribulations which were going to befall mankind, their origins and causes, offering also the means to ward them off and spare the victims.

The present state of affairs shows enough how little the Christian world has made of it. But the monument of wisdom still is there, like a lighthouse beacon guiding toward Justice. The human boat will have to set its course by it if it prefers to arrive safely rather than founder.

In many places, modern teaching has scientificized the Faith, wishing to reconcile it with science. This pedantic complicating of such a simple thing, this pompous pedagogy — sufficient alone to extinguish the potential "simplicity of little children" — brought forth sickly shoots that hostile winds soon uprooted.⁵⁴ The great illness of our time comes not only from the enemy; and spiritual illness cannot be prevented or cured with the same complicated and ever-new methods applied to bodily ailments.

Since teaching methods and pedagogues have left the traditional path proven by centuries of tangible results, they seem lost and change their textbooks every ten years, with ever more deplorable results. As thunderstruck parents have difficulty understanding what is going on, comparing the moral attitude of the generations, the conjurers of psychoanalysis re-educate them at the parents' school where they sometimes hear the children explain what parents should do!

Where Faith has been well planted and healthily nourished, virtue is easier and society does not suffer the openly displayed corruption of public morals. The more belief in the reward of good and punishment of evil weakens, the more the sense of sin and personal

responsibility fades away, the more social confusion spreads, a simple reflection of spiritual chaos.



THE ORDERS ARE INVERTED

In this battle, the young above all are the big stakes. It is not without reason that the entire phalanx of Judeo-anti-Christian forces has been working, since the very beginning of the great Revolution, to secularize and laicize education in the countries of the Christian West, to circumcise it of all that might suggest or strengthen Christian faith and morality. And this, in defiance of the will of Western peoples, thanks to the hoodwinking practiced by insidious minorities exercising the subtle art of electoralism.⁵⁵ Despicably deceived by sophistry and led to the rim of the abyss, have the Christian masses not tolerated too much and for too long? All the more given that secularism has spread nearly worldwide; the faith and morality of Western youth have been undermined by an ever-growing tide of erotic literature, images, performances, songs and radio, incitement to violence, disrespect for human life and property that knows neither pause nor respite.

It is time that the Christian masses, with appropriate firmness, imposed respect for their soul, their faith, their morals and traditions on territories they have populated and organized. The cowardice of Judeo-liberal tolerance has wreaked too much havoc. Not only the considerable pustules that cover the whole body, but the very cause of these pustules must be dealt with.

What is the point of making seizures in a few newstands if the higher federal authority allows customs entry for contaminants in the form of printed matter, films, reels or plates to be distributed nationwide? The scandalous, erected into an institution, could not be disseminated if the sources were dried up.

The race for money was imposed on the multitude as the primary necessity of life thanks to the economic mechanism conceived by the masters of global finance. Breathless from work and effort, the masses are hardly further ahead from one generation to the next. When, after a quarter century of intensive labor, one economic cataclysm has been repaired, inflation wipes out the accumulated money, destroying half or more of its value, and the most resourceful who had acquired ease or fortune are ruined by a new collapse. Likely a way to prepare the people for total dispossession when the Revolution is consummated!

By the obligatory worship of the Golden Calf, the precedence of the great-Order bodies has been inverted. Politics wanted to enslave Religion to better command the soul of peoples. Finance, through its determining power in the democratic game, wanted to govern Politics to control the orientation of peoples; a formula which, through its materialism, can only lead to their relentless domination and, in short, a “money theocracy”, as proved by Iron Curtain State super-capitalism camouflaged as “popular democracy”.

On our side of the curtain we have the same picture, less clear, camouflaged as “*capitalist democracy*”. The two systems affirm, by their names and their action, that it is no longer the Spirit, nor the conception of life, nor culture on which the fate and orientation of men depend, but on mere systems from the hands of men.

Democracy, in the ideal meaning we give it, has never really existed. A poor junk imitation has claimed the title in the form of coteries or political factions, of soviets or a council of workers, or soldiers, or peasants. But the reality of democracy — and it's good that the non-existent thing is increasingly talked about to make it *seem* to exist — is that it can only emerge with one formula: Corporatism. For, Corporatism encompasses under its authority not only

finance, and politics, but also the economy, trades or professions, the legislative, the social, and is crowned by direct representation in the executive of the nation. Only Corporatism will be able to give people the means to finance their own development without having to let themselves be chained by anonymous finance from the outside; will be able to distribute among all the participants in economic activities their reasonable share of what they produce, will be able to initiate smooth decentralization, the multiplication of initiatives and fortunes, the self-discipline of the various classes or activities of the nation, conferring at last that which has been verbally talked about for a century, while constantly moving away from it: social justice.



PREPARATION OF THE LEADERS

Commenting on the great anticommunist encyclical letter of Pius XI, the brilliant Pius XII said in 1952: "... The main part is the corporate part." Why? Because the corporate order contains all the conditions and all the elements of social justice without which one cannot hope for real peace between men, classes, peoples or continents. When the social frameworks built by the materialist orders — always for the primary advantage of minorities or small interested groups — will have collapsed, the corporate order too long repressed will emerge as a new social framework and will appear to a world emerged from despair as the greatest gift, like the apotheosis of the Christian genius in its realization of a spiritualistic human order giving justice to the smallest as to the greatest, to the most humble as to the most powerful of the sons of Adam.

The more imperative this corporate order becomes, the less it is talked about, among Catholics as much as among Satanists.⁵⁶ The era of "confusion and darkness" of almost integral materialism seems to have chloroformed them all with propaganda unified by the persuasion of money or threats of moral terrorism. A few "*crazy*", "*enlightened*", "*idealistic*", "*impractical people*" "*not cut out for business*", etc., have occasionally spoken about it, only to hear, as an echo, insult or derision. It is useless, before the collapse of the frameworks erected by liberalism and its by-products, to attempt or hope for Corporatism, since it is the antithesis and the condemnation of the materialist orders (whether Marxist or capitalist), which rule the world on both sides of the Iron Curtain, under the same backstage

authority. As soon as it sought to affirm itself, all that Liberal-Mason-ic-Communist “democracy” includes of political factions, organizations or powers would rise up against it by mutual agreement, because Corporatism means nothing other than their annihilation in a higher order of social justice and peace.

To hope to educate the people about Corporatism, at the end of a decadence, when the large organizations capable of educating are in the hands of, or under the control of the forces of evil, and have been domesticated to produce only propaganda, is a serious delusion. There have always been and always will be in mankind just two groups: the leaders and the led, the shepherds and the sheep. Who should be the leaders and where should they come from? Only Providence knows. Of all the true leaders of history everywhere, none who have arisen would have foreseen twenty years ahead — any more than their entourage — the leading role that would be assigned to him.

However, it is the leaders who must be prepared, by life as much as by training. Whether groups or schools of thought are organized, future leaders will be attracted to them by the force of circumstances. According to what these schools and their teaching will be, the leaders will be good or bad, superior or mediocre, beneficial or disastrous. It is to them above all, rather than to the multitudes, that the spirit and architecture of Corporatism, the system of social reconstruction which will follow the collapse of the Judeoliberal era, must be taught.

Peoples sharing the Christian culture-civilization must first be awakened to their Christian principles and reclaimed. The rest will follow. Blanc de Saint-Bonnet wrote, with great reason: “Political errors are only theological errors actualized”⁵⁷, meaning the practical civil application of an idea in defiance of the doctrine in which a people believes. Also, not only is it not immaterial, but also ex-

tremely serious that Christian peoples are directed, represented or subject to legislation by leaders hostile to Christian truth, be they Freemasons, Marxists or Zionists. The political chaos — accentuated year by year — is the result of the tolerance of directives, programs, manifestos, slogans, charters and declarations launched from the same frankly anti-Christian sources, and designed to be swallowed by masses incapable of recognizing in them the contradiction of their beliefs, their aspirations.



DEATH AND REBIRTH

High politics is in the hands of the World Gold Bank, which determines its confused course by way of its three international organizations: Marxism, Masonry, Zionism. No Christian capable of a broad vision has the right to be uninterested in it. Whether this monstrous organization — to hasten the consummation of its political plan — decides to trigger a general economic collapse or a new universal war, local governments and local administrations remain as hard-struck as the citizens themselves, and all are subject to a global dictatorship which shapes their financial, economic and social life at will. The number of communists in a country or Masons in a public administration is less important than this formidable anonymous deciding power.

The frightening jolt by which the conspirators believe they can complete their final domination of the world may just as easily have the consequence of breaking their hold over so many monopolies and restoring to the Christians their control over the West. This will even inevitably happen, for in a world of hand-to-hand combat between money and soul, matter and spirit, illusion and reality, between human works and divine creation, the result is beyond doubt, regardless of the debris accumulated in the struggle.

Since the Zionist “Protocols” were published at the beginning of this century, we have been able to follow, step by step, detail by detail, chapter by chapter, the unfolding of the satanic plan recorded there whose completion is not far off. Nonsensical remarks about this book in no way invalidate its perfect accord with contemporary events. It must be read and reread, not only to understand the uni-

fied action of the major subversive internationals, but also, by applying it backwards, to have a near ideal plan of reconstruction for what will be the world of tomorrow.

This world will be built by young people of today who refuse to be swept away by the mad ideas of decadence; who are capable, in the deafening din of modernism, of living a serious and collected interior life; who, at the height of the war of nerves, despise the fear aroused by terrorism; who are fit for self-renunciation and sacrifice to serve the Truth; who have firmly rooted principles and enjoy that very precious acumen which makes it possible to discern the error hidden under the most seductive fallacies; who have a sense of the vital needs of their time; above all, the extremely rare sense of the greatest of all the arts: the political art, which consists in orienting men and peoples toward a better, more peaceful, more natural life, more conformable to their dual destiny. It is to them, the battle-ready, that tomorrow belongs, with its formidable responsibilities and its magnificent possibilities of achievement.

The completion of the World Revolution will entail its own death, in the exhaustion of its lie and its disorder. This death is a necessity whose imminent arrival cannot be overly desired. For there can be no Rebirth without a previous death.

Among disciples aware or unaware of the Revolution: the death of the religious sense, the death of the moral sense, the death of the patriotic sense, the death of the social sense, the death of the sense of justice and equity, allows a new life to rise up on this disintegration, like the shrub that grows, vigorous and aggressive, on the rotting of the old fallen tree.⁵⁸ When this already-late Rebirth arrives, it will not vacillate. like the first, between Christianity and ancient paganism, like the spectacle of those painters, sculptors, writers and humanists, who the instant a fresco of an Annunciation was completed in a cathedral, ran to their workshops to paint by can-

dlelight the birth of a Venus, who followed an Assumption with a rape of Lucretia, or an Eternal Father with an Olympian Jupiter, thanks to the doubt sown throughout Europe by the Reformation, the Templars and the Jewish subversities.⁵⁹ The Gothic culture, which had marked the scholastic apotheosis rid of all the dross of the pre-Christian era, will at last resume its ascent, free of restraining and distorting parasitisms. The inspiration that materialism had driven out everywhere with its aesthetic, which as a last refuge had only found application to the machinery and creations of utilitarianism,⁶⁰ will re-illuminate gestational minds with a clarity more vivid than at any other time. Thinkers, writers, artists, will take as their point of departure the highest summits attained by the most illustrious geniuses of Christian culture and will ascend toward new heights.

The great and glorious civilization which ruled and formed the world for fifteen centuries; which, alone, since the fall of the first man, truly deserved the name civilization, will resume its solemn march toward the future, freed from the artificial obstacles that for some time have tried to deflect it from its course. Its dynamic spirit, indestructible since it stems from a divine seed, combining its principles of Order with the prodigious scientific developments brought forth by itself, will give our world, after so many bankruptcies and misfortunes bred by materialism, the era of appeasement and justice that no one can give but the One who said, before disappearing: "Peace I leave with you, my peace I give unto you".⁶¹

Christian civilization, overall, is only in its infancy, containing as it does treasure yet unexplored and limitless possibilities. If one day it disappears, this is because the last man will have disappeared.



¹ The organization seems not to have had an official English name, but the following would be accurate: Montreal Committee for Social Research.

² A dictionary today won't enlighten you much; but the history of art and architecture reveals what Gothic means in that framework; and therefore what it clearly meant to Arcand. In its entry on the History of Gothic architecture, mymodernmet.com (31 October 2021 @ 10h24 pm) says: "During the Middle Ages, a new style of architecture emerged in Europe. Initially referred to as *Opus Francigenum*, or "French Work," this architectural genre dominated European tastes — namely, that of the Roman Catholic Church — until the 16th century, when it became known as "Gothic." And also says: "Gothic architecture is a European style of architecture that values height and exhibits an intricate and delicate aesthetic."

However, Brett, who put a school paper online, has truly captured the whole point of the "height" in Gothic architecture. <<https://bit.ly/3GGeq1R>> In "Shining the Light on Gothic Cathedrals" (18 July 2015), Brett says: "The quest for light was the force that drove cathedral architecture into the Gothic era. The philosophical ideologies of the time connected God with light, and architects began to research ways to reflect this connection when building cathedrals." "Notre-Dame de Paris was one of the earliest cathedrals to use external flying buttresses to create a structure rich in window space. Light is also used in Gothic architecture to portray narrative, as demonstrated in the stained glass window art in the Chartres Cathedral". "... light was considered to be "the most direct manifestation of God". "These philosophies influenced the architecture of religious structures, as light was "the thing that enabled a stone or piece of wood to serve as a vehicle for experiencing God".

Arcand's work, including the prayers he composed for his Book of Hours (1936), selected and translated by Adrien Arcand Books as Prayers by a Roman Catholic Fascist, shows the importance for him of light as the manifestation of God. Arcand prays: "You, the Blinding Light, the home of Devouring Love, ignite me with the most ardent love..."; "Give me the Light, to guide those whom life will lead to me"; "...bend over me, fall upon me like a fiery Light. I will offer you neither protest nor resistance...". Arcand's attraction for Light as Divine immanence is therefore Gothic, meaning Roman Catholic. He clearly borrows the name Gothic from the most magnificent era of Roman Catholic architecture to characterize the whole of Western Christian civilization. Some might note the focus on light associated with paganistic fascisms, and presume that Arcand adopted his own vision of Light from paganism. On the contrary, Arcand has clearly taken the Gothic period of Roman Catholic architecture as emblematic of the whole of Western Christianity, directly importing the Catholic notion of Light as "the most direct manifes-

tation of God".

³ Philip IV (April—June 1268 — 29 November 1314), called Philip the Fair (French: *Philippe le Bel*), was King of France from 1285 until his death. In 1306, Philip expelled the Jews from France. In 1307, he annihilated the order of the Knights Templar. He was in debt to both groups and saw each of them as a 'state within the state'.

Philip's view of the Templars appears to have been correct. If you read the 33rd-degree Masonic oath of Garibaldi, reproduced by Arcand, which includes this declaration of allegiance: "Fraternity in Freemasonry, to form a State within a State, with means and functions independent of the State, unknown to the State"; and if you understand, as Arcand explains, that the Freemasons (still today) are not the old cathedral builders, but the Knights Templar under an assumed identity, and that Templar-Masons are a Jewish front to achieve a "universal republic" for the Zionists (world government by the Jews) and the restoration of Solomon's Temple, then Philip the Fair was right; he didn't merely "see" the Templars "as" a state within the state, they themselves proclaimed it.

As to the Jews being a 'state within the state', *The Jerusalem Center for Public Affairs, Israeli Security, Regional Diplomacy and International Law*, describes the **Canadian Jewish Congress**:

"Though the CJC lapsed organizationally shortly after its founding, the challenges of the 1930s caused its reestablishment in 1934. Since that time, CJC has enjoyed institutional continuity and considerable renown both within Canada and on the World Jewish scene. It is widely regarded, both inside and outside the organization, as the "**Parliament of Canadian Jewry**" and as that community's public face in general Canadian society and politics and beyond." <<https://bit.ly/3h7viU1>> (Emphasis added.)

This is violently unconstitutional. Section 17 of the British North America Act, 1867 says, imperatively: "There **shall** be **One** Parliament for Canada, consisting of the Queen, an Upper House styled the Senate, and the House of Commons." Citation: 30-31 Vict., c. 3 (U.K.) (Emphases added.) However, there seem to have been at least two parliaments for a very long time, on two completely different systems, one by a rival ethnic group refusing to integrate, and in which the Queen does not sit, but which penetrated all of the institutions of Confederation, to the rival's advantage, without being penetrated in return. This is why I wish Arcand had studied the Constitution; he could have done something.

Another web site, *Juifs d'Ici* (Jews from Here) <<https://www.juifsdici.ca/>> relates:

"The Canadian Jewish Congress, the first democratically-elected representative body for Canadian Jewry, was founded in Montreal in 1919. / Initially, the breadth of **the Canadian nation** made **national** organization of the Jewish community difficult.

For years, the only **national** body was the Federation of Canadian Zionists, whose leaders were nominated in the Central European fashion. But World War I, the devastation in Europe, the vast refugee problem and the increasing arrival of Eastern European Jews to Canada spurred the creation of a new **national** body. To reflect the growing democracy of the new immigrants, the **Canadian Jewish Congress**, composed of **elected officials**, set out **to represent all of Canadian Jewry** on its major **political, national and international** affairs."

Notice: the Jews organized themselves nationally, as a state, within Canada. The Jews penetrated the federal and provincial structures of Canada, participating in the government of the ethnic founders of Canada, while remaining a foreign people, in their own nation, on Canadian soil; meanwhile, the founders of Canada did not participate in the ethnic government of the Jews on Canadian soil. The Jews remained the Jewish people, their culture, their self-government untouched. Meanwhile, Canada under Jewish influence was dechristianized and socialized, stripped of her founding beliefs and culture. The Jewish nation that plugged itself into Canada over a century ago, however, seems to be doing well. The nation of the British North American ethnic majorities who founded Confederation doesn't seem to exist anymore. At least, the founding peoples of Canada certainly aren't aware of themselves. Ricardo Duchesne, who admits that he used to read the speeches of Brezhnev aloud at the "big" communist meetings, has brainwashed many to believe that they are merely "white" and should seek "white minority rights". (Sadly, many an activist has fallen into *Soviet Marxist* Duchesne's "white" trap, never to learn that the founding peoples have enforceable legal rights because they **are** the founding peoples, their white color being irrelevant). Duchesne cites a Jew, Joseph Eliot Magnet (legal advisor and PhD in constitutional law of Canada) for this "legal" opinion. (Emphases added.)

⁴ **Bibliology**: "the history and science of books as physical objects" (Merriam-Webster).

⁵ Rather than translating Arcand, who had translated Weston, the English here is taken directly from Warren Weston's *Father of Lies (The Secret Occult History, Symbolism, Ceremonies and Practices of the Jews Exposed)*, starting at page 245, in the section, "Instructions of the Supreme Council, 33rd Degree". This is a copy found online. It seems to have been meticulously prepared as a digital re-publication of Weston, but there is no publisher named, and no date of publication. An original of the book is currently selling online for \$750 USD, so I'll take my chance with the Balder Ex-Libris download.

⁶ Arcand's French says "**lévitat**". There seems to be no such word. Given the conjunction in that line with "genealogies", the word intended was likely "**lévirat**", in English: "**Levirate**". Britannica says: "Levirate, custom or law decreeing that a widow should, or in

rare cases must, marry her dead husband's brother. The term comes from the Latin *levir*, meaning "husband's brother." The "brother" may be a biological sibling of the deceased or a person who is socially classified as such."

⁷ Judaization (Hebrew: לְגַיִר, translit. legayer) or Judaification is a process of cultural assimilation in which a person or a demographic group acquires Jewish cultural and religious beliefs and values.

⁸ **Nicholas of Lyra** (French: *Nicolas de Lyre*; c. 1270–October 1349), or *Nicolaus Lyranus*, was a Franciscan teacher, among the most influential practitioners of biblical exegesis in the Middle Ages. Martin Luther often relied on the exegeses of Nicholas, hence the epigram "If Lyra had not played the lyre, Luther would not have danced".

⁹ The book is *I Live Again: A Memoir of Ileana, Princess of Romania and Archduchess of Austria*. Recently republished by Ancient Faith Publishing, 2018, World War, 1939-1945, 398 pages. The quotation in question is part of a dinner conversation with Communist dictator of Romania, Ana Pauker (the daughter of Sarah and Tsvi-Hersh Kaufman Rabinsohn, religious Orthodox Jews), when speaking of a potential Communist attack on the United States of America, said that: "She (Ana Pauker) had figures and statistics to prove that if electric power were destroyed, the entire country would be so completely disorganized that it could not possibly recover before the government was taken over by those prepared to do so. Another easy method of attack, she explained, was offered by the kind of **water system** on which a high percentage of the population depended, and which could be destroyed or **polluted** simply and easily." (Emphases added.) Pages 289-290.

¹⁰ In 1982, Freemason and communist, Pierre Elliott Trudeau, "**replaced the old existing Christian constitution**" of Canada with his Charter, which is nothing less than the constitution of the Zionist "New World Order", with its anti-national allusion to multiculturalism, and the sinister attacks on Christian homelands and peoples. After the Charter's imposition, Canadian taxpayers were fleeced to finance the Court Challenges Program, to enforce the Charter *against* the founding peoples on behalf of mass-immigrated third-world foreigners. An annual minimum of \$5 million CAD was allocated, most of which to "clarify **human** rights" (of immigrants), effectively removing the fundamental "human" and **constitutional** rights of the founding peoples of Canada to their own "**national**" self-government.

Jews have been among the most vocal and aggressive in pushing the Canadian Charter onto every other country, using Canada as the model. On 25 October 2017, the Bora Laskin Law Society (a Jews-only bar association, parallel to the lawful Bar Association of the province of Ontario in which the Jews are also members, but non-Jews are not members at Bora Laskin), held a conference entitled "**The Jewish Dream Team and**

The Canadian Constitution: The Contribution of Canadian Jewry to the Making of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms". There is no group contribution by any of the founding Canadian ethnic majorities, who alone are losers in the Napoleonic Charter wars against Canada. The reality is that the Charter was imposed, not even democratically, by left-wing special interest groups, in particular the NDP and Jews, whose **Canadian Jewish Congress** (the elected "national" "parliament" of the Jews occupying Canada) had long demanded the measure. The more detailed further reality is that the vast majority of Canadians — the founding population was still then fairly homogeneous — were hoodwinked in the course of getting it done.

On 4 April 1968, journalist Lubor Zink describes Pierre "Trudeau's leather coat, ascot, sandals, *Napoleonic* hair style, and the worldly "intellectualism" of his moody mixtures of boredom and arrogance" (collected in *Trudeaucracy*, 1972). In *Viva Chairman Pierre* (1977), Zink calls the Trudeau style "leftist Bonapartism" and Trudeau himself a "narcissist Bonapartist"; he closes that collection with a cartoon of Trudeau as Napoleon riding an ostrich. There is more than a cartoon resemblance of Trudeau to Napoleon when we know what his "liberal" Charter has done to Canada.

The Charter, in practice though not in language and structure, has been made to effectively depose the founding peoples of Canada, *de facto* ousting their constitutional self-determination as majorities in their own Legislatures. Trudeau's Charter implements more than one tenet of the 33rd-degree Masonic oath, for example: "the **rights of man to the common earth, as citizen of one and the same world**"; and "I swear to overthrow, at the sacrifice of my life, the **boundaries** which the humanicide Christians have traced with blood and mud in the name of God." Provincial **boundaries** after 1982 are no longer effective in protecting the ethnic existence, culture and self-determination of each of the founding peoples.

The federal level, abusing the immigration power to recolonize and restructure Canada, floods the country non-stop with foreigners who are no longer asked to assimilate to the home culture. They are given equal "rights" to those of the founders. Powered by the Charter, they attack the founders to oust the founders' cultural rights (guaranteed to them by Canadian federalism in 1867); foreigners take up seats in provincial assemblies, thereby destroying the founders' ethnic Legislatures. Foreigners disintegrate and miscegenate the founding ethnic groups, harassing them with systemic anti-white racism while destroying Canada's national sovereignty by destroying the founding peoples.

There are 125 seats in the Quebec Legislature but 200+ foreign immigrant groups; conducing to the likelihood that one day, there may not be even one French Canadian in the Quebec City Legislature. But before that occurs, my research indicates there will be

multicultural, international city-states with municipal boroughs converted to ethnic cantons on a hybrid of the Swiss and BNA models, with “local” “self-government” for each of the foreign mass-immigrated ethnic groups.

¹¹ Arcand is referring to the Mackenzie-Papineau Battalion. According to The Canadian Encyclopedia (article by Victor Howard, 02/07/07; 03/04/15) this was “the collective designation for some 1300 Canadian volunteers who served in international brigades recruited to assist the communist-supported republican government against Franco’s fascists during the Spanish Civil War (July 1936-March 1939).” (They were in fact mercenaries hired to fight for another country than their own.) “There was also an actual battalion, named after the leaders of the Rebellions of 1837 (in Canada), mustered into the XVth ‘English-Speaking’ International Brigade on 1 July 1937, in Albacete, Spain. Other Canadians joined the Abraham Lincoln Battalion, the British Battalion and other units, including medical and transportation detachments. Dr Norman Bethune, undoubtedly the most famous Canadian there, created and led a blood transfusion service.” The Encyclopedia concludes: “In April 1937 the Canadian government had passed the Foreign Enlistment Act, outlawing participation by Canadians in foreign wars, and the Customs Act, which provided for government control over arms exports. The Mac-Paps were an official embarrassment, and so languished in obscurity until the 1970s when a number of books, films and plays documented their history.”

¹² A cipher clerk is not a lowly post, as some might imagine. It is a highly confidential post filled by someone who can send and receive coded messages, in particular in war time. Igor Gouzenko, for example, was a cipher clerk. He defected from the Soviet Embassy after WWII with secret documents proving that a network of communist spy-rings was operating in North America. His name became famous, being associated with the “Gouzenko spy trials” in Canada, which led to the U.S. McCarran hearings. Tyler Kent, a cipher clerk at the US Embassy in London during World War II, was arrested under the Official Secrets Act in a dawn raid at his flat, where officers of MI5 found 1,929 stolen official documents.

¹³ “Cuza of Romania” is an important reference. Research indicates the uniforms, the **Christian swastika**, and other symbolic religious underpinnings of Adrien Arcand’s **Legionnaires** were inspired by the Orthodox Catholic Romanians of the day, and not by Hitler.

¹⁴ Future prime minister of Canada, the Hon. Louis Stephen Saint-Laurent, represented Canada at the Dumbarton Oaks and San Francisco conferences that led to the founding of the United Nations. On 13 January 1946, at London, Saint-Laurent addressed the first General Assembly of the United Nations. I have the audio file of a part of his speech in

French. Saint-Laurent tells the newly minted UN it is: "**the basis of the world government**" ("*la base du gouvernement mondial*").

¹⁵ "[R]emind defaulting brothers of the parliamentary world and the civil service of their Masonic duty." I should remind the reader that if the Masonic oath predominates, *for the Masons*, it does not predominate for the Parliamentarians. Therefore, if they are caught, they are out. Lord Shaw of Dunfermline, in the House of Lords, in *Amalgamated Society of Railway Servants v. Osborne*, [1910] AC 87, finds it unconstitutional for outside interests to own and control or dictate the views and actions of a political party or a Member of Parliament, who must be *independent*. In particular, Lord Shaw's remark on "*predominating consideration*" answers Adrien Arcand's question above, "Which oath *predominates*?". The answer is long, so I will let the reader look up the judgment and read it.

¹⁶ "*Ecrasez l'infâme*" "*et qui à l'heure de sa mort, désespéré de ne pas recevoir le prêtre qu'il appelait à grands cris, avala le contenu de son vase de nuit avant de rendre l'âme*" At the present time, the only version online of Arcand's long quote which he attributes to Voltaire is Arcand's own present French talk, "*Le Christianisme a-t-il fait faillite?*" (1954) ("Is Christianity Bankrupt?"). Arcand's quote has therefore been directly translated from Arcand's French, without any further historical support. It should be noted that Arcand was gifted with an encyclopedic memory; he often quoted by heart, with accurate content although the words may have varied or were compressed. Arcand associates "*Ecrasez l'infâme*" with Christ; his long quote from Voltaire, to complete the epithet, is clearly a satire on the crucifixion and death of Christ, for the New Testament reports in **Matthew 27:46 (KJV)**, that before he died on the cross, Christ called out loud, "*Eli, Eli, lama sabachthani?*" — meaning, "My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me?". This is probably what the long quote refers to when Voltaire is alleged to have said that Christ called loudly for a priest. The iconic element of the quote, "*Ecrasez l'infâme*" ("Crush the infamous"), is alleged in various locations to refer to supposed crimes of the Catholic Church; and is linked to its first use in Voltaire's letter to D'Alembert in October 1760.

"*Ecrasez l'infâme*" ("Crush the infamous") may also be an inversion of the Catholic belief that the Virgin Mary, spared by God from original sin, was to have her own special victory over the devil, and would "crush" his head, represented by the head of the serpent.

¹⁷ I have restructured this long paragraph into actual points.

¹⁸ "[T]he substitution of republics for monarchist states". Notice the attack on Canada through the Quebec referendums of 1980 and 1995, and the Charlottetown Accord referendum of 1992. The Quebec referendums are Communist, not "separatist". The real

aim is to substitute a Quebec republic for a monarchist province; and by "negotiation" to spread the substitution Canada-wide to oust the Crown, an impediment to the Zionists' world republic.

A Jew by the name of Stephen Allan Scott, a law professor, who has penetrated federal and provincial constitutional affairs for decades, is masterminding the break-up with law suits in Quebec allegedly "for" Canadian unity <<https://thespecialcommittee.com/>>. In reality, the goal is to force the Canada-wide "negotiations" to ensure the break-up to convert Confederation to a region of multi-ethnic city-states under world government. Stephen Scott's family are well-to-do Jews from Westmount in the men's clothing trade, chain stores, discount centers, who phone in their "social notes" to the Jewish newspapers whenever they go on holiday to "Palm Beach". In 1965, a youngish Stephen Scott, around 26 at the time, took his last year in law at McGill on the Maurice Goldenberg scholarship from the hands of Hyman Carl Goldenberg, the latter appointed by Quebec premier Jean Lesage in 1962 as a vice president of a little "*Conseil*" (Council) to recommend the powers Quebec must acquire to build a communist plan on the model of Tito's former Yugoslavia (*industrial democracy*) to run Quebec's economy. The powers would be obtained by "secession". Lesage was planning to pull it off before the voters threw him out; unaware, however, of Lesage's real destination. Secession is unconstitutional for Canada, as quite well known all the way to the top court whose officeholders are actively undermining Canada for internationalism. (In 2001, the first International Conference of Chief Justices of the World met in Lucknow, India, to support the establishment of a world parliament, a goal these turncoat judges continue to support) <<https://bit.ly/3zJ2MPQ>>. That's treason, for those who don't know. We are therefore in the midst of a multi-generational fraud with controlled press and media to pull off the planned end of Canada using Quebec as the lynchpin. A world parliament precludes the existence of the Parliament of Canada; thus another reason why all provinces (and territories) must "secede": to eliminate the Canadian Parliament for world government).

During WWII, Hyman Carl Goldenberg was Canada-US liaison in charge of war supply (Munitions and Supply Department, Ottawa; full title: "Executive Assistant to the Chairman of the Canadian Section of the Joint War Production Committee of Canada and the USA"). Hyman was an advocate of socialist world planning on the model of war planning (see "Man of the Week, Expert on Economics", an undated article in McGill War Records, last paragraph, bottom right. <<https://bit.ly/3zJOCQe>>) In his long career, Hyman also advised three prime ministers of Canada, Mackenzie King, Pierre Elliott Trudeau and Jean Chrétien. Hyman's protégé, Stephen Allan Scott, is now masterminding the collusive litigation to rubber-stamp the illegal "secession" of Quebec to finally obtain

those powers while restructuring all of Canada on the same model. <habeascorpus-canada.com>

¹⁹ Arcand is referring to the Quebec provincial government of Premier Maurice Duplessis which passed the “Padlock Law”. Entitled “An Act to Protect the Province Against Communistic Propaganda” (also cited as an *Act Respecting Communistic Propaganda*), it was assented to on the 24th of March, 1937 by His Royal Majesty, King George VI as part of the Statutes of Quebec, in Chapter II. Most notably, the Act declared: “It shall be illegal for any person, who possesses or occupies a house within the Province, to use it or allow any person to make use of it to propagate communism or bolshevism by any means whatsoever.”

Broadly, the Act defined “house” to mean any building, shelter, penthouse, shed or other construction, under whatever name known or designated, attached to the ground or portable, erected or placed above or below ground, permanently or temporarily; and in the case of a house situated partly in the territory of the Province and partly outside the territory, the portion situated within the territory of the Province of Quebec.

Notice that there is no freedom of thought, speech, or assembly in Communist countries. Someone famously noted of Communism: “You can vote your way in, but you have to shoot your way out.”

²⁰ They glorify it today; they glorify the overthrow of their own country and Constitution. A “secession” movement has been built in the USA for decades, first by a couple of Jews (one claimed to be a Christian, Howard Phillips) who founded the “U.S. Taxpayers’ Party” in the 1980s, known as the Constitution Party at the federal level. The movement continued to be built by allies of theirs who founded “patriotic” militia movements, but, in fact, the Oath Keepers are subverting the loyal troops to stand down when the States “secede” *en masse*. The overall movement has grown with reds posing as democrats running a fake “nullification” campaign to pit the States in a Texas death-match against the federal level. Meanwhile, the sweet old uncle type who often speaks for the party, and once ran for President of the United States, publicly crowed in 2015 at a dinner party for the Mises Institute (which supports radical decentralization by mass secession and keeps a close eye on Quebec): “And, the GOOD NEWS IS, it’s GONNA HAPPEN! It’s HAPPENING! (The Breaking up of the Government of the United States of America)”. If you want to hear him say it, I mean **Ron Paul**, you can look online for “1776—An American Coup”, or visit <<https://bit.ly/3xSzMDA>>. Ron Paul and Mises promote the Quebec “secession” fraud underway at Quebec Superior Court. I mean the April 2018 Judgment of Madam Justice Claude D’Almeida in the “Bill 99” Quebec Secession file. The underlying case for that was masterminded by Professor Stephen Allan Scott, a Canadian Jew, described more fully, *supra*. <<https://bit.ly/2VS4KPn>> These false American “patriots”

would like Canada to pull the plug first, so they can follow. “1776” is a badge of honor for inverted Yankee “patriots”. They print it on tee-shirts and baseball caps while working to destroy the United States of America. The same Constitution Party paid a subversive lawyer from Harvard, who posts in Christian forums to publish corruptions of U.S. law in a bid to “constitutionalize” the future overthrow of the United States of America by mass secession. It is not constitutional, but that matters little if you can fool the people into thinking so.

²¹ **Scholasticism.** The system of philosophy dominant in medieval Europe; based on Aristotle and the Church Fathers.

²² Glossary: **auletrides:** the plural of **auletris**; meaning courtesans or concubines, female prostitutes who cohabit with important men. A **pederast** is someone who sexually uses young boys; a **sodomite** sexually takes to grown men; an **insertee** is a male who assumes the sexual role of a woman and desires to be possessed; **porticoes**, a porch or entrance to a building consisting of a covered and often columned area, as in a columned portico.

²³ Glossary: **lupanars:** the plural of **lupanar** (Latin); a whorehouse or brothel of antiquity.

²⁴ Glossary: **animity:** Arcand’s French word is **l’animité**. This is a tough one. I cannot find it. I tried looking up the French, *l’animité*. Larousse etymological dictionary, no luck. There are even etymological dictionaries of old French online, ancient French and middle-old French. Not there, either. Google translated it (one time out of many) as **animity**, but the word is not in any English dictionary I can find online. I even tried Ernest Klein’s *A Comprehensive Etymological Dictionary Of The English Language*. If the word existed, I would have expected it to be there. Astonishing that it wasn’t, because I don’t think **l’animité** is a typographical error by Arcand, but I have no clue what he meant. I suspect this is not a wrong word, but a very little-used word with an exotic origin known to the savant Mr. Arcand, but to few others.

²⁵ A classical reference to Saint Ambrose, the Bishop of Milan, who met Roman Emperor Theodosius I at the gate of the Cathedral of Milan and barred him entry, refusing to celebrate Mass in his presence until he repented of the massacre he had ordered of the inhabitants of Thessalonica in 390 A.D.

²⁶ **Isaiah 5:20 KJV:** “Woe unto them that call evil good, and good evil; that put darkness for light, and light for darkness; that put bitter for sweet, and sweet for bitter!”

²⁷ The **sixth** commandment: Thou shalt not commit adultery. The **ninth**: Thou shalt not covet thy neighbour’s wife.

²⁸ Arcand’s reference to “*juste Abel*” seems to correspond to “righteous Abel” in the King James Version of the Bible, @ Matthew 23:35. The French below is from the 1923

Crampon edition of the Bible, found online. I believe Arcand used the Crampon. Let's begin two verses earlier to give context to this affirmation:

33 Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell?

34 Wherefore, behold, I send unto you prophets, and wise men, and scribes: and some of them ye shall kill and crucify; and some of them shall ye scourge in your synagogues, and persecute them from city to city:

35 That upon you may come all the righteous blood shed upon the earth, from the blood of *righteous Abel* unto the blood of Zacharias son of Barachias, whom ye slew between the temple and the altar."

How can we understand Arcand's reference to "*juste Abel*" ("righteous Abel") at this point in his critique of transformism? From reading a fair amount of his work, it is clear to me that Arcand accepts the words of Christ in the New Testament as the word of God, not as mere comments but as "decrees", as declaring truths. Therefore, Christ could hardly invoke "righteous Abel", the first son of Adam, if Abel himself, and if Adam, the first man created by God and the father of Abel, had not existed. The reference of Christ to "righteous Abel" would be "proof" to Arcand, from the mouth of God, that humankind did not arise by a process identified in Darwin's theory of evolution; but by "[d]irect, immediate and intentional creation" (*la création directe, immédiate et intentionnée*) (@ p. 49 in Arcand's French original)

²⁹ The whole quote seems to be: "In our time more than ever before, the chief strength of the wicked lies in the cowardice and weakness of good men." It was found at the <<http://eyebeleave.blogspot.com/2012/08/the-coward-ice-and-weakness-of-good-men.html>> accessed on 20 August 2021.

³⁰ It's interesting that Arcand begins this paragraph with a thunder metaphor; he then immediately refers to Saint John the Evangelist. Mark 3:17, in the King James version of the Bible, says: "And James the son of Zebedee, and John the brother of James; and he surnamed them Boanerges, which is, The sons of thunder". If Arcand's opening metaphor intentionally reflects the Evangelist, it also reflects Arcand's deep awareness of the Scriptures.

³¹ "*In principio erat Verbum*" ("In the beginning was the word"). The full line, from John 1:1 in the King James version, reads: "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God." In the Vulgate, the Latin Bible, the book of Genesis begins with the words "*In principio*": "*In principio creavit Deus caelum et terram.*" (Genesis 1:1) ("In the beginning, God created the heavens and the earth"). A long sequence of statements in quotation marks soon follows this first quote, in relation now to "St. Paul the violent". Is Arcand quoting from the Bible? Why these quotation

marks? I leave the question with you, the reader.

³² Perhaps Arcand calls St. Paul “the violent” because Paul (originally known as Saul) was a Pharisee who persecuted the Christians before he, himself, became one. Saul led a violent persecution of the young Church in Jerusalem. He went from house to house, dragging men and women off to prison. When Christian believers were found guilty of ‘blasphemy’ (of the old religion), Saul called for them to be stoned to death. Acts 8:1-3 and 26:10 record these events. In Acts 13:9, Saul is called Paul for the first time on the island of Cyprus.

³³ “Paul was a Diaspora Jew, a member of the party of the Pharisees, who experienced a revelation of the resurrected Jesus. After this experience, he traveled widely throughout the eastern Roman Empire, spreading the “good news” that Jesus would soon return from heaven and usher in the reign of God (“the kingdom”). Source: “Paul the Apostle” in <https://www.worldhistory.org/Paul_the_Apostle/>

³⁴ I feel that something is missing here, from the French, by inadvertence of the author, so I have added “the invention” to fill the opening.

³⁵ Glossary: **abstract** (*abstrait*, fr.): Existing only in the mind; separated from embodiment; not representing or imitating external reality or the objects of nature (as in an abstract painting); dealing with a subject in the abstract without practical purpose or intention; **abstraction**: not concrete, abstract, theoretical. Note that Arcand says abstract art violently contradicts the very meaning of *abstract* (he finds it a “reversal” of the meaning of the word) for obviously that which is abstract is theoretical, not concrete, non-existent except as an idea.

³⁶ Pardon a comment from the translator. If that is what the materialists preach, that man is born good and is only corrupted by those around him, it makes no sense because those around him would also have been born good, so how were they corrupted?

³⁷ “For ye have the poor always with you; but me ye have not always.” **Matthew 26:11 (KJV).**

³⁸ The *Campaign for a United Nations Parliamentary Assembly*, an elected world assembly <<https://www.unpacampaign.org/>>, is a project to overlay with a “democratic” veneer the Tower of Babel currently in construction, now approaching its final phase with the fake pandemic of March 2020. The UNPA timeline to world government retraces the first origin of this coming world government to the French Revolution. apparently proving Adrien Arcand right, who said likewise in all his work, including *The Universal Republic*.

<<https://www.unpacampaign.org/proposal/history/>>

The world wars are “milestones” on the UNPA timeline. Again, Arcand has always linked both world wars to the forced march of the peoples of the world toward the

overthrow of their nations. If the globalists have their way, their phony Covid-19 will be the capstone. See how "Covid-19" is being exploited to restructure the whole world: <<https://bit.ly/3jrop1v>>

The United Nations and the UNPA, of course, collaborate in mounting the propaganda. The UN has a video online dramatizing the "attack" of Covid-19 upon the Earth to underscore the urgent need for a one-world government, <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Lo8JYeUHOGM>> an "elected" authoritarian "health" dictatorship armed with syringes, lockdowns (also to be routine on the pretext of climate control), injectable trackers (like the luciferase enzyme in the Covid-19 shots), contact tracing, individual and group quarantines, hyper-surveillance, "health" passports just to buy groceries or access a public venue, as well as vaccine passports for local and international travel, a new social-credit slavery system in lieu of a monetary system, and complete social disintegration of everything from family, to school, to the workplace, for total human control.

The World Economic Forum, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (a major financial contributor and thus a controller of the World Health Organization (a department of the UN), and various academic elites, first by predicting <<https://www.centerforhealthsecurity.org/event201/>> while conveniently naming the imaginary disease (conveniently identified by ubiquitous cold and flu symptoms, ensuring the fake pandemic is perceived as being everywhere at once) have sponsored the fake pandemic, while pushing for world government to resolve it. See the video "8 predictions for the world in 2030" where the new economic rule is this: "You'll own nothing and you'll be happy". (The elimination of private property.) This, of course, does not apply to the elites who invented and are financing the roll-out of the new system. <<https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2016/11/8-predictions-for-the-world-in-2030/>>

"The values that built the West will have been tested to breaking point" says point number 7 at the same page. Well, we know what those values are; they are Christian values.

To use Arcand's term, the *materialization* of the human race is also underway. There is great concern in some quarters that the Covid-19 shots, loaded with toxic graphene oxide, besides maiming and killing untold numbers (the real death and injury figures are being hidden by governments), may be a first stage in synthesizing human beings toward full transhumanism. The World Economic Forum, founded and led by comic-book villain, Klaus Schwab, is prepping us for brain implants to link us to the Internet of Things (a new panopticon). (The graphene oxide in the poisonous Covid-19 shots may already do that to some degree.) WEF promotional videos for their "Fourth Industrial Revolution" include the following speakers: Klaus Schwab: "One of the features of this Fourth Indus-

trial Revolution is that it doesn't change what we are doing, it changes us." Nita Farahany: "The very idea of human being some sort of natural concept is really gonna change." Schwab: "What the Fourth Industrial Revolution will lead to is the fusion of our physical, our digital and our biological identities." Farahany: "Our bodies will be so high-tech we won't be able to really distinguish between what's natural and what's artificial." To hear them say this, look for: "What is the Fourth Industrial Revolution?" by the World Economic Forum and "What is the Fourth Industrial Revolution?" with Professor Klaus Schwab, by the Lee Juan Yew School of Public Policy.

³⁹ The full title of the book is *History of the Jews in Canada from the Earliest Beginnings to the Present Day* by Benjamin G. Sack. It was published at Montreal by the Canadian Jewish Congress, 1945 as Volume I, but there was never a Volume II, according to rare-book dealers. The Gradis episode is covered as follows: Gradis, Abraham: 27-35, 39, 40-44, 249; Gradis, Benjamin: 36; Gradis, David: 27; Gradis, Moise: 39. For the involvement of François Bigot, the Intendant of New France, see "*François Bigot, son exil et sa mort*" (François Bigot, his exile and his death) by Denis Vaugeois, *Revue d'histoire de l'Amérique française, Volume 21, Number 4, March 1968*, pp. 731–748 republished online by Erudit: 30 July 2008. <<https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/302721ar>>

According to Vaugeois, Bigot was French, and the Gradis family— who were wealthy Bordeaux shipowners—were French (Portuguese) Jews. Vaugeois says it is certain that before Bigot left France for New France, Bigot and Gradis had the opportunity to get to know each other. In addition, the husband of one of Bigot's sisters, Pierre de Reynier, aka Reinach, was of Jewish descent, which undoubtedly facilitated Bigot-Gradis relations. On the 10th of July, 1748, under a six-year shipping contract, the House of Gradis went into business with François Bigot and another man, Jacques-Michel Bréard, their shares respectively being 50%, 30% and 20%. Gradis put up 100% of the startup capital. Citing historian Guy Frégault (*François Bigot, administrateur français* (2 vol., *Les Etudes de l'Institut d'Histoire de L'Amérique française*, Outremont, 1948, II: 383.), Vaugeois says (translation): "Frégault's opinion on this subject leaves no doubt: 'The Canadians,' he wrote in closing *Le grand marquis*, 'suffered to see local commerce crushed by French profiteers [Bigot-Gradis], they helplessly witnessed the construction of insolent fortunes on the ruins of the businesses they themselves had set up, and this because crooked politicians like Bigot and Bréard conspired with metropolitan money-handlers like Gradis to oust them from big business and impoverish them everywhere.'" Pages 736-737. (*L'opinion de Frégault à ce sujet ne laisse aucun doute: "Les Canadiens, écrit-il en terminant Le grand marquis, souffrent de voir le commerce local écrasé par des profiteurs français, ils assistent, impuissants, à l'édification de fortunes insolentes sur les ruines des entreprises qu'ils ont eux-mêmes mises sur pied, et cela, parce que des*

politiciens véreux comme Bigot et Bréard conspirent avec des manieurs d'argent métropolitains comme Gradis pour les évincer des grandes affaires et partout les appauvrir.”)

In contrast, in *The Jews in Canada*, Sack admits the six-year contract, but when he refers to the *Canadiens* of New France as the "hunger-stricken people of Canada" (bottom, page 30) he places all blame upon Bigot for the impoverishment of the colony. Gradis, he claims, (the major shareholder) was powerless to prevent Bigot from commandeering and disposing inappropriately of badly needed supplies shipped under the mutual contract. Sack recounts: "The condition to which the population had been reduced was unbearably tragic.... Food stocks dwindled to the point where starvation threatened to wipe out the colony". Sack then paints Gradis a hero for providing a shipload of flour, allegedly free of his own commission, to save the colony "in the nick of time".

Also see "Bigot, Francois":

<http://biographi.ca/en/bio/bigot_francois_1778_4F.html> We seem to have an echo of this predicament of the French Canadians in Arcand's day in 1930. In *Christian or Jew?*, in the segment, "What We See in Quebec", a touching series of vignettes, Arcand laments the loss of French-Canadian heritage to foreign Masters, the loss of forestry, mining, of rivers and waterfalls, factories and shops, while "the handsome men and the beautiful girls of my race had become, more than ever, the servants of the foreigner living among us."

⁴⁰ The proverbial cunning of the fox is alluded to in **Ezek. 13:4** (KJV): "O Israel, thy prophets are like the foxes in the deserts" and in **Luke 13:32** (KJV) where Christ calls Herod "that fox": "And he said unto them, Go ye, and tell that fox, Behold, I cast out devils, and I do cures today and tomorrow, and the third day I shall be perfected."

⁴¹ **Matthew 10:16** (KJV): "Behold, I send you forth as sheep in the midst of wolves: be ye therefore wise as serpents, and harmless as doves."

⁴² The British-style Parliament of 1867 saves us from communism. The Preamble prevents a tyranny. The doctrine of delegation prevents a tyranny.

⁴³ In 2020, the enemy found a new system: Red-Chinese-style lockdowns to destroy small and medium business to the profit of the internationalists. Markets closed to the little businessman by government-decreed shutdowns with no scientific evidence of medical benefit, and none of the existence at all of any virus in circulation, were handed up to the international "big-box" stores, like Walmart, Winners, Target, Home Depot, and Lowe's.

⁴⁴ It seems that HH Pius XII dealt with the problem of doctrinal training in two main documents in 1950. On the 12th of August: "Encyclical *Humani Generis* of the Holy Father Pius XII to our Venerable Brethren, Patriarchs, Primate, Archbishops, Bishops, and Other Local Ordinaries Enjoying Peace and Communion with the Holy See Concerning

Some False Opinions Threatening to Undermine the Foundations of Catholic Doctrine”; and, on 1st November: “Apostolic Constitution of Pope Pius XII *Munificentissimus Deus* Defining the Dogma of The Assumption”.

⁴⁵ **Indifferentism** is the belief that differences of religious belief are of no importance. In Quebec, this would now be called "relativism" or "normative pluralism", the notion forced on multi-ethnic elementary and secondary students in the controversial "Ethics and Religious Culture" (ECR) course. The alleged ultimate goal of the course is to ensure that students adopt a perspective of tolerance for belief systems different from their own. However, the mandatory ECR met a court challenge in *Loyola High School c. Courchesne*, 2010 QCCS 2631 (CanLII) because, through it, the Quebec government was ordering Catholics to teach their own students that Catholicism is no more "true" than any other religion. In other words, the Quebec government was apparently undermining the right of all parents to raise their children in their own beliefs. A right considered by the Quebec Catholic Church of the 1930s as being sacred for all groups, including "talmudists". So, now, who's "intolerant"? The Catholic Church, or the Quebec government?

⁴⁶ There are two kinds of **quietism**, and I think that in this paragraph, Arcand is not referring to the religious variety, but to the meaning of the word in the ordinary dictionary: "calm acceptance of things as they are without attempts to resist or change them".

⁴⁷ **Matthew 24:35 (KJV)**: "Heaven and earth shall pass away, but my words shall not pass away."

⁴⁸ Five Minutes to Midnight was a code phrase used during the Cold War to describe increments of time before nuclear war. 1 Minute to Midnight meant the first nuke has been launched, midnight meant nuclear war.

⁴⁹ In the "Five Minutes to Midnight" scenario, the "Doomsday Clock" is ticking toward hypothetical global catastrophe ("midnight") from unchecked scientific and technical advances, mainly nuclear risk. In 2021, we are looking at man-made global genocide by poison injections on the pretext of a (fake) pandemic, hand-in-hand with transhumanism, alleged to be a growing movement. Transhumanism is the hybridization or merger of human beings with non-human entities (mostly animal but also digital). Justin Trudeau calls it "biodigital convergence": a policy of the government of Canada.

<<https://horizons.gc.ca/en/2020/02/11/exploring-biodigital-convergence/>>

⁵⁰ "**To bind and to loose**" means to forbid by an indisputable authority and to permit by an indisputable authority. For example, we find the expression in **Matthew 16:13-20 (KJV)**: "**13** When Jesus came into the coasts of Caesarea Philippi, he asked his disciples, saying, Whom do men say that I the Son of man am?"

14 And they said, Some say that thou art John the Baptist: some, Elias; and others,

Jeremias, or one of the prophets.

15 He saith unto them, But whom say ye that I am?

16 And Simon Peter answered and said, Thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God.

17 And Jesus answered and said unto him, Blessed art thou, Simon Barjona: for flesh and blood hath not revealed it unto thee, but my Father which is in heaven.

18 And I say also unto thee, That thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build my church; and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it.

19 And I will give unto thee the keys of the kingdom of heaven: and **whatsoever thou shalt bind on earth shall be bound in heaven:** and **whatsoever thou shalt loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven.**"

⁵¹ **Jansenism** was a theological movement within Catholicism led by Cornelis Jansen. Primarily active in France, it emphasized original sin, human depravity, the necessity of divine grace, and predestination. It was declared a heresy in the Catholic Church for denying the role of free will in the acceptance and use of grace.

⁵² The word "**theoclasy**" is not easy to come by in an English dictionary; similar problem with French, **théoclastie**. However, there is a definition of sorts in a French article in a review with two citations, one in German and one in French: "... la «**théoclastie**», le refus d'adorer les autres dieux ou les dieux des autres ..." ("**Theoclasy**", the refusal to worship other gods or the gods of others..."). Taken from "*Monothéisme et Mémoire — Le Moïse de Freud et la tradition biblique*" (Monotheism and Memory — The Moses of Freud and Biblical Tradition) par Jan ASSMANN, *Originalveröffentlichung in: Annales 54, Nr. 5, 1999, S. 1011-1026, 1020; Annales HSS, septembre-octobre 1999, no 5, pp. 1011-1026, 1020*. <http://archiv.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/pro_pylaeumdok/3908/1/Assmann_Monotheisme_1999.pdf>

⁵³ The citation for this is hard to find. Arcand's French is: "*Celui qui aura cru en moi et qui aura observé mes commandements ...*". I have searched online, including in the King James Version; I have searched the Crampon French Catholic Bible in my digital library that Arcand would have used. If he was paraphrasing, it is odd that the English for it, as translated, shows up in the middle of a quotation without a Biblical citation in a Ukrainian Catholic Lenten pamphlet: "I am the Way, the Resurrection and the Life! **He who believes in Me and keeps my commandments** will never die, but will live forever." And that whole statement fulfills Arcand's theme in that paragraph. But the equivalent statement does not seem to be in the English King James Version online. There are similar statements, but the reference to "**and keeps my commandments**" is missing from them.

⁵⁴ I suspect that Arcand has in mind the parable of the sower. Here's the version in **Mark 4:3-9 (KJV)**: "**3** Hearken; Behold, there went out a sower to sow: **4** And it came to pass,

as he sowed, some fell by the way side, and the fowls of the air came and devoured it up. **5** And some fell on stony ground, where it had not much earth; and immediately it sprang up, because it had no depth of earth: **6** But when the sun was up, it was scorched; and because it had no root, it withered away. **7** And some fell among thorns, and the thorns grew up, and choked it, and it yielded no fruit. **8** And other fell on good ground, and did yield fruit that sprang up and increased; and brought forth, some thirty, and some sixty, and some an hundred. **9** And he said unto them, He that hath ears to hear, let him hear.”

⁵⁵ **Electoralism.** In this context, the applicable meaning is found in the French definition: “*Tendance d'un parti à subordonner sa politique à la recherche des succès électoraux.*” (Tendency of a party to subordinate its policy to the search for electoral success.) Presumably, Arcand means that a minority hostile to the majority can manipulate the electoral system to cause a shift or transition in their own desired direction. Current formal definitions of the English word, “**electoralism**”, however, suggest the term was coined by Terry Karl, a professor of political science at Stanford University, to refer to top-down manipulation to avoid a transition from authoritarianism to “liberal democracy”. (As if “liberal democracy” isn’t authoritarianism!) Arcand could not have had that in mind. Terry Karl was born in 1947, and would have been in knee-pants when Arcand gave these talks in 1954. Moreover, I would be inclined to guess that Terry Karl may have altered an older meaning (as Arcand said in these lectures, true then as now: “words have changed their meanings and terminologies have taken on reverse meanings”) in order to re-use the word to his own advantage. But I haven’t been able to find the older meaning in English dictionaries. Perhaps the reader can find it.

⁵⁶ By “Satanists”, Arcand undoubtedly means Talmudists.

⁵⁷ Arcand is quoting from memory again. In French, he said: “*Toute erreur politique est une erreur théologique réalisée*”. It seems that the exact quotation may be: “*Les erreurs politiques ne sont que des erreurs théologiques réalisées*”. My source is *La légitimité* by Antoine Blanc de Saint-Bonnet, online in googlebooks < <https://bit.ly/3kZ9wmD> >, page 216.

⁵⁸ I can't help but note that the young Arcand wanted to be a forestry engineer. That was his first love. And his metaphor for Christianity, his true love, is always a living tree.

⁵⁹ **Subversities.** Taking the definition of the French singular, **subversivité** means “the character of that which is subversive, which aims at the overthrow of the social or political order, of established values.”

⁶⁰ **Utilitarianism:** doctrine that the useful is the good; especially as elaborated by Jeremy Bentham and James Mill; the aim was said to be the greatest happiness for the

greatest number.

⁶¹ Arcand said, in French: *“Je vous laisse ma paix”*. That’s a compression, as I call it; it has a bit from each of two parts of the Biblical quote, so I’m translating Arcand with those two parts. Here’s the whole quote: **John 14:27 (KJV)**: “Peace I leave with you, my peace I give unto you: not as the world giveth, give I unto you. Let not your heart be troubled, neither let it be afraid.”h



ABOUT THE AUTHOR

ADRIEN ARCAND was born at Montreal in the Parish of the Immaculate Conception on October 3rd, 1899 into a worker family of twelve children. He was a career journalist. As of 1920, he earned his living at *La Patrie* (a daily), at *La Presse* and at the *Montreal Star*, being fluent in English as well as in his mother tongue, French. Most of his known writings are in French.

In 1924, after basic training, he obtained the rank of Lieutenant in the Royal Canadian Militia with the Châteauguay regiment, whose official emblem he designed with his brother, Major Louis-Georges Arcand.

On April 14, 1925, he married Yvonne Giguère of Quebec City. They had three sons: Yves-Adrien, Jean-Louis and Pierre.

Arcand launched a humorous and controversial weekly of his own, *Le Goglu*, whose first issue appeared on August 8th, 1929, almost the whole content of which he wrote, signing it "Emile Goglu".

In November 1929, in the pages of the *Goglu* and other papers he emceed, (*Le Chameau* and *Le Miroir*) (translation: "The Bobolink", "The Camel" and "The Mirror"), Mr. Arcand suggested the idea of organizing a vast nationalist movement. This is how, a few weeks later, the Patriotic Order of the Goglus went into operation, to spread the doctrine that Mr. Arcand had elaborated.

Mr. Arcand founded the National Social Christian Party in Octo-

ber of 1933. At the national convention in 1938, this party was replaced by the National Unity Party of Canada and Mr. Arcand was elected leader by acclamation of the delegates of eight provinces, no other name being suggested.

Five months before the war of 1939-45, Mr. Arcand announced the inevitability of this war, “which would only result in the overthrow of the European empires and the expansion of Judeo-Communism over the world, solely to dechristianize the western peoples and judaize them.”

On 21 June 1940, his movement was declared “illegal” and Mr. Arcand was sent, together with his principal Canadian lieutenants, to concentration camps, under pressure from Jewry in America and England. He would be deprived of his liberty for five years and five weeks: the longest internment of its kind in the whole British Empire. He only left the camps three months after the end of the war. He could have been set free had he wanted to “do his loyal share for our glorious Soviet ally”, which he persistently refused to do, every six months.

Adrien Arcand died on August 1st, 1967, just a few months after he had penned “The Inevitability of a Social Reconstruction” in February. He was buried in a modest tomb at Lanoraie, Quebec.

Adrien Arcand was a mentor to the noted Ernst Zündel.

Adrien Arcand delivered the public lectures, *Le Communisme Installé Chez Nous* (Communism Installed Here) and *La Révolte du Materialisme* (The Revolt of Materialism), at Montreal in 1966, the year before he died.

Visit ADRIEN ARCAND BOOKS online for more exclusive English translations.



MORE TITLES

ADRIEN ARCAND BOOKS

Free eBooks:

- *The Swastika, What it Represents* (1933)
- *Heading for Ottawa! Canadian Corporatism* (1938)
- *NUPC Internment Research* 1940
- *The Universal Republic* (1950)
- *Is Christianity Bankrupt?* (1954)
- *What is a French-Canadian?* (1963)
- *Communism Installed Here* (1966)
- *The Revolt of Materialism* (1966)
- *The Inevitability of a Social Reconstruction* (1967)
- *A Short Study of the Life of Adrien Arcand* (1983)
- *Soldier of Christ At His Own Risk and Peril* by Jean Côté (1994)

Free English Previews:

- *Including the full book: Down With Hate!* (1965)
www.AdrienArcandBooks.com

In Translation:

- *Christian or Jew? The 1930 Battle for Control* (1930)
- *Exposé of Principles and Program of the National Social Christian Party* (1934)
- *The Key to the Mystery* (Paris Edition, 1939)
- *Adrien Arcand Before the Court of History: Scandal at CBC Radio-Canada* (NUPC: 1983)
- *From Communism to Globalism: The World at the Crossroads*

In Production:

- *Memorandum and Request Re: Claims of Canadian Nationalists Against the Government of Canada for Unjust Internments, Submitted by Adrien Arcand* (1957)
- *Fascism or Socialism?* (1933)
- *The Parti Québécois Serves High Finance* (NUPC: 1981)
- *Down With Hate!* (1965)



FROM THE BACK COVER

Adrien Arcand's 1954 *Is Christianity Bankrupt?* is a magnificent defence of the Christian ideal by a devout Roman Catholic Fascist of the ultramontane school.

Its pages are an exquisite plea for Christianity as the source of true Life and the driving force of all modern social and scientific advancement.

A truly unique testament of faith by a man whom some called "a great Christian" and others dared to call a Christian mystic.



Adrien Arcand's 1954 *Is Christianity Bankrupt?* is a magnificent defence of the Christian ideal by a devout Roman Catholic Fascist of the ultramontane school.

Its pages are an exquisite plea for Christianity as the source of true Life and the driving force of all modern social and scientific advancement.

A truly unique testament of faith by a man whom some called "a great Christian" and others dared to call a Christian mystic.